



WE-STAY

Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth

Final Report

June, 2013



**WE-STAY has been funded by the EU as a cooperative action
under the 7th Framework Programme
Grant Agreement HEALTH-2010-241542**



PROJECT FINAL REPORT

Grant Agreement number: 241542

Project acronym: WE-STAY

Project title: Working Together to Stop Truancy Among Youth

Funding Scheme: Collaborative project

Period covered: from 01/05/2010 to 30/04/2013

Name of the scientific representative of the project's co-ordinator¹, Title and Organisation:

Danuta Wasserman, M.D., Ph.D.

Professor in Psychiatry and Suicidology

Head of the National Centre for Suicide Research and Prevention of Mental Ill-Health (NASP),
Karolinska Institutet

Tel: +46-8524-86593

Fax: +46-8314-303

E-mail: danuta.wasserman@ki.se

Project website **Errore. Il segnalibro non è definito. address:** www.we-stay.eu



¹ Usually the contact person of the coordinator as specified in Art. 8.1. of the Grant Agreement.

List of Beneficiaries

Beneficiary Number	Beneficiary name	Beneficiary short name	Country	Date enter project	Date exit project
1 (Coordinator)	Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet	KI	Sweden	1	36
2	Estonian-Swedish Mental Health & Suicidology Institute	ERSI	Estonia	1	36
3	Nancy University Medical Centre, University of Nancy	NUMC	France	1	36
4	Clinic of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Heidelberg	UKL-HD	Germany	1	36
5	Vadaskert Child and Adolescent Hospital, Budapest	VCAH	Hungary	1	36
6	Schneider Children Medical Centre, Tel Aviv University	SCMCI	Israel	1	36
7	Department of Health Sciences, University of Molise	UNIMOL	Italy	1	36
8	Clinical Psychology and Mental Health Department, Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy	UMF-CN	Romania	1	36
9	Mental Health Department, University of Primorska	UPR	Slovenia	1	36
10	Department of Medicine (Psychiatry), University of Oviedo	UNIOVI	Spain	1	36

Table of Contents

Final publishable summary report	6
1. Executive Summary	6
2. Project context and objectives	7
2.1. Background.....	7
2.2. Intervention programs for truancy.....	8
2.3. Challenges for truancy interventions.....	9
2.4. Objectives of the WE-STAY project	10
2.4.1. References.....	11
3. Main S&T results/foregrounds	13
3.1. Analysis of baseline data.....	13
3.1.1. Description of the sample and main evaluations.....	13
3.1.2. Truancy data	13
3.1.3. Activities and Reasons when/to skipping school.....	15
3.1.4. Children school refusal and truancy	16
3.1.5. Bullying, affiliation and truancy	16
3.1.6. Lifestyles and truancy.....	17
3.1.7. Risky behaviours and truancy	17
3.1.8. Parents' monitoring and truancy.....	18
3.1.9. Antisocial behaviour, Conduct Disorder symptoms and truancy.....	18
3.1.10. Truancy and well-being, behavioural and emotional difficulties, stress and coping.....	19
3.1.11. Depression, anxiety and truancy.....	20
3.1.12. Suicidal ideation and suicide attempt and truancy	21
3.1.13. Self harm and truancy	21
3.1.14. Overall parents' sample and main evaluations.....	21
3.1.15. Parents' awareness of children' truancy.....	22
3.1.16. Parents' evaluation of their child school refusal (SRAS-R, Parent version).....	22
3.1.17. Parents' opinion about bullying	23
3.1.18. Parents' monitoring and children truancy.....	23
3.1.19. Parents' believes about what is important at school	24
3.1.20. Parents' feelings to receive sufficient information from schools.....	24
3.1.21. Parents' beliefs about truancy and their and school's responsibilities	25
3.1.22. Parents' academic expectancy and Truancy in Children.....	26
3.1.23. Parents' awareness of mental health in the young.....	26
3.1.24. Parents' evaluation of children strengths and difficulties (SDQ - Parent version) and truancy	27

3.1.25.	Well-being, anxiety and depressive symptoms in parents and truancy in children	27
3.2.	Analysis of 1-month follow-up data.....	28
3.2.1.	1-month follow-up descriptive data	28
3.2.2.	Overall effects of interventions.....	30
3.2.3.	Truancy-Screen	30
3.2.4.	Truancy-Aware	31
3.2.5.	Truancy-Combined	32
3.2.6.	Truancy-Mic	33
3.3.	Analysis of 12-month follow-up data	35
3.3.1.	12-month follow-up descriptive data.....	35
3.3.2.	Overall changes in truancy.....	36
3.3.3.	Changes in truancy depending on intervention arm	36
3.3.4.	Overall effects of interventions.....	37
3.3.5.	Truancy-Screen	38
3.3.6.	Truancy-Aware	39
3.3.7.	Truancy-Combined	40
3.3.8.	Truancy-Mic	42
4.	Potential Impact of WE-STAY main findings and recommendations	44
4.1.	Screening Program (TRUANCY- SCREEN).....	46
4.2.	Awareness Increasing Intervention (TRUANCY-AWARE)	47
4.3.	Combination of Awareness and Screening Interventions (TRUANCY-COMBINED)	48
4.4.	Mechanistic Intervention and Control of Truancy (TRUANCY-MIC)	48
4.5.	Main dissemination activities	49
4.6.	Contact details	52
	Use and dissemination of foreground	54
5.	Table A1: List of planned scientific publications	54
6.	Table A2: List of dissemination activities	56
7.	Table B2: Exploitable foregrounds.....	70

Final publishable summary report

I. Executive Summary

Truancy is a serious public health problem that affects youth; however, little is known about the underlying social and psychological factors among those adolescents who truant. Existing truancy interventions focus primarily on reducing adolescent absenteeism in order to improve school attendance rates, without addressing social and psychological issues associated with truancy. In an extensive effort to explore the relationship between truancy and mental health, the WE-STAY project was developed with the aim to collect important epidemiological data on truancy and to perform a randomized controlled trial of interventions in order to assess its efficacy in preventing truancy and promoting mental health among European adolescents. In the WE-STAY project, a large sample ($N=11,186$) of adolescents (M/F: 5568/5558; 60 with missing gender; mean age: 15.09 ± 1.3) was recruited from six study sites across Europe: Estonia, Germany, Israel, Italy, Romania and Spain. Epidemiological data was collected through a comprehensive questionnaire comprising 435 variables related to socio-demographics, mental health, social factors and attitudes towards school. Adolescents were randomized into one of the four intervention arms: professional screening (TRUANCY-SCREEN), awareness of truancy and mental health problems (TRUANCY-AWARE), combination of the professional screening and awareness interventions (TRUANCY-COMBINE) and a mechanistic control arm for truancy (TRUANCY-MIC). Baseline, 1-month and 12-month follow-up questionnaires were administered and completed by adolescents and parents. Results showed that 17.8% of the sample reported to have skipped school three or more days per month without a valid excuse in the past year, thus, were considered truant pupils. Truancy was higher among males compared to females (57% vs. 43%, $p<0.0001$) and slightly older (15.5 ± 1.3 vs. 15.0 ± 1.3 , $p<0.0001$). At baseline, truancy was associated with poor mental health, risk-behaviours and peer victimization. In particular, truant pupils were more likely to drink alcohol (24% vs. 7.4%; $p<0.0001$), use drugs (25.9% vs. 8.8%; $p<0.0001$), smoke cigarettes (75% vs. 41.3%; $p<0.0001$), have a higher BMI (21.3 ± 3.6 vs. 20.8 ± 3.3 ; $p=0.028$), report less days of physical activity per week (2.2 ± 2 vs. 2.4 ± 2 ; $p=0.003$) and to be bullied (20.4% vs. 15.2%; $p<0.0001$).

