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Abstract
The aim of this deliverable is to report the integration between the LIGHTNESS hybrid optical data plane developed in WP3 and based on both Optical Circuit Switching (OCS) and Optical Packet Switching (OPS) technologies with the SDN controller for data centre networks released by WP4. This integration has been achieved through the proper design and development of the OpenFlow-based southbound interfaces. The deliverable also reports the experimental validation of the provisioning of virtual slices with QoS guarantees, involving the mapping of the virtual links on both OCS and OPS resources. The experimental validation has been successfully conducted, as a first step towards the final demonstration of the overall LIGHTNESS concepts, including applications running within the developed data centre network encompassing hybrid optical technologies operated by means of an SDN controller.
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0. Executive Summary

The integration between the different systems and components for data and control plane that have been implemented and released as prototypes in WP3 and WP4 respectively is the first step towards the final demonstration of the LIGHTNESS solutions for future data centre networks. While the developed OCS and OPS systems have been validated separately in WP3, and the SDN controller in WP4, this deliverable reports the integration of all these systems in the LIGHTNESS testbed, including thus both data and control planes. Such integration is achieved on one hand through the proper optical interfaces among the different data plane components (Optical Network Interface Card (NIC), OCS-based on Architecture on Demand (AoD) node, OPS and Top of the Rack (ToR)); on the other, it is achieved through the OpenFlow-based southbound interface that enable the remote control and management of data plane optical devices from the OpenDayLight (ODL) SDN controller, to make fully dynamic and programmable the LIGHTNESS data centre network. This deliverable reports the experimental validation of the developed OpenFlow (OF) protocol and interfaces to enable the communication between the control and data plane systems; moreover, it also presents some experimental assessment of the dynamic provisioning of unicast and multicast-based virtual slices over the heterogeneous optical LIGHTNESS data plane. Some figures of merit, such as the virtual slices provisioning time, are also reported.

This successful validation of integrated components is therefore the first action achieved for the final demonstrations of the overall intra and inter-cluster LIGHTNESS architecture, that will be performed in upcoming activities in WP5 to show the benefits of the designed solutions, not only in terms of QoS guarantees for the running applications but also in terms of optimised usage of the available DCN resources.
1. Introduction

This section describes the integration between the SDN control plane and the heterogeneous optical data plane developed in LIGHTNESS. Figure 1-1 depicts the general view of the integrated LIGHTNESS testbed. On the top, the centralized SDN controller ([del-d41]) enables the programmability of the network and it is decoupled from the forwarding operation of the data plane. The LIGHTNESS SDN controller is based on the OpenDaylight (ODL) platform in its Hydrogen release Base edition [ODL], which was extended to support both OCS and OPS specific switching capabilities [del-d44, del-d45]. In particular, several core services of the ODL controller (including the Forwarding Rules Manager, the Service Abstraction Layer and the Topology Manager) were extended in support of OPS devices and OPS-based traffic flows. Additionally, the ODL management Graphical User Interface (GUI) was extended to allow the management of OCS and OPS-capable devices as well as to configure and manage OCS and OPS data flows. The controller interacts with the elements of the data plane through the SouthBound (SB) interface, which implements an extended version of the OpenFlow (OF) protocol [del-d42].

![Figure 1-1: SDN enabled Hybrid OPS/OCS DCN LIGHTNESS testbed](image)

To enable the OF-based programmability of the optical network elements and, thus, the integration between the SDN controller and the heterogeneous optical data plane, a dedicated OF agent has been developed and deployed over each optical network device, that is optical NIC, AoD, OPS, ToR and optical ToR switches.
respectively. These OF agents act as a mediation entity between the SDN controller OF-based SB interface and the proprietary control interface exposed by each device [del-d44, del-d45]. Hence, the main role of the OF agent is to translate the OF messages coming from the SB interface to the appropriate set of commands to configure the look-up tables (LUTs) of the optical devices as it has been deeply detailed in [del-d32].

The next chapters presents the results of the experimental activities carried out within the testbed depicted in Figure 1-1 to validate the integration of the LIGHTNESS SDN controller with the different optical devices on one hand, and to assess the intra-cluster data centre network architecture for connectivity provisioning and virtual slices and Virtual Data Centre (VDC) composition.
2. Integration and experimental validation of LIGTHNESS data and control planes

The purpose of this section is to detail the integration and functional validation of the ODL-based SDN controller with the different systems of the optical data plane designed and implemented in LIGTHNESS and that will be showcased all together in the final demonstration. Therefore, the integration of the SDN controller with the optical NIC, the OCS-capable Architecture-on-Demand (optical ToR) and the OPS switches is detailed. The integration of the electrical ToR and the SDN controller has been already reported in [del-d47].

