

# SYNTHESIS REPORT

## FOR PUBLICATION

CONTRACT N° : BRE2-CT92-0265

PROJECT N° : BE-5654

TITLE : LOW WEIGHT VEHICLE - DESIGN OF ALUMINIUM  
ALLOY BODY STRUCTURES (LWV-DABS)

PROJECT COORDINATOR : S J A THOMPSON

PARTNERS ; ROVER GROUP LTD  
BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AG  
CENTRO RICERCA FIAT SCPA  
GIE PSA PEUGEOT CITROEN  
DAIMLER BENZ AG  
RENAULT SA  
AB VOLVO, TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT /  
(ADAM OPEL AG)

STARTING DATE ; 1 OCT 1992

DURATION : 36. MONTHS



**PROJECT FUNDED BY THE EUROPEAN  
COMMISSION UNDER THE BRITEIWR.A.M  
PROGRAMME**

## 2. AUTHORS NAMES and ADDRESSES

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>W Flaug<br/> BMW Technik GmbH<br/> Hanauer Straße 46<br/> D-80992 München 50<br/> Germany<br/> rel: +4989 14983161<br/> Fax: +49 8914983198</p>   | <p>D Wisselmann<br/> BMW AG, EG-301 ,<br/> Knorrstraße 147<br/> D-80788 München<br/> Germany<br/> Tel: +49 8938242469<br/> Fax: +49 8938242515</p>   |
| <p>H Mehren<br/> DB, Alternative Vehicle Developments<br/> System Integration<br/> Dept. FIWIF, HPC 252<br/> Postfach8002 30<br/> D-7000 Stuttgart 80<br/> Germany<br/> Tel: +49 7111723269<br/> Fax: +49 7111752004</p> | <p>F Charnbeau<br/> PSA Peugeot Citroen<br/> DRASRMT<br/> SAMM - Chemin de la Malmaison<br/> 91570 Briis-sous-Forges<br/> France<br/> Tel: +33 169358137<br/> Fax: +33 169358195</p>                       |
| <p>M Martinotti<br/> Centro Ricerche FIAT<br/> Strada Torino 50<br/> 10043 Cirié (TO)<br/> Italy<br/> Tel: +39 119023593<br/> Fax: +39 119023672</p>   | <p>A Barbe<br/> Renault SA<br/> Service 00862<br/> 67, Rue des Boris Raisins<br/> 92500 Rueil-Malmaison<br/> France<br/> Tel: +33 147772743<br/> Fax: +33 147772628</p>                                    |
| <p>S Thompson<br/> Rover Group<br/> Building 507<br/> Gaydon Test Centre<br/> 13anbury Road, Lighthome<br/> Warwick CV35 9EF<br/> England<br/> Tel: +44 1926643823<br/> Fax: +44 1926643028</p>                          | <p>M Moberg<br/> Volvo Car Corporation<br/> Product Engineering<br/> Dept. 98620<br/> S-405 08 Gothenburg<br/> Sweden<br/> Tel: +46 31596536<br/> Fax: +46 31594089</p>                                    |
| <p>E Axelsson<br/> AB Volvo<br/> Technological Development<br/> Dept. 06720 I-ICBVS<br/> S-405 08 Gothenburg<br/> Sweden<br/> Tel: +46 31664260<br/> Fax: +4631 546188</p>   | <p>A Kaiser<br/> Adam Opel AG<br/> Technical Development Centre Europe<br/> Postfach 1710<br/> Bahnhofsplatz 1<br/> D-6090 Russelsheim<br/> Germany<br/> Tel: +49 6142 663~43<br/> Fax: +49 6142662526</p> |

### 3. Abstract

Current practice within the automobile industry is to design vehicles from sheet steel. A growing concern about the environment and the need to keep running costs to a minimum have meant that automotive manufacturers have been increasingly looking for ways to improve their products. There are a number of ways in which the energy usage of vehicles can be improved including aerodynamics and power train modifications. However this project looks at the designing of vehicles with a significantly reduced body weight. It is estimated that a reduction in total vehicle weight of 10% can lead to fuel savings of between about 3 and 10% dependent on the drive cycle used. A reduction in total vehicle weight of 10% equates to a body weight reduction of 4-6%.