Longitudinal within-group analyses revealed that all interventions significantly reduced truancy. Between-group analyses showed that the number of truant pupils who changed their behaviour to being less truant at the 12-month follow up was significantly higher ($p<0.05$) in the TRUANCY-COMBINE arm (58.9%) in comparison with the TRUANCY-SCREEN arm (47.8%) and the TRUANCY-MIC arm (50.6%). No significant differences were found between the TRUANCY-COMBINE and TRUANCY-AWARE arms (51.8%). The TRUANCY-SCREEN and TRUANCY-AWARE interventions both showed to increase well-being and decrease psychiatric symptoms, such as depression, suicidal ideation, conduct problems and hyperactivity among pupils. Even if the TRUANCY-COMBINE intervention was more effective than the TRUANCY-SCREEN intervention in reducing truancy, the positive effects, in terms of mental health improvement, of the TRUANCY-COMBINE intervention was not significantly larger than the effects of either the TRUANCY-AWARE or TRUANCY-SCREEN interventions when administered alone. Moreover, using the TRUANCY-COMBINE intervention on the same subjects appeared to be highly demanding in terms of time and resources. On the basis of WE-STAY results, and in the absence of a significant augmentation potential, it is not recommended to combine awareness increasing interventions and professional screening interventions on the same subjects. The same effects in terms of both, truancy reduction and mental health improvement, were obtained by the TRUANCY-AWARE intervention alone. The TRUANCY-MIC intervention, contrary to the other interventions, had no positive effects on the mental health of truant pupils, with the exception of an obvious decrease in conduct problems (i.e. truancy itself), and a slight increase in active coping, which was probably activated by forcing pupils to attend school. WE-STAY results, therefore, indicate that including a mental health component in intervention programs for truancy is highly recommended. Otherwise, even if truancy might be reduced in a mechanistic control approach, mental health problems, which are common among truant pupils, are not affected in any way.

2. Project context and objectives

2.1. Background

Truancy is a serious public health problem that affects youth from all countries around the world; however, little is known about the short- and long-term outcomes of underlying social and psychological factors among those adolescents who truant. Research has suggested that there are severe implications of truancy, such as misbehaviour, failed courses and early dropouts [1]. Truancy is believed to be a predictive marker for future behavioural and psychological problems; thus, preventing truancy is an essential component in mental health promotion. A literature review has indicated that the majority of intervention programs for truancy are performed in the United States (US). These interventions focus primarily on reducing adolescent absenteeism in order to improve school attendance rates. This approach is important, however, does not necessarily address the underlying social and psychological issues associated with truancy. It is essential to understand the psychological underpinnings among adolescents who truant in order to develop comprehensive and effective interventions. Implementation and evaluation of interventions aimed at preventing truancy and promoting mental health, particularly within the European context, are key initiatives in resolving the core problem in Europe.

Prevalence of truancy

As many as 30% of adolescents are absent from school at any given time [2]; however, those who are actually truant is conservatively estimated to be between 1% and 5% [3]. The majority of data on truancy originates from the US through court ordered petitions. Truancy is often defined by US State statutes and is translated into school policies by local school districts. As many US school district procedures for absenteeism rely on legal classifications of truancy and perfunctory referral to juvenile justice systems [4], court referrals and public-based services often perform truancy court proceedings within the school milieu [5]. In the Ramsey Court Juvenile System in Minnesota, court petitions for truancy increased over 300 percent from 117 to 507 petitions during a two-year period [6]; while, in Denver, the juvenile court reported a truancy prevalence of 9% [7]. The US Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) reported that national court petitioned truants increased 67 percent from 34,100 to 57,000 cases during 1995-2007 [8]. It is estimated that the chronic truants referred to court proceedings are a comparatively small proportion of total truants in the US [9]. In a US nationwide survey, Henry [10] ascertained that up to 35% of twelfth graders reported truancy, which is a moderately higher prevalence compared to those reported by the juvenile justice systems.

There remains a scarcity of research assessing the prevalence of truancy in other countries, however, with the exception of a few studies. In Africa, Muula and colleagues [11] performed a study on truancy in Zambia. Results showed that 58.8% of the participants (58.1% of males and 58.4% of females) reported being truant. In Swaziland, the prevalence of truancy among adolescents was 21.6% (27.4% in males and 17.9% in females) [12]. The only studies in Europe were performed in the UK. A study performed in Edinburgh, Scotland found that the prevalence of truancy increased with age, i.e. 18% of students reported truanting per year in primary school and 44% per year in secondary school [13]. Attwood and Croll [14] found similar results in England, with the prevalence of truancy increasing by 50% in students attending grade 11 compared to grade 10. Overall, the study found that, in England, students who reported truancy during the past year increased from 1.1% in Year 7 to 9.5% in Year 11. In Zurich, Switzerland, an epidemiological study found that the prevalence of truancy increased during the one-year study period from 5% to 18.4% [15]. The relatively high prevalence of truancy and discrepancies reported globally justifies a cause for concern.

Truancy and risk-behaviours

There are multiple studies indicating a significant relationship between truancy and risk-behaviours [16-19]. Chou and colleagues [20] found that there is a potential reciprocal relationship between truancy and substance use (i.e. alcohol, marijuana, tobacco, ecstasy and ketamine). This has been corroborated in other studies. Henry and Huizinga [21] showed that truancy was a significant predictor of alcohol, tobacco and

marijuana use among adolescents aged 11-15 years; the robust influence of truancy even continued after controlling for latent confounding factors. A study performed in Norway revealed that truant adolescents reported significantly higher levels of binge drinking compared to non-truant adolescents; results showed that truants were four times more likely to report regular drunkenness and two times more likely to report problems associated with their alcohol consumption [22]. In addition to finding a significant association between truancy and alcohol use, Siziya et al [12] reported that truancy was also linked with bully victimization, which was later substantiated by Ervasti and colleagues [23]. In a more recent study, Mulla et al [24] confirmed these findings by showing an increased relative risk of truancy among students who have been bullied (AOR = 1.34, 95% CI [1.32, 1.36]) and used alcohol (AOR = 2.19, 95% CI [2.16, 2.23]). In the same study, the authors also found that pupils whose parents or guardians checked their homework (AOR = 0.91 95% CI, [0.89, 0.92]) and those who reported parental supervision (AOR = 0.94, 95% CI [0.92-0.95]) were less likely to report truancy.