2.1. SDN-controlled Optical NIC

The FPGA-based optical NIC communicates with the OF agent through 10Gbps Ethernet interface. The fibre connects the SFP+ module on the FPGA-based optical NIC and the agent. The commands and information are capsulated in a pre-defined 1504 Byte Ethernet Frame (VLAN). This communication is bidirectional. On one way, when the FPGA-based optical NIC receives the pre-defined Ethernet frame from the agent, the decoder in the optical NIC is capable of translating the information from the Ethernet frame to the FPGA-based Look-up-table (LUT) set of entries. Thus, the other FPGA-based functional blocks can follow the commands of the SDN controller by reading and checking the LUT. On the other way, when the SDN controller needs to get the information and status from the optical NIC, it can send, through the dedicated OF agent, a pre-defined Ethernet frame with the “pull all” command, so that the FPGA-based optical NIC sends out the Ethernet frame with its LUT information.

The structure and mapping of the FPGA-based LUT and the pre-defined Ethernet frame are shown in Figure 2-1. The Ethernet frame with the VLAN is fixed at 1504 bytes. Therefore, the optical NIC will discard any other size of received Ethernet frames. We predefined the node ID as the first byte of destination MAC address in the Ethernet frame in order to distinguish the different FPGA-based optical NIC in the network. The mechanism supports “push”, “pull” and “modify” functions; “push” means update all the commands in the LUT with the information capsulated in the received Ethernet Frame; “pull” means sending all the stored information in the LUT to the agent; and “modify” means updating the LUT with the information in the Ethernet frame that is not “0”. This is only valid for OPS switch control commands. These functions are set in the last byte of the destination MAC address. By setting different numbers, the commands in the LUT can be updated on demand.
Figure 2-1: FPGA-based optical NIC LUT address map

In Figure 2-1, the blue column shows the mapping in the Ethernet frame (captured by Wireshark), and the purple column shows the mapping in FPGA-based LUT RAM. There are also several other parameters, that is, Keep alive message length, optical packet length, Ethernet/OPS mode, OPS switch information, and Header matching. In particular:

- **Keep alive message length** parameter is compulsory for recovering the receiver clock, the programmability allows the FPGA-based optical NIC adapt to variable optical QoS/QoT.
- **Optical packet length** is the parameter for OPS packet length.
- **Ethernet/OPS mode** can be set on-demand, that FPGA-based optical NIC is capable of hitless switchover between Ethernet and OPS on-the-fly.
- **OPS switch information** stores the labels for OPS switch.
- **Header matching** includes the header (i.e., Destination MAC address, Source MAC address and VLAN ID) and matching mask. The matching mask is by bit which allows to matching the header information by bit on-demand.

It is worth noting that the throughput and latency performance can be impacted by the **keep alive message length** and **optical packet length**. By fixing the minimum **keep alive message length**, and adjusting the **optical packet length**, the throughput and latency performance can result compromised. More details of the function of optical NIC have already been reported in [del-d33].

To enable the programmability of the optical NIC, the OF agent mediates the communication between the SDN controller and the optical NIC hardware. The detailed function decomposition and message exchanging flow between the SDN controller, the OF agent and the NIC hardware are described and detailed in [del-d45]. Also, the OF protocol has been properly extended to configure the optical NIC, as defined in [del-d42]. In particular, two new actions for **OF FLOW_MOD** message have been introduced in support of optical NIC operation: set_op_label to set the proper optical label (as instructed by the SDN controller) for OPS usage, and set_time_slot_size to program the OPS optical packet length to be generated by the NIC (in its time slotted based operation).
Figure 2-2 shows the switch feature report (**OF FEATURES_REPLY**) message sent from optical NIC agent to SDN controller. In details, it will provide the total circuit port number the NIC card have, the supported actions, as well as the capability (e.g., statistics and switching capability).

![Figure 2-2: OF FEATURES_REPLY message for FPGA-based optical NIC](image)

Figure 2-3 shows the configuration message (**OF FLOW_MOD**) sent from SDN controller to the NIC OF agent. Specifically, **vlan_id** is configured to match the incoming traffic and, as mentioned in the previous section, source or destination MAC could also be used in the matching filed. For the matched traffic, it will be forwarded to the **out_put port** set by the **OUT_PORT** action. If the configuration is for an OPS connection, another action will be added to indicate the optical label which will be attached to the optical packet.

![Figure 2-3: OF configuration message for FPGA-based optical NIC](image)