The medium term solution to weight reduction for vehicle bodies is to replace steel panels with aluminium. Aluminium body design can take two basic forms: monocoque construction and spaceframe construction. Monocoque construction implies simple substitution of aluminium for steel with somewhat thicker panels. Spaceframe construction is a more radical departure with the structure being a framework of aluminium extrusions with aluminium or other materials for the sheet panels. The spaceframe approach has been attempted for very low volume production but this is the first attempt at mass market applications.

Automobile designers are very familiar with the designing of monocoque structures but are unfamiliar with the spaceframe concept and the design rules associated with it. This project has established the basic design rules for the various options within a spaceframe concept to enable the designer to make decisions on the methods to be used and also to provide some confidence in the success of designs. This includes both the structure itself and the integration of other components into it.

The project has shown that a number of novel design techniques can be used utilising sheet, extrusions and castings such that very good performance can be achieved both statically and dynamically. The project has also demonstrated the potential to produce these spaceframe vehicles with good acoustic performance. The repair of these structures has also been shown to be feasible for the repair trade.

There are however some questions still unanswered with respect to the ecobalance of aluminium vehicles which needs further study. Further work also needs to be carried out to put into practice the lessons learnt on real vehicles.

:

## 4. INTRODUCTION

This project provides the automotive designer with the tools to be able to design an aluminium spaceframe structure with a significantly lower weight than conventional steel vehicles. This involved looking at acoustics, ecobalance, profile designs, node designs, integration and repair.

### *Acoustics*

Noise transmission within a vehicle is an important aspect to consider because of the impact it has on reputation and customer appeal. Aluminium spaceframe structures will have a significant effect on the acoustic performance of vehicles and it is vital that optimum low noise levels can be achieved. By using previous experience from steel vehicles and dynamic laboratory experiments this task established the design considerations for achieving the desired optimum performance.

### *Ecobalance*

The ecobalance work assessed the environmental impact of aluminium spaceframe vehicles to establish the improvements achievable in terms of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This information enables designers to take CO<sub>2</sub> emissions into consideration from an early stage. The task looked at the energy consumption at all stages-of the extraction of the aluminium, fabrication and manufacturing into a vehicle, the fuel consumption of the vehicle and subsequent disposal. The output, in the form of computer software, can be used by the partners as a design tool to compare the total energy associated with the use of aluminium to other material usage.

### *R-profile Design*

The profiles used to make aluminium spaceframe structures need to be designed such that they can perform structurally in both static and dynamic loading. This approach looked at the potential in terms of extrusion design using computer design techniques (both FE and non-FE types) for achieving structural performance and then these designs were verified using simulators and structural testing (not fully completed). In addition there was also investigations of larger scale subassemblies to establish the interactions of the profiles and the nodes designed in 4.2.1. This culminated in the build of large scale sub-structures for further testing and validation to optimise in terms of weight, stiffness, fatigue and crash performance.

### *Direct Welded Node, Sheet Node, Cast Node Design*

The profiles used to make aluminium spaceframe structures need to be joined together at the nodes which are fundamental to the structural performance of the structure. Three types of node were studied independently to generate the best possible designs for each type. The work established designs using computer analysis techniques and then those designs were verified under static and dynamic loading by building up joint simulators. The tests on the simulators include static bending, torsion, fatigue and impact studies. The guide-lines will be delivered in the form of charts and graphs to enable the partners to scale up or down according to a chosen application.