Peltzer [25] showed that risk-behaviours (e.g. substance misuse) were a significant influential factor in mediating truancy. As part of an effort in the United Kingdom (UK) to eradicate substance misuse and promote mental health in schools, the European School Project on Alcohol and Other Drugs (ESPAD) was implemented. The results of this project indicated that the presence of psychiatric symptoms and risk-behaviours was strongly associated with truancy [26]. Authors concluded that having parental support was a potential protective factor for truancy risk. In a similar initiative conducted by the US, a National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) was performed among a representative adolescent sample. The results of this survey found that truancy was robustly associated with externalizing problems and less parental support [27]. Involving parents in truancy intervention programs could be an essential component in improving school attendance and mental health among truant adolescents [28]. It can be postulated that just informing parents about their child's school attendance can theoretically reduce truancy rates and increase psychological well-being.

Truancy and mental ill-health

Conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, behavioural disorder and depression have been found to precipitate and exacerbate truancy [29-32]. Steinhausen and colleagues [15] reported that there are common physiognomies shared among truant adolescents, such as anxiety, aggression and internalizing problems. Adolescents who are truant often have difficulties with maladjustment [2], low self-esteem, negative self-image [33], social phobia [34] and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [35]. However, it is apparent that there is still little known about the relationship between truancy, psychiatric symptoms and suicidality. An improved understanding of this relationship is essential for the development of scientifically sound prevention programs. Addressing psychological symptoms among truant adolescents could prove beneficial in truancy prevention interventions. At the same time, reducing truancy could be beneficial in improving adolescents' mental health. An inpatient cognitive-behavioural treatment (CBT) intervention possibly supports this theory. Results of this study showed that adolescents with chronic anxious-depressive school absenteeism that underwent the program reported less psychological symptoms and increased school attendance compared to the control group [36]. This suggests that truancy and mental ill-health should be addressed collectively.

2.2. Intervention programs for truancy

Evaluation of school-based programs

There are only a small proportion of studies that have actually evaluated the efficacy of truancy interventions. The majority of intervention programs often focus on reducing school absenteeism, without addressing the aetiology of truancy and its psychological correlates. The initiatives of such interventions are to decrease school absenteeism in order to improve school attendance. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Tanner-Smith and Wilson [37] analysed 74 studies concerning the efficacy of dropout prevention programs on reducing school absenteeism. Studies included both randomized controlled trials and quasi-experimental studies. All studies were performed in the US, with the exception of one study. Results

indicated that dropout programs had positive effects on reducing school absenteeism. Vocational-oriented and supplemental academic training programs were most favourable.

In the US, the Check and Connect program is a school-based intervention aimed at engaging students in school and supporting regular school attendance through mentoring and monitoring relationships between students, families and school staff [38]. In an evaluation of this program, results indicated that high-quality relationships between students and school staff were associated with higher school engagement; however, the depiction of truancy punitive discipline policies by schools negatively affected the efficacy of this intervention [39]. These outcomes suggest that punitive actions for truancy could be counterproductive. This is confirmed by Flannery and colleagues [40] who showed that repeated out-of-school suspension of truant adolescents actually accelerates truancy rates.

Evaluation of court system-based programs

Truancy programs in the US often utilize legal actions through the court system in order to handle chronic absenteeism. There are conflicting results concerning the effectiveness of such interventions. In the Ada County Attendance Court program, truant adolescents are petitioned to the court by school officials. The court summons both the adolescent and guardian. Community referrals are appointed by the judge in order to improve school attendance rates. The court monitors the results through further hearings and school records. Independent research analyses of this intervention indicated that truancy decreased significantly after the first hearing in attendance court [41]; however, it is unknown if this improvement was sustained overtime.

In another court system-based intervention, truant students were collected by police officers and taken to the central Truancy Unit. Adolescents were then processed by police officers and assessed by social service personnel. Students received informal consultations with a social worker and were under compulsory silence. Outcomes showed that the Truancy Unit did not have an effect in the short-term assessment, and even had a negative impact on the long-term evaluation [42].

In many communities in the United States, truancy programs remain sanctioned. Resources for these truancy programs are focused on classifying, localizing and transitioning truant adolescents back into their respective schools and serving them with sanctions or citations. These intervention initiatives often include official arbitration, police involvement, deferment or remedial programs, which have not been shown to be effective in solving the underlying concerns fostering truancy [43].

2.3. Challenges for truancy interventions

As previously stated, the majority of the research published on truancy is primarily performed in the US. The need to prevent truancy and school drop-outs is primarily addressed through court ordered mandates; while, in Europe, there is relatively no data available. Studies on truancy are often descriptive in nature and do not address the psychological factors associated with truancy. There are only a small proportion of studies that actually evaluate the efficiency of truancy programs. Challenges in the implementation of interventions and empirically validated results on the short- and long-term outcomes of these programs are rarely mentioned [44]. The obvious lack of empirical studies performed to ascertain the effectiveness of truancy programs impedes our understanding of the condition. Descriptive and explorative studies are important to improve our knowledge of truancy; however, more studies evaluating the efficacy of truancy interventions are essential for determining if these programs successfully serve their targeted populations and improve the psychosocial functioning of truant youth [45]. This scientific information is vital for developing effective truancy policies in Europe that actually improve both school attendance and mental health among truant adolescents.

2.4. Objectives of the WE-STAY project

In the effort of further exploring the relationship between truancy and mental health and of developing effective prevention programs to prevent truancy, the WE-STAY project had the following objectives:

1. *Gather information on truancy on European adolescents:*
 - estimate the prevalence of truancy,
 - gather data on social-psychological and psychiatric correlates of truancy,
 - gather data on school and family attitudes towards truancy.
2. *Perform three intervention school-based programmes for adolescents, with objectives to reduce truancy rates and improve mental health of students and compare the results with an intervention based on the mechanistic control of truancy. The following interventions will be performed:*
 - Universal intervention, with an awareness program (TRUANCY-AWARE), aimed at reducing truancy by increased awareness of students, schools and families to seek psychological support and treatment;
 - Professional screening and referral (TRUANCY-SCREEN) aimed at reducing truancy by early identification of psychological distress and mental health problems, and referral to professional treatment;
 - Combined intervention that includes the Universal awareness program and screening by professionals with referral;
 - Mechanistic intervention (TRUANCY-MIC) aimed at reducing truancy advocating parental and school control (communication by e-mail, sms, phone calls, letters).
3. *Evaluate outcomes of all interventions, from a multidisciplinary perspective including social and psychological aspects.*
4. *Recommend effective, culturally adjusted models for preventing truancy and promoting mental health of adolescents in different European countries, by providing recommendation on best practices to prevent truancy and the associated mental health symptoms.*