### 2.2. SDN-controlled Architecture-on-Demand Node

An overall picture of the DCN architecture including the optical Top of the Rack (ToR) switch is illustrated in Figure 2-4. The optical NIC, which is part of the server, can aggregate the traffic from the server, sort out between the long and the short-lived traffic and map it to different transponders. Thus, the hybrid OPS/OCS switchover functions can be implemented directly in the NIC on the server. In light of this, the ToR switch on each rack does not need to employ any electronic platform but contains only pure optical components with fixed interfaces connected to each server in the rack, acting as a passive optical switch. Traffic between different racks is directed to optical switching nodes, i.e. OCS or OPS, through the optical ToR switch and the AoD without any extra latency (besides the latency introduced by the propagation over the optical link). The optical NIC also includes a group of multi-channels based on Vertical-Cavity Surface-Emitting Laser (VCSEL) technology (e.g., Avago MiniPods) for intra-rack communication. This way, optical connectivity among all the servers in a rack is achieved. With this approach, both the communication between
servers within the same rack and the traffic exchange between servers in different racks do not need to go through any electronic ToR switch via optical-electro-optical conversion, preventing thus additional latency. In consequence, this approach introduces high efficiency with direct server-to-server links. Considering the data plane architecture in Figure 2-4, OPS/OCS channels from the optical ToRs are connected to the AoD node. This is an optical programmable system deploying the Architecture-on-Demand (AoD) concept, which can be controlled by the SDN-based control plane. The AoD configuration utilizes Polatis beam-steering switch [Polatis] as the optical backplane, which are connected to various optical function modules and all the optical ToRs. With this architecture, different arrangements of inputs/outputs and modules can be constructed by setting up appropriate cross-connections in the optical backplane. Thus, synthetic node architectures can be dynamically created involving only the required transmission and functionality. Utilizing such programmability, the AoD node is capable to deliver various network topologies and functions on demand. For example, if OPS switching capability is required, the corresponding link can be connected to an OPS module directly (red line in the figure). Likewise, OCS links can be established by connecting OCS-enabled channels directly between two ToRs through the AoD node (blue line). All ToRs and NICs are connected with the SDN-based controller, and so does the AoD node. Thus, the control layer can configure and enable OPS/OCS function for each intra-cluster connection.

![Figure 2-4: Intra-data centre network architecture with optical ToR](image)

The utilization of WSS switches in the optical ToR provides high flexibility. As illustrated in Figure 2-5, an NxM WSS switch with M input ports and N output ports can switch programmable C-band spectrum slots from 10-GHz up to 5-THz with a 1-GHz resolution between arbitrary ingress and egress ports of the WSS. Thus, apart from tuning the input wavelength for each channel, channels from each NIC can be selected at will and aggregated at an arbitrary output port. The reassembled traffic is then switched to OPS or OCS accordingly and sent to the specific port of WSS on receiving side so that the required server can receive it. The definitions of WSS ports number N and M depend highly on which type of NxM WSS is commercially available, e.g. 4*16 Finisar Waveshaper. The WSS switches can be controlled by the SDN-based control plane.
To enable the SDN-based control of the AoD node and the optical ToR, two dedicated OF agents have been developed; the detailed functional modules have been fully reported in [del-d4.4, del-d45]. More specifically, to configure the WSS-based optical ToR, WDM based cross-connection is leveraged (indicated by wildcards bits), and wave_port defined in OF 1.0 with optical circuit extensions [OF] is used to send out the input/output port, bandwidth and central frequency information as shown in Figure 2-6. Also, Figure 2-7 shows the OF configuration message (OF CFLOW_MOD) sent from the SDN controller to the OF agent of the AoD, which indicates a port based cross-connection.

Figure 2-6: OF configuration message (OF CFLOW_MOD) between ODL controller and optical ToR OF agent

Figure 2-7: OF configuration message (OF CFLOW_MOD) between ODL controller and AoD OF agent

Figure 2-8 and Figure 2-9 show the switch feature message reported from AoD and optical ToR agent to the SDN controller, including the supported capability and circuit port number, as well as each port features.
2.3. SDN-controlled OPS switch

Figure 2-10 (a) depicts the general view of the integration between the SDN-based control plane and the OPS switch. Residing on top of the OPS, the centralized SDN controller ([del-d41]) enables the programmability of the network and it is decoupled from the forwarding operation of the switch. The OpenDaylight platform has been extended to support the OPS specific features and switching capabilities. The controller interacts with the OPS node through the SB interface, which implements an extended version of the OF protocol (as detailed in [del-d42]). To enable the OF-based programmability, a dedicated OF agent is deployed over the OPS switch. The main role of the OF agent is to translate the OF messages coming from the SB interface to the appropriate set of commands to configure the look-up table (LUT) of the OPS switch, as it has been detailed in [del-d32]. Moreover, [del-d44] and [del-d45] provide extensive description of the OF agents that have been designed and implemented.
The underlying extended capabilities attribute, also known as the "FEATURES_REPLY" pair, is a key component in the SDN controller-OPS interface, especially when it comes to operations such as SDN switches. This attribute enables the SDN controller to convey a variety of control messages to the OPS node, including the FLOW_MOD and STATS_REQUEST/REPLY pairs, which are crucial for managing flows and statistics.

Deliverable [d42] delves into the implementation details of the OF agent, which is responsible for managing the OPS node. The agent runs on a dedicated server and interfaces with the SDN controller through a proprietary USB link-based interface. This interface is implemented using an FPGA-based switch controller and an OpenFlow (OF) agent.

The OF agent is split into two main components: the Java Agent and the C Agent. These components communicate with each other through a UDP socket interface. The SDN controller, on the other hand, uses the OF protocol to configure the OPS node. The controller can request information about the OPS node and its capabilities, such as the average load and contention rate of the underlying OPS node.

The most relevant messages sent between the controller and the OF agent include the FLOW_MOD and STATS_REQUEST/REPLY pairs. The FLOW_MOD message is used to configure, modify, or delete data flows. The STATS_REQUEST/REPLY pair is used to request and receive statistics about the flows.

Finally, the characteristics of the flow are conveyed in the message. The controller can request statistics from the OPS node and receive them in the STATS_REPLY message. These statistics can include information about the flow's performance, such as latency and packet loss. The network devices send back the associated STATS_REPLY messages containing the required values.