### *Integration of components*

A design study was undertaken utilising the previous experience of the partner and sub-contractor to establish how the engine, mechanical components and trim items can be attached to a spaceframe structure using the profile and node design rules established in 4.2.1 and 4.2.2. The work developed optimised rules for achieving structural performance in the attachment of all other components. This then forms part of a design strategy which will include considerations for tolerances and joining techniques in an assembly environment. The rules will be delivered in written form.

### *Repair of Major and Minor damage*

A repair route for spaceframe structures following major impact damage needs to be developed such that profiles and nodes can be removed and replaced. Initial work concentrated on establishing the level at which repair will be acceptable and demonstrating this on a laboratory scale. A strategy was then produced for disassembly and reassembly of the profiles and nodes. This task also included the aspect of design for recyclability which is closely related to ease of disassembly, speed and cost of repair which will be an integral part of the repair manual to be produced (being printed).

### *Design of Hydroformed Front End*

Hydroforming is potentially an attractive way to produce structural members where it is advantageous to vary the section profile. Basic extruded profiles maintain the same section throughout their length but hydroforming can be used to give significant changes of form. This is particularly relevant to the engine bay area where a change of form is necessary to fit around the engine and suspension components. The other advantage of hydroforming is that compared to conventional sheet members there is a significant reduction in parts. Using sheet technology a front end would have perhaps three times the number of parts compared to hydroformed parts. This clearly cuts the manufacturing cost. This task set out to establish the feasibility of using aluminium hydroforms for the front end in terms of manufacturability and also structural performance. This task is outside the original remit of the project and is not funded. It is also not yet completed.

## 5. TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION

### *Acoustics*

Special test equipment was developed to determine the characteristics of panels and structures fixed in a stiff frame. FE analysis was performed and showed good correlation to the experimental results. Using bitumen heavy layers a similar acoustic behaviour to damped steel can be obtained.

The results show that the material substitution from steel to aluminium panels in spaceframe concepts is not acoustically critical. A weight reduction of about 30% in the panels will be realised in a real car although stiffness reduction with aluminium must be compensated for. An overall weight reduction of 40% seems obtainable.

### *Ecobalance*

A lifetime ecobalance for the aluminium car body has been established. The environmental burden of energy use and CO<sub>2</sub> emission was evaluated step by step for the aluminium car body and a screening comparison with a steel concept was made.

The results indicate the difficulty experienced in trying to compare structures made of different materials. No clear benefit for aluminium has been demonstrated in this work and it is clear that further work is needed.

### *Profile Design*

The work highlighted the viscoplastic behaviour of the aluminium for various strain rates. To quantify the energy absorption, the "specific energy" for each type of profile was calculated to enable a comparison of the performance of steel and aluminium.

The second aim was to develop the front block of an aluminium alloy spaceframe structure. Firstly the behaviour of a primitive structure with only integrated profiles was evaluated. Work concentrated on the frontal impact (0°) performance at 55 km/h with a limit to the deceleration level of the car (under 40g to start). Optimisation led to the final geometry which gave an acceptable performance. Finally the good behaviour of the structure was verified by testing both statically and dynamically.

### *Direct Welded Nodes*

The starting point was a reference structure and a selection of nodes to be designed and investigated in the further tasks. The calculation of crash behaviour was carried out using existing non-FE software extended to compute single-, double- and multi-cellular extrusions. Static and dynamic tests were done to verify the analytical equations and assumptions as well as the proper running of the software and there was good correspondence between software results and tests.

A design based on aluminium profiles with direct welded nodes is one of the fundamental design methods for aluminium". The work done at direct welded joints confirms the technical feasibility of such a vehicle structure but gives also indications that there will always be a sheet metal content.

After sample manufacture, an extensive test program showed good performance under crash conditions. Static performance also exceeded the targets.

### *Sheet Node Design*

A reference space-frame structure was modeled using extruded tubes. Structural targets were assigned to the structure and an FE model developed. The result obtained, via numerical simulation, was satisfactory. Numerical simulations were also made to determine the impact performance for hollow rectangular members.