2.4.1. References

1. Balfanz, R., L. Herzog, and D.J.M. Iver, Preventing Student Disengagement and Keeping Students on the Graduation Path in Urban Middle- grades Schools: Early Identification and Effective Interventions. *EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGIST*, 2007. 42(4): p. 223-235.
2. Yahaya, A., et al., The effects of various modes of absenteeism problem in school on the academic performance of students in secondary schools. *European of Social Sciences* 2010. 12 (4): p. 624-639.
3. Pellegrini, D.W., *School Non-attendance: Definitions, meanings, responses, interventions*. *Educational Psychology in Practice*, 2007. 23(1): p. 63-77.
4. Zhang, M., Time to Change the Truancy Laws? Compulsory Education: Its Origin and Modern Dilemma. *Pastoral Care in Education*, 2004. 22(2): p. 27-33.
5. Fantuzzo, J., S. Grim, and H. Hazan, Project start: An evaluation of a community-wide school-based intervention to reduce truancy. *Psychology in the Schools*, 2005. 42(6): p. 657-667.
6. Richtman, K., The truancy intervention program of the Ramsey County Attorney's office: a collaborative approach to school success. *Family Court Review*, 2007. 45 (3): p. 421-437.
7. CFFC, *TRUANCY IN DENVER: PREVALENCE, EFFECTS AND INTERVENTIONS*, T.C.F.f.a.C. (CFFC), Editor 2006: Denver. p. 75.
8. Flaherty, C.W., R.D. Sutphen, and G.E. Ely, Examining Substance Abuse in Truant Youths and Their Caregivers: Implications for Truancy Intervention. *Children & Schools*, 2012. 34(4): p. 201-211.
9. Kilma, T., Miller, M., & Nunlist, C. What works? Targeted truancy and dropout programs in middle and high school. 2009 [cited 2013 June 12]; Available from: <http://cs.oxfordjournals.org/content/34/4/201.full.pdf+html>.
10. Henry, K.L., Who's skipping school: characteristics of truants in 8th and 10th grade. *The Journal of school health*, 2007. 77(1): p. 29-35.
11. Muula, A.S., et al., Prevalence and correlates for school truancy among pupils in grades 7-10: results from the 2004 Zambia Global School-based Health Survey. *BMC research notes*, 2012. 5(48): p. 1756-0500.
12. Siziya, S., A.S. Muula, and E. Rudatsikira, Prevalence and correlates of truancy among adolescents in Swaziland: findings from the Global School-Based Health Survey. *Child and adolescent psychiatry and mental health*, 2007. 1(1): p. 15.
13. McAra, L., *Truancy, school exclusion, and substance misuse*. The Edinburgh Study of Youth Transitions and Crime. Vol. 4. 2004, Edinburgh: Centre for Law and Society, The University of Edinburgh.
14. Attwood, G. and P. Croll, *Truancy in secondary school pupils: prevalence, trajectories and pupil perspectives*. *Research Papers in Education*, 2006. 21(4): p. 467-84.
15. Steinhausen, H.C., N. Muller, and C.W. Metzke, *Frequency, stability and differentiation of self-reported school fear and truancy in a community sample*. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health*, 2008. 2(1): p. 1753-2000.
16. Ramirez, M., et al., Youth violence across multiple dimensions: a study of violence, absenteeism, and suspensions among middle school children. *The Journal of pediatrics*, 2012. 161(3): p. 542-546.
17. Pan, L., et al., *The association of obesity and school absenteeism attributed to illness or injury among adolescents in the United States*, 2009. *The Journal of adolescent health : official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*, 2013. 52(1): p. 64-9.
18. Houck, C.D., et al., *Truancy is associated with sexual risk among early adolescents*. *Journal of developmental and behavioral pediatrics : JDBP*, 2012. 33(9): p. 728-31.
19. Li, Y., et al., Association between increased BMI and severe school absenteeism among US children and adolescents: findings from a national survey, 2005-2008. *International journal of obesity*, 2012. 36(4): p. 517-23.
20. Chou, L.C., et al., Truancy and illicit drug use among adolescents surveyed via street outreach. *Addictive behaviors*, 2006. 31(1): p. 149-54.
21. Henry, K.L. and D.H. Huizinga, *Truancy's effect on the onset of drug use among urban adolescents placed at risk*. *The Journal of adolescent health : official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*, 2007. 40(4): p. 358 e9-17.
22. Mounteney, J., S. Haugland, and A. Skutle, *Truancy, alcohol use and alcohol-related problems in secondary school pupils in Norway*. *Health education research*, 2010. 25(6): p. 945-54.
23. Ervasti, J., et al., Association of pupil vandalism, bullying and truancy with teachers' absence due to illness: a multilevel analysis. *Journal of school psychology*, 2012. 50(3): p. 347-61.
24. Muula, A.S., et al., Prevalence and correlates for school truancy among pupils in grades 7-10: results from the 2004 Zambia Global School-based Health Survey. *BMC research notes*, 2012. 5: p. 48.
25. Peltzer, K., *Injury and social determinants among in-school adolescents in six African countries*. *Injury prevention : journal of the International Society for Child and Adolescent Injury Prevention*, 2008. 14(6): p. 381-8.
26. Miller, P. and M. Plant, Truancy and perceived school performance: an alcohol and drug study of UK teenagers. *Alcohol and alcoholism*, 1999. 34(6): p. 886-93.
27. Vaughn, M.G., et al., Prevalence and correlates of truancy in the US: Results from a national sample. *Journal of adolescence*, 2013.
28. Maynard, B.R., et al., Who are truant youth? Examining distinctive profiles of truant youth using latent profile analysis. *Journal of youth and adolescence*, 2012. 41(12): p. 1671-84.
29. Kearney, C.A., School absenteeism and school refusal behavior in youth: a contemporary review. *Clinical psychology review*, 2008. 28(3): p. 451-71.
30. Siriwardhana, C., et al., Impact of exposure to conflict, tsunami and mental disorders on school absenteeism: findings from a national sample of Sri Lankan children aged 12--17 years. *BMC Public Health*, 2013. 13(1): p. 560.
31. Kearney, C., An Interdisciplinary Model of School Absenteeism in Youth to Inform Professional Practice and Public Policy. *Educational Psychology Review*, 2008. 20(3): p. 257-282.
32. Nishida, A., et al., Characteristics and outcomes of school refusal in Hiroshima, Japan: proposals for network therapy. *Acta Med Okayama*, 2004. 58(5): p. 241-9.