Figure 2-10(b) illustrates the detailed architecture of the OF agent. The controller communicates with the OPS node through a dedicated interface, which is exposed by the FPGA-based OPS switch controller. The OF protocol is implemented in the SDN controller, allowing for flexible configuration of the OPS node.

Deliverable [d42] also highlights the OF extensions that have been implemented to enable the SDN control for the OPS node. These extensions include the `ofp_capability` attribute, which is conveyed in the `FEATURES_REPLY` message. The controller can recognize devices implementing this new switching technology. The `ofp_actions` attribute has been extended to support new actions, such as setting the OPS label and loading flow tables.

Moreover, the information of the optical ports (e.g., supported wavelengths) is conveyed in the message. Figure 2-11 provides an example of the `FEATURES_REPLY` message. The extended `ofp_capability` and `ofp_actions` fields are highlighted in the figure. The optical ports (with port numbers 4096, 4097, and 4098) are contained in the packet. The wavelength bitmap is also highlighted.
The `ofp_match` and `ofp_action` fields have been extended to enable the OPS flow configuration by means of the `OF FLOW_MOD` message. More specifically, the OPS label and wavelength attributes extend the `ofp_match`, and the load of the OPS flow can be set thanks to a new action added to the `ofp_action` field. These extensions aim to support the configuration of the LUTs in the OPS node, which will be referenced to switch the incoming packets to the appropriate output port. Figure 2-12 (a) depicts the extended ODL management GUI. Concretely, it shows the OPS node that has been detected by the controller with the extended `OF FEATURES_REPLY` message. In addition, Figure 2-12 (b) depicts an example of an extended `OF FLOW_MOD` message received by the OPS node to configure a new flow. Specifically, the figure presents the extended OF match field conveying the OPS label (13) and the wavelength bitmap. As illustrated in [del-d42], the wavelength bitmap follows the same format as the one proposed in the OCS extensions addendum for the OF protocol v1.0 [OF]. The new OF action, which allows for setting the load (20) to the OPS flow, is also shown in the figure. The standard `OF STATS_REQUEST/REPLY` message pair is used for the collection of statistics, since it already copes with the needs of the scenario under study.

The `ofp_match` and `ofp_action` fields have been extended to enable the OPS flow configuration by means of the `OF FLOW_MOD` message. More specifically, the OPS label and wavelength attributes extend the `ofp_match`, and the load of the OPS flow can be set thanks to a new action added to the `ofp_action` field. These extensions aim to support the configuration of the LUTs in the OPS node, which will be referenced to switch the incoming packets to the appropriate output port. Figure 2-12 (a) depicts the extended ODL management GUI. Concretely, it shows the OPS node that has been detected by the controller with the extended `OF FEATURES_REPLY` message. In addition, Figure 2-12 (b) depicts an example of an extended `OF FLOW_MOD` message received by the OPS node to configure a new flow. Specifically, the figure presents the extended OF match field conveying the OPS label (13) and the wavelength bitmap. As illustrated in [del-d42], the wavelength bitmap follows the same format as the one proposed in the OCS extensions addendum for the OF protocol v1.0 [OF]. The new OF action, which allows for setting the load (20) to the OPS flow, is also shown in the figure. The standard `OF STATS_REQUEST/REPLY` message pair is used for the collection of statistics, since it already copes with the needs of the scenario under study.

Figure 2-11: OpenFlow `OF FEATURES_REPLY` extended message
Figure 2-12: (a) OpenDaylight GUI with OPS extensions; (b) OpenFlow OF FLOW_MOD extended message
3. Experimental assessment of the overall intra-cluster architecture

3.1. OPS/OCS connectivity provisioning

In the experimental setup shown in Figure 3-1, an AoD node is composed by a 192x192 Polatis fiber switch attached with a 2x2 OPS switch and other elements such as (De)Mux plugged. Optical ToR switches are implemented based on 4*16 Waveshaper and all the ToR switches are connected to the backplane via optical links. Servers are connected to Optical ToRs via 10GE CWDM SFP+ links. Different from the results presented in [del-d51], here it is reported the OPS and OCS connection provisioning involving the optical NIC built in the server. Moreover, dynamic OPS/OCS data flows configuration is also experimentally assessed.