Different geometric model nodes were studied by assembling together stamped sheets with extruded beams using either adhesive bonding or arc welding. The reference technique to join the shells to each other was adhesive.

Investigations of the structural behaviour have shown that the stiffness value is equivalent to a steel node and good performance can be obtained with a weight saving of 15 to 30 %, although poor behaviour was exhibited under crash conditions.

### *Cast Node Design, Integration and Repair*

The aim was to develop cast connecting joints (nodes) for aluminium bodies. A spaceframe structure was investigated using nodes and profiles to validate the use of aluminium. The spaceframe would be constructed such that aluminium body panels could be added to complete an aH aluminium car body.

The project concentrated on one discrete node; the middle A post node. This joint is generally the most structurally critical and technically difficult to design on the majority of cars due to four major structural members converging in this area.

The integration task looked at joining parts to a spaceframe where build techniques need to be modified. The repair task looked at minor and major repair. It concentrated specifically on what needs to be done differently for aluminium spaceframe vehicles compared to monocoque steel vehicles for the repair trade.

### *Design of a Hydroformed Front End*

For reference a steel car, the Opel Corsa II, was chosen. The design of the complete hydroformed front structure had to meet the same package conditions as the base vehicle. The front structure was developed with hydroformed closed profiles because this technology has good potential for crash behaviour, stiffness and weight reduction in comparison to conventional tooling design.

Based on this design proposal a stiffness calculation was done for the steel version and the aluminium hydroformed version. The aluminium version showed a loss of **790 in** comparison to the steel version with a weight reduction of about 7%.

For hydroforming the ASE-process, was selected. One node from the complete front structure was designed, optimised and manufactured. It demonstrated that the process is feasible for this type of application. The quality of the part however needs to be improved before it could be used on a production vehicle.

## 6. RESULTS

### *Acoustics*

- Using bitumen heavy layers similar acoustic behaviour to damped steel is obtained.
- An overall weight reduction of 4@ZG seems obtainable with good acoustic behaviour.

### *Ecobalance*

- The results indicate the difficulty in trying to compare structures of different material.
- No clear benefit for aluminium has been demonstrated; further work is needed.

### *Profile Design*

- The optimised front block showed good results in crash testing.

### *Direct Welded Nodes*

- Static and dynamic tests agreed well with the software results.
- The work done at direct welded joints confirms the technical feasibility of the vehicle structure but gives also indications that there will always be a sheet metal content.
- The test results obtained showed a good performance under crash conditions.
- Static performance exceeded the targets.

### *Sheet Node Design*

- A space-frame structure was modelled using extruded tubes and the numerical simulation gave satisfactory results.
- Numerical simulations were also made to determine the impact performance and the results exceeded the targets.
- Investigations of the structural behaviour of adhesive bonded sheet nodes have shown that the stiffness value is equivalent to a steel node and good performance can be obtained with a weight saving of between 15 to 30 %, although poor behaviour was exhibited under crash conditions.

### *Cast Node Design, Integration and Repair*

- The cast node work showed that a practical design in terms of predicted performance could be designed and manufactured.
- A practical design for a casting could feature parts integration to reduce overall costs.
- The integration task showed how to join parts to a spaceframe.
- The repair task showed overall feasibility for spaceframe vehicle repair although at higher-cost than for steel vehicles.

### *Design of a Hydroformed Front End*

- The aluminium hydroformed front end parts showed a loss of 7% in comparison to the steel version with a weight reduction of about **7\$10**.
- The process has been demonstrated to be feasible for this type of application. The quality of the part however needs to be improved before it could be used on a production vehicle.