33. LOTZ, R. and L. LEE, *Sociability, School Experience, and Delinquency*. Youth & Society, 1999. 31(2): p. 199-223.
34. Gresham, F., et al., Assessment and Treatment of Deficits in Social Skills Functioning and Social Anxiety in Children Engaging in School Refusal Behaviors, in *Handbook of Assessing Variants and Complications in Anxiety Disorders*, D. McKay and E.A. Storch, Editors. 2013, Springer New York. p. 15-28.
35. Fernando, S.M. and H. Perera, School Refusal: behavioural and diagnostic profiles of a clinical sample. 2012. Vol. 3. 2012.
36. Walter, D., et al., Short term effects of inpatient cognitive behavioral treatment of adolescents with anxious-depressed school absenteeism: an observational study. *European child & adolescent psychiatry*, 2010. 19(11): p. 835-44.
37. Tanner-Smith, E.E. and S.J. Wilson, *A Meta-analysis of the Effects of Dropout Prevention Programs on School Absenteeism*. Prevention science : the official journal of the Society for Prevention Research, 2013. 19: p. 19.
38. Sinclair, M.F.C., Sandra L.; Evelo, David L.; Hurley, Christine M., *Dropout Prevention for Youth with Disabilities: Efficacy of a Sustained School Engagement Procedure* Exceptional Children, 1998. 65(1).
39. Lehr, C.A., M.F. Sinclair, and S.L. Christenson, Addressing Student Engagement and Truancy Prevention During the Elementary School Years: A Replication Study of the Check & Connect Model. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk (JESPAR)*, 2004. 9(3): p. 279-301.
40. Flannery, K.B., J.L. Frank, and M.M. Kato, *School Disciplinary Responses to Truancy: Current Practice and Future Directions*. Journal of School Violence, 2012. 11(2): p. 118-137.
41. Mueller, D., Giacomazzi, A., & Stoddard, C., Dealing with chronic absenteeism and its related consequences: The process and short-term effects of a diversionary juvenile court system. *Journal of Education for Students Placed as Risk*, 2006. 11: p. 199-219.
42. Bazemore, G., J. Stinchcomb, and L. Leip, Scared smart or bored straight? Testing deterrence logic in an evaluation of police-led truancy intervention. *Justice Quarterly*, 2004. 21(2): p. 269-299.
43. Byer, J.J.L. and J. Kuhn, *A Model Response to Truancy Prevention: The Louisville Truancy Court Diversion Project*. Juvenile and Family Court Journal, 2003. 54(1): p. 59-67.
44. Lehr, C.A., et al., Moving Beyond Dropout Towards School Completion: An Integrative Review of Data-Based Interventions. *School Psychology Review*, 2003. 32(3): p. 342-364.
45. Dembo, R. and L.M. Gullledge, *Truancy Intervention Programs: Challenges and Innovations to Implementation*. Criminal justice policy review, 2009. 20(4): p. 437-456.

3. Main S&T results/foregrounds

3.1. Analysis of baseline data

3.1.1. Description of the sample and main evaluations

The final pooled WE-STAY database includes a total of 11,186 cases, 50% males and 50% females, with mean age 15.09 ± 1.3 (range 11-22). The sample size on which the analyses have been made varies on the basis of subjects excluded for missing values in the specific variables being analysed.

Pupils from 6 Countries (**table 1**) and 65 different schools were recruited in the present project and were asked to complete a baseline. The questionnaire collected data regarding 435 variables and included: the Global School-Based Pupil Health Survey (GSHS) (<http://www.who.int/chp/gshs/en/>), the School Refusal Assessment Scale-Revised for children (SARS-R) (Kearney, 2002), the Antisocial Beliefs and Attitudes Scale (ABAS) (Butler et al., 2007), the Coping Across Situations Questionnaire (CASQ) (Seiffge-Krenke, 1995), the WHO-5 Well being index (WHO-5) (<http://www.who-5.org/>), the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (www.sdqinfo.org/), the Diagnostic interview schedule for children Predictive Scales (DPS) for Conduct Disorder (Shaffer et al., 2000), the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (Beck et al., 1961), the Paykel Suicide Scale (PSS) (Paykel et al., 1974) and the Deliberate Self Harm Inventory (DSHI) (Gratz, 2001), the Parental Monitoring Scale (Small and Kerns, 1993) and the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) (<http://www.ipaq.ki.se/ipaq.htm>). A set of 36 stressful life-events were also investigated.

Table 1 Recruited students across countries

Countries	N	%
Estonia	1,636	14,6
Germany	2,713	24,3
Israel	1,433	12,8
Italy	2,265	20,2
Romania	1,730	15,5
Spain	1,409	12,6
Total	11,186	

3.1.2. Truancy data


Out of 11,186 students, 11,041 provided information about truancy (98.7%; 145 missing; 50.3% females, mean age: 15.09 ± 1.31 ; range: 11-22). Socio-demographic features of the sample are reported in **table 2**. Truancy rates per month in the past year, as reported by students, are displayed in **figure 1**. 1,968 (17.8%) reported having skipped school three or more days per month without a valid excuse in the past year and these were considered as truant students.

Truant students were slightly more males than females (57% vs. 43%, $p < 0.0001$) and slightly older (15.5 ± 1.3 vs. 15.0 ± 1.3 , $p < 0.0001$). Although age and gender exerted only small-moderate effects, all the analyses were controlled for these variables.

Table 2 Socio-demographic features of the sample

N = 11,041		Mean	SD
Age		15.06	1.31
N = 11,041		n	%
Gender	Male	5,461	49.7
	Female	5,524	50.3
Country of pupil	Estonia	1,612	14.6
	Germany	2,696	24.4
	Israel	1,365	12.4
	Italy	2,253	20.4
	Romania	1,718	15.6
	Spain	1,397	12.7
Born in Country		10,345	93.9
Citizen of Country		10,388	94.9
Living with both parents		8,216	74.9
Having no siblings		2,013	18.4
Living in a foster family, social welfare institution, foster care		67	0.6
Belonging to a religious denomination		8,536	78


Figure 1 Truancy rates per month in the past year



Truancy across countries

Truancy rates across countries are displayed in **figure 2**. Controlling for age and gender, a significant ($p < 0.0001$) association between truancy and country of pupil was observed.

Figure 2 Percentages of truant pupils (3 or more days skipped per month) stratified by countries



Truancy and socio-demographic variables

Relevant associations were found between truancy and socio-demographic variables such as living with both parents ($p < 0.0001$), referred religiosity ("I am a religious person") ($p < 0.0001$) and having changed school the previous year ($p < 0.0001$). In particular, truant students referred less often to living with both parents, to be religious, and more often to having changed school the year before. No significant associations were observed with being born in the country, being citizen of the country, living in a foster family, social institution or foster care and religious affiliation.