Figure 3-1: Optical NIC and Optical ToR Integration Experiment setup

Figure 3-2(a) shows the workflow for OPS and OCS connection provisioning approach. Generally, applications (e.g., Virtual Data Centre allocation) need to work out the required connections (as well as the paths) to enable the communication between different VMs running in physical servers, and push flows to configure the traversed optical switches or the forwarding rules in the optical NIC. All these configurations are received by ODL via Representational state transfer (REST) API, which have also been extended to enable optical flow installation. In this experiment, packets generated in the server are forwarded to different output ports and have different sending mode (i.e., OPS or OCS) according to the flow entries installed in NIC, which are provisioned and updated by ODL. As shown in Figure 3-2 (b), to establish an OCS connection, the cross-connection in the AoD backplane (OF CFLOW_MOD, as shown in Figure 2-7), flow entries in source and destination ToRs (OF CFLOW_MOD, as shown in Figure 2-6), as well as flow entries (OF FLOW_MOD, as shown in Figure 2-3) in source and destination NICs need to be configured. As shown in Figure 3-2 (a), for the OPS connection, besides a flow entry with label configuration information sent to the source optical NIC, another flow for the OPS node need to be installed to update the OPS LUT. The measured time required updating the LUTs of the OPS and the backplane are the same as what we measured in
demonstration presented in [del-d51]. The measured WSS cross-connection configuring time is around 300ms. The figure shows the new set_ops_label action added to the OF FLOW_MOD, which is processed by the ToR. Also, we have extended the action set of the OF FLOW_MOD message with a new action to set the OPS optical frame length (in time dimension) in the optical NIC.

Figure 3-2: Control message workflow of OPS and OCS Provisioning

Figure 3-3 shows the optical spectrum of three SFP+ channels (from single optical NIC card) going through the Waveshaper but with different configuration. Figure 3-3 (a) shows that all the three channels go to the same output port, while Figure 3-3 (b) and (c) shows three channels going to different output ports. It has been measured that the signal suffers around 5dBm insertion loss when going through the WSS-based optical ToR.

Figure 3-3: Optical spectrum through Optical WSS different configuration
3.2. Virtual Data Centre Composition

Data centre operators and providers need virtualization mechanisms and technologies to efficiently multiplex customers within their physical network and IT infrastructures. Since multi-tenancy has arisen as a key requirement for future data centres, the following scenarios have been experimentally setup to demonstrate how the LIGHTNESS solution can cope with it.

An experimental setup to demonstrate the dynamic Virtual Data Centre (VDC) composition in the optical data centre has been implemented; the aim has been to evaluate its performance by measuring the time to successfully deploy VDC upon a tenant request. The configurations provisioned by the SDN controller to each data centre network device using the extended OF protocol (AoD backplane, OPS, and ToR/NIC) are also shown in this section.

3.2.1. VDC composition in the experimental setup

In this experiment, a Polatis switch with 192×192 ports is used as the backplane of the AoD node. An OPS switch and ToR/NIC are plugged into the backplane. Servers are connected to ToR/NIC via 10GE SFP+. The ToR/NIC are implemented with FPGA optoelectronics with 12×10GE ports, which can be configured by the SDN controller via the corresponding OF agent. The OPS switch is implemented with SOA based fast switch and RF tones labelling technique, as well as an FPGA-based local controller [Miao14].

Three VDC requests are created containing certain capacity requirements on their virtual nodes and virtual links, following the approach described in [del-d47, del-d45]. Bidirectional communications are considered while deploying the VDC requests, that is, one virtual link is allocated with two bidirectional physical lightpaths. Before the VDC deployment, the VDC requester is not concerned and is unaware of the physical location of nodes and links allocated to the VDC. The VDC requester will call the VDC composition application to process the request following the procedure described in [Peng15]. Labels (1/2) are used to indicate the switching of optical packets transmitted over the same input port/wavelength to different output ports.

3.2.2. VDC configurations

After processing a VDC request, the SDN controller pushes the devices’ configurations via the extended OF protocol. These are then translated into technology specific control messages by the OF agents of these devices. As an example, three virtual slices are created and the network configuration items are shown in Figure 3-4a. One selected configuration item for each device is expanded and the extended OpenFlow messages are also shown in Figure 3-4a. The REST call is shown in Figure 3-4b. Regarding the Backplane, OF CFLOW_MOD indicates the input and output ports of the requested optical cross connection, which is extended from the OpenFlow 1.0 with
Regarding the OPS switch, \emph{OF FLOW\_MOD} is extended by adding optical label (4 bytes) and wavelength (8 bytes) information in the matching fields. The corresponding input and output ports are also indicated. Regarding the ToR switch, \emph{OF FLOW\_MOD} indicates the VLAN ID for packet matching and extended with OPS label setting action if the matched packet is going to be delivered via an OPS connection.

In order to allocate resources (i.e. vCPU and Memory) for VMs, the flavour list and OS (Operating System) type in the image list need to be predefined.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.4:** Virtual optical DCs deployment and configurations

### 3.2.3. VDC deployment time

The breakdown of the total time for successfully deploying a VDC request is given in [del-d47], which comprises of: 1) VDC application execution (to call the VDC deployment algorithm engine) (35.12ms); 2) information processing and message exchanges in the OpenDaylight SDN controller (195ms) and OpenStack cloud management system (158ms); and 3) device configurations (25ms). The total deployment time is 255.12ms.

### 3.3. SDN-enabled OPS virtual network provisioning with QoS guarantees

The scenario here considered takes advantage of the OPS technology switching capabilities (in terms of high flexibility and utilization) to provide isolated virtual network (VN) infrastructures to the customers. More specifically the ODL LIGHTNESS SDN controller is used to effectively and efficiently create and manage OPS-based VNs. In this scenario, we define an OPS-based VN as a collection of (OPS) flows associated to a single tenant. Moreover, a flow is defined here as a set of application data packets that are aggregated into several optical packets, which are in turn provided with the same optical label and load. Therefore, a VN belongs to a single customer but each customer can manage as many VNs as the provider may be able to supply according to available physical resources.