## 7. CONCLUSIONS

### *Acoustics*

The results show clearly that the material substitution from steel to aluminium panek in spaceframe concepts is not acoustically critical. A weight reduction of about 3070 in the panels will be realised in a real car with equivalent performance to that of a conventional current steel vehicle. To meet the acoustic targets in a spaceframe car there are further requirements concerning the stiffness properties of the spaceframe. Simulation studies have shown that the stiffness reduction by the aluminium must be compensated for. Therefore an overall weight reduction of about 40920 seems obtainable.

### *Ecobalance*

#### *Ah.mwkiun irwento~*

- 1) The first thing we can conclude was that the use phase was the largest energy consumer and source of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. It was about ten times larger compared to the production phase calculated on the lifetime of the car.
- 2) The choice of allocation method for the recycled material was important. The method used gives high credit to the recycled material, which makes recycling very profitable and necessary to get good energy economy and lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
- 3) For the production phase it can be concluded that the extraction of bauxite and production of primary aluminium takes about one tenth of the energy required to produce aluminium.

#### *Comparison of aluminium - steel: ZX-Z13:*

For many reasons, it was impossible to realise the comparison between the ecobalances of the ZX and the Z13. But some parameters have been pointed out because of their huge influence on the results. For further studies attention must be paid to these parameters and the assumptions must be fully transparent.

#### *Screening comparison aluminium-steel:*

The conclusion of this comparison evaluated with the EPS system is that the difference between aluminium and steel over the total life cycle is very small, although the aluminium concept seems to be environmentally better. However, the probability that aluminium is better than steel is only about 60%. So the choice between the two concepts is very difficult and the choice of assumptions is critical. The production of aluminium is more energy consuming and CO<sub>2</sub> emitting than steel production. But, regarding the recycling scenarios and the allocation method, the difference is lowered. The final results over the life cycle are mostly dominated by the use phase. This dominance makes the following parameters very crucial:

- the 390 fuel consumption reduction for a 1070 reduction mass,
- the choice of 0,61110 km,
- the driving distance.

A change on one of these assumptions can radically modify the final results of the study.

In conclusion the difference between the two vehicles was very small, and for further studies attention must be paid to the choice and the transparency of the assumptions at the beginning of the study.

### *Proj21e Design*

Good crash performance can be obtained using profiles in aluminium for the front end of a vehicle. Simulation of the performance gives good agreement and rules have been established to understand where the simulation differs from the real case. The calculation tends to overestimate the stiffness of the front end leading to a higher level of deceleration than the experimental values. This c'm be explained by:-

- . the constitutive law, even fitted with adapted parameters, does not represent the exact behaviour of aluminium. It is important to develop a specific constitutive law taking into account the anisotropy and viscosity (even if low) of aluminium.
- o the assembly modeling does not enable good characterisation of spotweld rupture or the heat affected zone which was greatly w{akened. It was impossible to mesh the weld bead: a large model would considerably increase the calculation time.
- the geometry does not exactly correspond to reality as it was difficult to model accurately the junctions between profdes and panels. This last remark was due to the fact that it was undesirable to penalise the calculation efficiency introducing too small elements (minimum dimension for a quad is 15 mm).

### *Direct Welded Node Design*

A task by task evaluation leads to the following statements:

- The closer to real vehicle package conditions the higher the general acceptance of achieved results.
- The calculated figures and diagrams coming from the new impact performance software module are much more precise than expected.
- Compared to the purpose to have a tool to make comparisons and decisions in the conceptual stage of structure design, a very good tool has been developed.
- Direct welded joint design is consistent to a very high degree with any given vehicle package.
- There has been found a "simple" solution for a generally critical area of the vehlck's side members. Bent extrusions and, much more complicated (and expensive) end cuts won't be better from the beginning.
- Static crush-tests finally confined the principle design of the main energy-absorbing elements of the front structure: a step-by-step increase of energy absorption capability has been proved.
- The dynamic crash of the front structure confined the advantages using aluminium for energy absorbing reasons. Furthermore, the design of the main force transmitting members has been proven once more.
- The correlation between calclation and test results was better than expected.
- Detecting fatigue-behaviour of separated sub-assemblies under real conditions was difficult. A better approach would be a special test-arrangement only to compare the possible variants.