3.1.3. Activities and Reasons when/to skipping school

Activities when skipping school

Activities when skipping school were analyzed separately in males and females. Males truant reported, when skipping school, mostly hanging out with friends (46.7%), sleeping (38.7%) and surfing internet (31.6%). Such rates were much lower in non-truant male students (respectively 16%, 14.9% and 11.9%).

Similarly, female truant students reported more frequently hanging out with friends (52.9%), sleeping (51.4%) and surfing internet (37.2%) but also staying at home alone (37.2%) and walking around town (31%). Non-truant female students reported much lower rates (16.3%, 16.2%, 9.7%, 10.2% and 9.1% respectively).

Reasons for skipping school

Male truant students most frequently reported to skip school because they were too tired (40.8%) and had more interesting things to do (24.1%). Again these reasons were reported less frequently in non-truant students (14.6% and 6.6%).

Similarly, female truant students reported more often skipping school because of being too tired (49.1%), but also because they did not do homework (26.7%), because of a difficult exam or test on that day (22.4%) or other friends were also skipping (23.4%). Again, non-truant female students reported lower rates (17.1%, 10%, 7.1% and 8.8% respectively).

3.1.4. Children school refusal and truancy

The School Refusal Assessment Scale - Revised for children (SARS-R) provides four indicators of functional conditions leading to truancy:

- to avoid school-based stimuli that provoke a general sense of negative affectivity;
- to escape aversive school-based social and/or evaluative situations;
- to pursue attention from significant others;
- to pursue tangible reinforcers outside of school.

Analyzing these four indicators, truant students scored all significantly higher, on all indicators, as compared to non-truant students (**table 3**). Controlling for age and gender, all indicators maintained significant associations with truancy.

Table 3 School Refusal Assessment Scale - Revised (SRAS-R) scores and truancy

SARS-R Indicators *	Truant pupil				t-test p-value
	No		Yes		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SARS-R 1	1.1	1	1.4	1.2	<0.0001
SARS-R 2	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	<0.0001
SARS-R 3	1.1	0.9	1.5	1.1	<0.0001
SARS-R 4	2.3	1.3	3.2	1.3	<0.0001

* Functional conditions leading to truancy (see text above for details).

3.1.5. Bullying, affiliation and truancy

Bullying and truancy

Out of 10,580 responders, 1,702 students reported to have been victims of bullies at least once or two times in the past year (16.1%). The rate of being bullied was significant higher in truant (20.4%) than in non-truant students (15.2%) ($p<0.0001$).

Subtypes of bullying and truancy

Specific types of bullying were also evaluated. Being bullied about “race and colour” and “threatened or forced to do things” were the most relevant type of bullying associated to truancy, followed by “being hit, kicked or pushed”, bullying “on mobile phone” and “money or other things taken away or damaged” (**table 4**).

Duration of bullying, assistance of a teacher, other adults in school were not associated to truancy, though rates of parents’ school contacts to stop bullying were higher in truant students ($p<0.0001$).

Table 4 Sub-types of bullying and truancy

Sub-types of bullying (2 or 3 times a month)	Truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
Names, fun of, teased hurtful	409	25.9	106	22.7	n. s.
Excluded, ignored	219	14	65	14	n. s.
Hit, kicked, pushed	94	6	49	10.7	<0.01
Lies, false rumours	295	18.9	98	21.1	n. s.
Money/things taken away/damaged	87	5.6	45	9.7	<0.01
Threatened or forced to do things	66	4.3	41	9	<0.0001

Sub-types of bullying (2 or 3 times a month)	Truant pupil				Chi-square <i>p</i> -value
	No		Yes		
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	
About race/colour	135	8.7	71	15.4	<0.0001
Sexual meaning	148	9.5	51	11	n. s.
On mobile phone	63	4	38	8.2	<0.01
Over internet	92	5.9	39	8.4	n. s.
Another way	167	10.9	60	13	n. s.

Affiliation in/outside school

The administered questionnaire included also two questions about feeling to belong to a group in school and outside school. Though rates of feeling to belong to a group inside school were lower in truant students (77.8% vs. 79%), no significant associations were observed.

3.1.6. Lifestyles and truancy

Lifestyles in sleep, physical activity and Body mass index (BMI)

Here, we evaluated potential effect of number of hours of sleep, average physical activity per month, week, and day, considering vigorous and moderate physical exercise, walking, as well as hours/minutes of sitting. We also evaluated BMI in relation to truancy risk. The average number of hours of sleep during school-days was significantly different between truant (Mean±SD: 7.1±1.7) and non-truant pupils (7.6±1.4; $p<0.0001$). A higher BMI was associated with truancy (21.3±3.6 vs. 20.8±3.3; $p=0.028$). Truant pupils showed also a lower mean number of days in which they do moderate physical activities (e.g. carrying light loads, bicycling at a regular pace) and walked for at least ten minutes, during the past week (2.2±2 vs. 2.4±2; $p=0.003$).

Lifestyles related to the use of television and computer

Relevant differences were observed between truant and non-truant students in terms of time spent in watching television, playing computer games and surfing internet (not for work/study purposes) (**table 5**). Truant students spent more hours per day in watching TV, surfing internet (not for work/study purposes) and playing computer games than non-truant students.

Table 5 Time spent per day in watching TV, playing PC games and surfing internet and Truancy

	Truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
TV >3hh	1102	14.2	337	22.2	<0.0001
Computer games >2hh	1078	14.4	333	23.4	<0.0001
Internet >3hh	1625	21.1	557	37.5	<0.0001

3.1.7. Risky behaviours and truancy

As risky behaviours we evaluated cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use and physical fights. All risky behaviours were significantly more frequent in truant students than non-truant students (**table 6**), particularly “ever smoked cigarettes”.

Table 6 Risky behaviours and truancy

Risky behaviours	Truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
Ever smoked cigarettes	3,487	41.3	1,363	75	<0.0001
Drink alcohol 2-3 times per week or more	653	7.4	453	24.0	<0.0001
Ever used drugs	773	8.8	490	25.9	<0.0001
Physical fights	1,640	19.7	775	43.4	<0.0001

3.1.8. Parents' monitoring and truancy

We considered the following variables within the section of “parents’ monitoring” (**table 7**), as referred by students:

- “parents know where the child is after school”
- “parents are expected to be called if the child is going to be home late”;
- “parents are told who the child is going to be out with, before he/her goes out”;
- “parents know where the child is when he/her goes out at night”;
- “parents are told about the plans the child has with his/her friends”;
- “parents ask where the child is going, when he/she goes out”.

Poor parental monitoring on all items was significantly more reported by truant students.

Table 7 Parental monitoring* and truancy

Never/rarely...	Truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
Monitoring 1	558	7.1	302	17.9	<0.0001
Monitoring 2	900	11.4	312	18.5	<0.0001
Monitoring 3	896	11.4	426	25.4	<0.0001
Monitoring 4	670	8.6	341	20.4	<0.0001
Monitoring 5	1674	21.3	595	35.4	<0.0001
Monitoring 6	603	7.7	262	15.6	<0.0001

*see text for details

3.1.9. Antisocial behaviour, Conduct Disorder symptoms and truancy


Antisocial behaviour (ABAS)

The Antisocial Beliefs and Attitudes Scale provides three measures of antisocial behaviour:

- Rule non-compliance;
- Peer conflict;
- Severe aggression.