Triggered by the DC operator or an external application leveraging the programmability exposed at the ODL northbound interface, the SDN controller can dynamically configure the OPS-based VNs...
following a top-down approach. To do this, the SDN controller configures the look-up tables (LUTs) of the ToRs for those racks whose servers have to be interconnected. Moreover, the controller configures the LUT of the OPS to properly interconnect those ToRs. Figure 3-5 presents an example of VN creation and reconfiguration in an OPS-enabled data centre network. VN1 connects ToR1 with ToR2 while VN2 interconnects ToR2, ToR3 and ToRN, with ToR2 belonging to both VNs. Here, we assume that the tenant owning VN1 intends to run a new application flow in a VM hosted in Rack3. In this case, ToR3, which connects Rack3 to the data centre network, has to be included in VN1 so a network reconfiguration is required. As said, a top-down approach is used in this experimental validation. This means that the operation is triggered by the DC management by means of the SDN controller, which in turn updates the LUTs of the ToRs (ToR1, ToR2 and ToR3) and the OPS involved in the new VN1 (VN1'). Once the VN has been provisioned, application data are exchanged between the ToRs, thus decoupling the data plane (at sub-microsecond time scale) from the SDN controller (at milliseconds time scale). Moreover, exploiting the statistical multiplexing introduced by the OPS, the bandwidth/wavelength resource sharing is possible between flows associated either to the same VN or to different VNs. This enables the dynamic creation and reconfiguration of multiple VNs and the optimization of the data centre network resources utilization, which leads to a high tenant density.

Facilitated by the implemented OF agent and extended OF protocol, the SDN-based control functions are enabled for the OPS node. VNs can be created and managed remotely through ODL. To validate the obtained VN flexibility, agility and QoS guarantee, both data and control plane operations including VN reconfiguration, priority assignment and load balancing based on statistics collection have been experimentally investigated. Figure 3-6 depicts the experimental scenario. An FPGA-emulated ToR performs the statistical multiplexing of the packets associated to the traffic flows and transmits them to the OPS node that, in turn, forwards the packets to the proper destination according to the attached labels. For each packet, a 4-bit label contains the forwarding information (2 bits) and the class of priority (2 bits). For testing purposes, the ToR is equipped with an aggregation controller, which is responsible for aggregating the traffic coming from the servers of the rack, generating the optical packets and assigning the appropriate label to them (i.e. flow generation process). Furthermore, it implements the flow control mechanism at the ToR side. In particular, the buffer manager inside the aggregation controller stores the label information and
performs the packet (re-)transmission according to the ACK/NACK sent by the OPS node. The gates used for controlling the transmission of packetized 40Gb/s NRZ-OOK payloads (460ns duration and 40ns guard time) are triggered by the buffer manager in case of (re-)transmission.

![Figure 3-6 SDN-enabled OPS node](image)

### 3.3.1. VN Reconfiguration

As said, the aggregation controller at the ToR side allocates a certain label for the incoming packet by matching the destination requirements with the LUT. Upon the reception of the packet, the OPS processes the label and forwards it to the corresponding output port according to the information provided by the LUT. However, as the demands of users and applications change, the created VNs need to be flexibly reconfigured and adapted to the dynamic requirements of the applications. In this case, the LUTs of both the ToR and the OPS nodes can be updated by means of the SDN controller to reconfigure the interconnection of the VNs according to the new requirements.

In the example depicted in Figure 3-5, the ODL controller has originally provisioned VN1. Application flows, Flow 1 and Flow 2, are statistically multiplexed on the same wavelength λ1 and switched to different output ports. Different priority levels are assigned to each flow in case of potential resource competition between the flows. To support a newly generated Flow 3, a reconfiguration of VN1 is required to provision the connectivity with output Port 3. To this aim, the DC management uses the ODL controller to update the LUTs in the ToR and the OPS through the OF interfaces exposed by the agents.

In this procedure, an OF FLOW_MOD message is sent to the OF-agents, which process the reconfiguration command. The message specifies the input and output OPS ports, and the proper label including the class of priority for the corresponding packets. Then the agents execute the configuration instructions for the ToRs and the OPS to update their LUTs so that one more LUT entry will be added. At this point, the VN has been reconfigured, and the OPS node supports the delivery for the new flow. Additionally, the ODL controller can be also used to disable a certain flow or to make modifications (such as adjusting priority) by deleting or editing the entries of the LUT, respectively.
In the data plane, Figure 3-8 shows the LUT update for the original VN1 (LUT) and the reconfigured VN1’ (LUT’). There, “xx (L4L3)” represent the priority that, in case of collision, will be referenced to classify the priority in the order of “11>10>01>00”. Note that, within VN1, there are no entry routes to the output Port 3 in the LUT. The time traces of label L2L1 (L4L3 omitted), the incoming packets to the OPS (Flow in) marked with destination and outputs for the three ports are also plotted. The figure clearly shows that, before the reconfiguration (left side), flows destined to ToR3 are dropped since no matching label is found in the LUT of the OPS node. On the contrary, once VN1 is reconfigured and the LUT is updated (right side), the flows towards ToR3 are then properly delivered with the L2L1 labelled to “11”. The update process, which includes the communication between the ODL controller and both the ToR and the OPS, takes around 110ms; after that, flows are statistically multiplexed and switched. It is worth to note that the reconfiguration process does not affect other flows, so packets destined to ToR1 and ToR2 perform hitless switching during the VN reconfiguration time.

