



# Mobile Resource Guarantees — MRG

University of Edinburgh

= David Aspinall

Stephen Gilmore

Don Sannella (coordinator)

Ian Stark

and 2.5 researchers

+

LMU Munich

= Martin Hofmann

and 2 researchers

## Summary

Topic: Proof-carrying mobile code for resource-related properties

Scenario:

1.  $A$  requests code from  $B$ , specifying a **resource policy**  $p$
2.  $B$  sends code to  $A$  with a **proof**  $\pi$  that  $c$  abides by  $p$
3.  $A$  checks that  $\pi$  is **valid** for  $c$  and  $p$
4. If yes,  $A$  runs  $c$

This is an active research area for **security**. It is practical because:

Proofs are **unforgeable**

Proof **checking** is easy even if proof **generation** is hard

The **properties** in question are **modest**, not full-blown correctness

## Approach

Based on Hofmann's work on linear type systems which guarantee time and space bounds of programs

$\text{insert} : \diamond \times A \times A \text{ list} \rightarrow A \text{ list}$

```
fun insert(d,a,nil) = cons(d,a,nil)
  | insert(d,a,cons(d',b,l)) =
    if a <= b then cons(d,a,cons(d',b,l))
    else cons(d,b,insert(d',a,l))
```

$\text{sort} : A \text{ list} \rightarrow A \text{ list}$

```
fun sort nil = nil
  | sort(cons(d,a,l)) = insert(d,a,sort l)
```

We will generate proofs of resource bounds from typing derivations

$$p : \tau \xrightarrow{\text{compilation}} \text{bytecode} + \text{proof}$$

## Workplan sketch

1. Development of framework in which certificates of resource consumption make formal sense: **virtual machine** (JVM subset, maybe .NET) + **cost model** + **program logic for resource properties**
2. Formalization of **proofs**, implementation of **proof checking**
3. Development of methods for generating such certificates for high-level code: **implemented high-level language** (initially functional, then OO) **compiling to VM** + **resource type systems for HLL** + **translation of typing derivations to proofs**
4. Experiments with alternatives to full proofs:
  - “**prooflets**” = code to re-create a proof in the client (cf LCF tactics)
  - probabilistic certification** (cf probabilistically checkable proofs)
  - negotiation** via a series of challenges and responses