### *Sheet Node Design*

Building an aluminium space frame, the stamped sheet node design represents an opportunity to realise the connection among the ex@ded beams. They offer the advantage to not realise very rigid constraints (they can deform locally without compromising performance) during the assembly process of the frame and also they don't require specific processing of the beams.

Designing such a node, mechanical performance (like stiffness) has to be considered first; strength (stresses under yield point) was generally automatically assured. The sheet thickness is driven by node stiffness targets. Generally the high value of the thickness employed, if compared to steel, reduces the risk of local buckling. From the point of view of the performance, sheet nodes exhibit stiffness values comparable to conventional design with a weight saving ranging from 15 to 30% while the behaviour under impact load seems to be poor.

Adhesive bonding has been recognised to be the best joining technique either to link the shells to each other or to connect shells with beams. Only open section assembly sequence has been considered to minimise the risk of removing the glue during the manufacturing process. A good value of overlap has been shown to be 60 to 70 mm. Also butt welding, between node and beams, has been considered; this technique offers good performance but it presents difficulties during the node realisation.

#### *Cast Node Design, Integration and Repair*

It can be concluded from the cast node design work, although the testing is still to be completed, that cast nodes can be designed where they are useful, despite the high cost of the parts. Complicated designs which integrate a number of features such as the hinge reinforcements can be manufactured to fairly good tolerances with predicted good performance. The testing will prove the real life performance of the designs, however the material properties established in the MABS project are good.

The integration of all other vehicle features into a spaceframe vehicle is relatively straightforward with no major concerns regardless of the node technique employed.

A set of procedures for minor damage repair has been established which can give adequate performance. These procedures are relevant to the repair trade as it exists today. A set of procedures has also been proposed for major damage repair but these have not yet been fully verified.

#### *Design of Hydroformed Front End*

The results for the hydroformed front end show that this technology can generally be used for production of structural body parts in aluminium. Main limitations however are given in the low material elongation of aluminium which allows only small changes in profile sizes and in limited available joining techniques. For these two aspects further studies for improvements are required.

## 8. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly I would like to thank our EC Scientific Officer Mr Christos Tokamanis for his support throughout the project. His understanding approach to the very difficult problems of timing with the cast node task in particular, which originated with subcontractors, has been much appreciated.

Thanks should also be given to all of the partners in the project who have worked hard on their tasks and shown a willingness to be open and honest in discussions. A list of the partrqs is given below.

I would also like to thank the subcontractors in the project who have contributed greatly their knowledge and expertise. The major ones of these are:-

- Chemnitzer Werkstoffmechanik - Prof. Bemd Michel and Dr Norbert Rtimnier - Acoustics
- Impact Design - Dr T Wierzbicki and Dr W Abramowicz - Software Development
- Hawtal Whiting - Mr Carl Darlington - for design work.
- Hydro-Aluminium - Mr Carl Lewin, Mr Frode Fredriksen for supply of extrusions.
- AMAG - Dr Peter Degischer and Mr H Gallhammer- for supply of extrusions.

I would also like to acknowledge the very important role played by the LWV Steering Group with representatives from all of the LWV automotive partners and also the aluminium supply industry. The guidance of Dr Keith France (now EUCAR General Secretary) and this senior group helped significantly to focus the activities of the project in the right areas and to promote discussions across the four LWV projects.

Finally I would like to acknowledge the tremendous atmosphere that was setup during this project and its sister LWV projects. The level of teamwork, information interchange and social interaction was of great value to all of the participants as well as to Europe as a whole. There is now a great understanding of the issues associated with Low Weight Alurninium Vehicles and a network of contacts across the whole of Europe. It is certainly hoped that this network will continue after the end of the project.