3.3.2. Priority Assignment

With statistical multiplexing, OPS-based VNs allow efficient resource sharing thus achieving high tenant density. However, as the traffic load increases, the competition for the physical resources may result into contention at the OPS node. The flow control mechanism introduced in section II
aims at avoiding the data loss associated to contention. Nonetheless, this mechanism deteriorates the end-to-end latency performance due to the retransmissions and, once the buffer at the ToR side is fully occupied, the new coming packets will be lost. By assigning class of priority to data flows, the ones with higher priority will be directly forwarded without any retransmission. Following the top-down approach, the DC operator triggers the assignment of priority to a flow through the ODL controller. The extended OF enables this feature since the label information can be carried within the OF FLOW_MOD to configure the data plane. The label bits L4L3 define four different priority classes and the contention between the packets with the same priority is resolved here by means of round-robin scheduling.

As illustrated in Figure 3-9 (a), Flow 4 and Flow 5 are heading to the same output port (Port3) on different wavelengths. As they come from the same ToR and reach the same module of the OPS, there is a contention happening, and thus the priority class determines which packets are delivered and which ones are retransmitted. Flow 4 has been assigned a higher priority (L4L3 = “11”) than Flow 5 (L4L3 = “00”). Therefore, in case of contention at the OPS, packets associated to Flow 4 will be forwarded to the output Port3 to avoid packet loss and higher latency caused by retransmission, while the ones associated to Flow 5 will be blocked and then retransmitted. Figure 3-9 (b) shows the label bits (L4L3L2L1), the flow control signals (ACKs), and the switching results for the two contented flows. The ACK signals for Flow 4 are always positive (always forwarded) which means that all the packets are successfully delivered. Flow 5 packets labelled with “x” are blocked due to the contention and a corresponding NACK is generated to ask for the retransmission. Figure 3-9 (c) shows the packet loss and latency for both flows with a uniformly distributed load. The packet loss curves confirm no packet loss for Flow 4, while the 16-packet buffer employed at the ToR side prevents packet loss up to a load of 0.4 for Flow 5. For higher values of the load, as the buffer starts to be fully occupied, the packet loss increases linearly. The retransmissions observed for the blocked packets of Flow 5 lead to an exponential increase of the latency. On the contrary, the priority assignment guarantees a low latency, and thus a high QoS for Flow 4.

![Figure 3-9: (a) Priority assignment; (b) time traces of Flow 4 and Flow 5; (c) packet loss and latency.](image-url)
3.3.3. Statistics Report and Load Balancing

As the logically centralized SDN controller for the whole data centre network, ODL is also in charge of monitoring the status of all the underlying devices. Based on the collected real-time information, the ODL controller is able to provision dynamic VNs updates and adjustments; the aim is to improve the DC network efficiency and utilization. To this purpose, *OF STATS_REQUEST/REPLY* message pairs are exchanged between the ODL controller and the data plane devices, where the *OF STATS_REPLY* messages contain the statistical information provided by the optical devices. In particular, the OPS and ToR nodes collect the amount of processed data (in Kbytes) for both received and forwarded packets. The number of retransmissions due to the contention, which is essentially the number of NACKs, is also reported to the agent and included in the collisions field of the *STATS_REPLY* message.

Figure 3-10 illustrates the statistics collection messages for both the OPS (a) and the ToR (b). The example depicts the scenario described in the previous sub-section where two active flows face contention. The OPS switch controller records the number of received packets as well as the NACK signals for each flow. Once receiving a request for gathering the port statistics, the OF-agent reads the counters from its controlled device and reports the aggregated per-port values to the ODL controller through the *OF STATS_REPLY* message. Hence, the counters are translated into received and transmitted packets, and collisions (i.e. NACKs). Figure 3-10 (a) presents the detail of the *STATS_REPLY* message carrying the OPS ports statistics. This information is then depicted in the ODL GUI.

**Figure 3-10: OF STATS_REPLY messages for (a) OPS and (b) ToR**

For evaluation purpose, the packet loss, which affects the QoS significantly, is a parameter that needs to be tracked. Since the buffer is implemented in the ToR side, the packet loss performance can only be collected and reported to ODL from the ToR OF-agent. This has been implemented by utilizing the TX dropped field of the *OF STATS_REPLY* message as shown in Figure 3-10 (b). The ODL
controller can then be used to optimize the system performance according to the application requirements based on the statistic information reported by the OF-agents.