Truant students scored significantly higher ($p<0.0001$) on all subscales as compared to non-truant students (**figure 3**), indicating more antisocial tendencies in truants.

Figure 3 Antisocial Behavior Assessment Scale (ABAS) scores in truant and non-truant pupils



Conduct disorder

The DISC Predictive Scales (DPS) for Conduct Disorder is a self-evaluation version of conduct disorder according to DSM-IV criteria. We here calculated the number of symptoms referred by students and we found truant students reporting more average symptoms (Mean±SD: 3.8±3.3) than non-truant ones (Mean±SD: 1.5±2.2). Controlling for age and gender, the association between the number of conduct disorder symptoms and truancy was relevant ($p<0.0001$).

3.1.10. Truancy and well-being, behavioural and emotional difficulties, stress and coping

Well-being

Pupils' well-being was evaluated through the five items of the WHO-5 (Well-being index of the World health Organization). Truant students scored significant lower than non truants ($p<0.0001$), so showing a poorer well-being (**table 8**).

Strengths and Difficulties

The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire covers common areas of emotional and behavioural difficulties and includes five different subscales:

- Emotional symptoms;
- Conduct problems;
- Hyperactivity;
- Peer problems;
- Prosocial.

A total score is calculated by summing the scores from all the subscales except the prosocial one.

At the SDQ evaluation, truant students scored significantly higher on the total score and on all subscales, with the exception of the “Prosocial scale” (**table 8**). At the opposite, “prosocial” behaviour was protective against truancy ($p<0.0001$).

Stressful life events

A set of 36 stressful life events occurred in the past year were also evaluated, such as loss of relatives/friends, divorce/separation of parents, illness, job problems in relatives or separations from close relatives, falls at school, break-up with girl-/boyfriends, problematic physical pubertal changes, experience of dangerous situations and so on. Testing the total number of stressful life events in association with truancy, significant effects were detected (**table 8**).

Coping strategies

The Coping Across Situations Questionnaire (CASQ) provides three measures of coping:

- Active coping;
- Internal coping;
- Withdrawal.

Truant students scored significantly lower on active and internal coping as compared to non-truant ones, on the contrary they scored significantly higher on the “withdrawal” coping strategies (**table 8**).

Table 8 Well being (WHO-5), Strengths and Difficulties (SDQ), Coping strategies (CASQ), Stressful Life Events

Measures		Truant pupil				t-test p-value
		No		Yes		
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
WHO-5		15.4	4.8	14.9	5.2	<0.0001
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.7	2.2	3	2.2	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.2	1.6	3.1	1.8	<0.0001
	Hyperactivity	3.42	2.1	4.3	2.1	<0.0001
	Peers problems	2.1	1.6	2.3	1.7	<0.0001
	Prosocial	7.4	1.9	6.7	2.1	<0.0001
	Total difficulties	10.4	5.1	12.6	5.2	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	11	4.7	10.2	4.9	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.2	4	11.2	4.5	<0.0001
	Withdrawal	8.5	4.4	9.4	4.8	<0.0001
Stressful life events		3.6	2.6	4.8	3.5	<0.0001

3.1.11. Depression, anxiety and truancy

Depression symptoms were evaluated by the Beck Depression Scale (BDI-II). On this scale, truant students scored significantly higher than non-truant ones (**table 9**).

Anxiety was evaluated through the question “Do you suffer from nervousness, uneasiness or anxiety?”. Also here, truant students reported significantly higher mild and severe anxiety (**table 9**).

Table 9 Depressive symptoms and anxiety

Measures	Truant pupil				t-test p-value
	No		Yes		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
BDI-II	6.6	7.2	9.2	8.92	<0.0001
	N	%	N	%	Chi-square p-value
Anxiety (mild-severe)	2782	31.2	742	38.7	<0.0001

3.1.12. Suicidal ideation and suicide attempt and truancy

Suicidal ideation during the past year and during the past two weeks was investigated through the Paykel Suicidal Scale (PSS). Truant students showed significantly higher suicidal ideation both during the past year and during the past two weeks (**table 10**).

History of lifetime suicide attempt was reported by 525 students (4.9%). Rate of referred suicide attempt was more than doubled in truant students than in non-truant ones.

Table 10 Suicidal ideation (PSS), history of suicide attempt and truancy

Measures	Truant pupil				t-test p-value
	No		Yes		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
PSS (past year)	1.5	3	2.6	4.3	<0.0001
PSS (past two weeks)	0.8	2.5	1.5	3.5	<0.0001
	N	%	N	%	Chi-square p-value
Ever tried to take own life	351	4	174	9.3	<0.0001

3.1.13. Self harm and truancy

Self-harm (i.e. self-injury behaviour without intent to die) was evaluated by the Deliberate Self Harm Inventory (DSHI). Any kind of deliberate self-harm, at least once in the past year, was reported by 21.1% of students and 3.2% lead to medical treatment or hospitalization. The rate of self-harm was more than 1.5 higher in truant students than in non-truant ones and the difference was relevant (**table 11**). Further, self-harm behaviours were significantly more likely to requiring medical treatment or hospitalization in truant students than in non-truant ones.

Table 11 Self-Harm (SH) and Truancy


Measures	Truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
At least one SH behaviour (past year)	1550	18.9	556	31.6	<0.0001
At least one SH behaviour leading to hospitalization/medical treatment	202	2.5	114	6.5	<0.0001

3.1.14. Overall parents' sample and main evaluations

Parents of enrolled students were asked to complete a questionnaire to collect data about their socio-demographical features, perception of truancy in their children, values and beliefs about skipping school, bullying, safety at school, academic progress, their attitudes in child monitoring and so on, as well as beliefs about teachers' and their own responsibility about child behavior at school, and beliefs about child mental health. The questionnaire also included the parent version of the School Refusal Assessment Scale-Revised (SARS-R) (Kearney, 2002) and of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (www.sdqinfo.org/). The questionnaire also included the WHO-5 Well-being index (WHO-5) (<http://www.who-5.org/>) and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) (Beck et al., 1961). Anxiety was evaluated through the question "Do you suffer from nervousness, uneasiness or anxiety?".

Considering the total sample of 11,186 pupils, 34% of parents filled in the baseline evaluation questionnaire. Data stratified by country are showed in **figure 4**.

Figure 4 Percentage of pupils with available parents' data stratified by country



Out of 11,041 students enrolled and providing information about truancy, only 3,747 parents consented to fulfil and return the questionnaire (33.9%). Comparing truant and non-truant pupils, parents of non truant ones answered more frequently the questionnaire (21.7% vs. 36.6%).