An example showing the load balancing operation based on statistics collection and flow modification is given in Figure 3-11 (a). Two flows belonging to two different VN's have common output Port2. As the load increases, the contention at Port2 would cause high packet loss for both flows. Upon reception of the real-time status of the per-port packet loss and the occupancy of each alternative port from the ToR, the ODL can balance the load to the ports with less usage. As can be seen in Figure 3-11 (b), the load of both flows has been increased from 0 to 0.8, with 50% probability destining at Port 2 at the beginning. If ODL does not update any of the LUTs, high packet loss is observed. In comparison, targeting a packet loss threshold of 5E-5, once the reported statistics tend to exceed this value, the load at Port2 will be balanced to Port1 (for Flow6) and Port3 (for Flow7) through the OF FLOW_MOD command. In this case, the adjustment is proactive when the detected retransmission rate (contention possibility) is higher than 10%. A balancing step of 0.15 has been set to properly avoid possible performance degradation with the given load increasing speed. According to the QoS settings, the packet loss <5E-5 and latency <340ns are guaranteed as shown in Figure 3-11 (c).

Figure 3-11: (a) Load balancing operation; packet loss and latency changes without adjusting (b) and with balancing step of 0.15 (c)

3.4. SDN-controlled OPS for multicast-enabled virtual networks

A number of applications that are executed inside a DC require multicast-based connectivity. Not only bulky data applications such as backup utilities or video streaming, but also High Performance Computing (HPC) applications use multicast to operate. In particular for HPC, multicast is used in collective communication functions such as MPI_AllGather or MPI_AlltoAll, which are in turn extensively used in HPC applications such as matrix multiplying, fast Fourier transform, etc. Among the applications requiring multicast communication, we can find bandwidth-sensitive or latency-sensitive applications. The former require high bandwidth connectivity since they work with large packet sizes (e.g. hydrodynamic applications), and the latter are dominated for the low latency
communication needs (e.g. CG solvers). In this section, we show how the LIGHTNESS architecture can be used to provide OPS multicast data flows.

Figure 3-12 details the multicast operation of the OPS. The scenario depicted in the figure illustrates how a multicast and a unicast flows coexist in the OPS switch. In particular, the red slashed line corresponds to the multicast flow (using lambda one) whose associated data enter the OPS node through port one (coming from ToR1) and are outputted through (output) ports one and two, thus going to both ToR1 and ToR2. The green dotted line represents a unicast flow that uses lambda two and whose associated packets come into the OPS through port one and are forwarded to ToR2 through port two. For sake of clarification, the content of the LUT implemented in the FPGA is also depicted in the figure. As shown, in the OPS, the multicast flow is defined by an input port (1) and a number of output ports (two in the example, 1 and 2).

The workflow used to create an OPS-based multicast flow is exactly the same as the followed to create unicast flows. The only difference resides in the number of output port actions that are added to the OF FLOW_MOD message during the configuration provided by ODL. Hence, the FPGA-based LUT of the OPS contains two entries for the flow (one for each output port). During operation, the optical packets associated to that flow will be forwarded to all the assigned output ports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Port</th>
<th>Label Port</th>
<th>Output Port</th>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3-12 OPS-based multicast scenario

Figure 3-13 shows a capture of the OF FLOW_MOD packet sent from the controller to the node to configure a multicast OPS flow. The match field contains the input port, the OPS label and the wavelength. In the actions, it can be observed that along with the load assigned to the flow, there are two output port actions (one per output port of the multicast flow). In addition, Figure 3-14 shows the multicast flow detail depicted in the ODL GUI once it has been created.
Figure 3-13 OF FLOW_MOD message for OPS multicast flow

Figure 3-14 OPS multicast flow detail in the ODL GUI
4. Conclusions

The experimental validation of the dynamic provisioning of virtual slices with QoS guarantees for intra-cluster connectivity services within optical data centres has been performed. It has been achieved through the proper integration of the data plane systems and components designed and developed in LIGHTNESS (NIC, ToR, OCS-based AoD and OPS), with the SDN controller based on an extended version of the OpenDaylight platform. This has been achieved by experimentally validating the implementation of the SDN southbound interface based on an extended version of OpenFlow. This way, connectivity services can be provided on demand, and by properly selecting the data plane switching capabilities tailored not only to the QoS requirements from the application layer but also to enable a better usage of the data centre network resources. The next step will be the final demonstration of the LIGHTNESS concepts and solutions for both intra and inter-cluster virtual slices provisioning.
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# 6. Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AoD</td>
<td>Architecture on Demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Program Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Data Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCN</td>
<td>Data Centre Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPGA</td>
<td>Field-Programmable Gate Array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUI</td>
<td>Graphical User Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC</td>
<td>High Performance Computing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUT</td>
<td>Look-Up Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>Northbound interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>Network Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC</td>
<td>Network Interface Card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS</td>
<td>Optical Circuit Switching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODL</td>
<td>OpenDayLight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF</td>
<td>Open Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>Optical Packet Switching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Operating System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoS</td>
<td>Quality of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REST</td>
<td>Representational State Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>Southbound interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDN</td>
<td>Software Defined Networking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToR</td>
<td>Top of the Rack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDC</td>
<td>Virtual Data Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM</td>
<td>Virtual Machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VN</td>
<td>Virtual Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSS</td>
<td>Wavelength Selective Switch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>