The parent fulfilling the questionnaire was the mother in the majority of cases (81.6%). The level of education of both mothers and fathers was most frequently high school/vocational diploma (53.7% and 53.8% respectively) and they were both more frequently born in country (92.7% and 92.1% respectively).

3.1.15. Parents' awareness of children' truancy

Comparing truancy as reported by students to that reported by parents, significant difference was observed: whilst concordance was high between parents and children in the case of non-truant children, concordance was low between parents and child in the case of truant students. As shown in **table 12**, 75% of parents of truant students referred their children as non-truant.

Table 12 Concordance rates of truancy between parents and students

Truancy as reported by parents	Parent of truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
No	3170	97.2	308	75.3	<0.0001
Yes	90	2.8	101	24.7	

3.1.16. Parents' evaluation of their child school refusal (SRAS-R, Parent version)

At the parent evaluation of School refusal in children, parents of truant students reported higher scores on all four indicators of school refusal (1- to avoid school-based stimuli that provoke a sense of negative affectivity; 2- to escape aversive social or evaluative situations; 3- to pursue attention from significant others; 4- to pursue tangible reinforces outside of school) (**table 13**).

Table 13 Parents' School Refusal Assessment Scale-Revised (SRAS-R, parent version) scores and truancy

SARS-R Indicators *	Parent of truant pupil				t-test p-value
	No		Yes		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SARS-R 1	5.1	5	6.3	5.7	<0.0001
SARS-R 2	2.9	3.4	3.9	4.4	<0.0001
SARS-R 3	4.9	4.5	6.2	5.5	<0.0001
SARS-R 4	9.7	6.6	13.8	7.6	<0.0001

3.1.17. Parents' opinion about bullying

Parents opinions about bullying problems in their child's school

Overall, 29.3% of parents referred bullying problems in their child's school, 38.8% referred no bullying problems and the remaining 31.8% reported not to know. Response rates of parents of truant and non-truant students were similar.

Parents opinions about bullying risk factors

Factors contributing to bullying in schools, according to the opinion of parents, are showed in **figure 5**. More than 50% of parents thought that too much violence in TV, in videos and videogames, and not sufficient parental control contribute to bullying. Thirty - forty percent of parents also indicated gangs, alcohol and drugs and too much tolerance of bad behaviours at school. Parents of truant and non-truant students did not answered significantly different.




Figure 5 Risk factors for bullying in school, according to the parents opinion

3.1.18. Parents' monitoring and children truancy

Parents of truant pupils overall reported less monitoring of their children when they are not at home or school. Consistently with that reported by children, parents who never/rarely know where the child is after school (monitoring 1), are expected to be called if the child is going to be home late (monitoring 2), are told by the child where is going before he/she goes out (monitoring 3), know where the child is when he/she goes out at night (monitoring 4), are told about the plans the child has with his/her friends

(monitoring 5) and ask where the child is going when he/she goes out (monitoring 6) have more likely truant children (**table 14**).

Table 14 Parental monitoring and children truancy

Never/rarely...	Parent of truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
Monitoring 1	35	1.1	13	3.1	<0.0001
Monitoring 2	51	1.5	17	4.1	<0.0001
Monitoring 3	68	2.1	24	6.1	<0.0001
Monitoring 4	53	1.7	23	5.7	<0.0001
Monitoring 5	179	5.5	46	11	<0.0001
Monitoring 6	22	0.7	7	1.7	<0.0001

3.1.19. Parents' believes about what is important at school

Parents were asked to evaluate the importance, in their opinion, of a number of issues related to school (**table 15**). Overall, parents of non-truant students gave more importance to all issues, with the exception of school meals, which were slightly evaluated as more important by parents of truant students. However, relevant differences were found on bullying, academic progress, safety, gangs or violence, drugs and alcohol use, teacher's involvement, abusive relationships and truancy, which were evaluated as more important by parents of non-truant students than parents of truant ones.

Table 15 Issues important at school as judged by parents of non-truant and truant pupils

Important at school for parents	Parent of truant pupil				Chi-square <i>p</i> -value
	No		Yes		
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	
Bullying	2,224	71.5	263	66.1	<0.01
Academic progress	2,984	92.7	343	85.1	<0.0001
Safety	2,879	89.7	335	83.1	<0.0001
Gangs or violence	2,129	69.2	256	65.1	<0.01
Drugs and alcohol use	2,174	70.8	268	67.7	<0.05
School meals	1,639	53.8	216	55.4	n. s.
Teacher's involvement	2,866	90.4	336	83.4	<0.0001
Abusive relationships	2,119	70.8	252	65.5	<0.01
Using the internet	1,838	58.8	234	59.4	n. s.
Truancy	2,142	69.5	268	67.7	<0.05

3.1.20. Parents' feelings to receive sufficient information from schools

Parents were asked if they feel to receive sufficient information from school about a number of issues concerning their children at school (**table 16**). Overall, parents of non-truant students reported more satisfaction about information coming from school. Significant differences were observed on "extracurricular activities", "school policies", "transition from one level to the next", "behavioural/discipline problems" and "what child is taught".

Table 16 Parents' feelings to receive sufficient information from school and truancy in children

Receiving sufficient information from school on...	Parent of truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
Academic progress	2,728	83.5	336	81.6	n. s.
What child is taught	2,203	68.3	252	62.1	<0.05
Extracurricular activities	2,136	67.2	227	57.2	<0.0001
Behaviour / discipline problems	2,609	81	303	75.2	<0.01
School policies	2,353	73.7	252	62.8	<0.0001
Transition from one level to the next	2,326	75.5	266	68	<0.01
What kind of behaviour the school expects	2,527	79.4	309	77.8	n. s.
School physical development	1,680	52.9	195	49.5	n. s.

3.1.21. Parents' beliefs about truancy and their and school's responsibilities

Parents' and school's responsibilities

The questionnaire also included questions about what the parents think about themselves/school responsibility for children not going to school. Parents of non-truant pupils did agree more with the opinions that “parents should be fined if their children do not go to school”. It is interesting to observe that parents of truant pupils agreed more with opinion concerning school's responsibilities (i. e. “It is the school's responsibility to make sure their children go to school”)(table 17).

Table 17 Parents' beliefs about their own/school responsibility for children not going to school

Agree	Parent of truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
It is the parent's responsibility to make sure their children go to school	3,236	98.5	410	98.8	n. s.
It is the school's responsibility to make sure their children go to school	2,030	62.9	277	68.1	<0.05
Parents should be fined if their children do not go to school	1,213	38.4	112	27.4	<0.0001
There is not enough help to get truanting children back to school	1,722	58.3	232	60.3	n. s.
Teachers should always know the reason why a student is absent	2,957	90.5	354	85.5	<0.01

Parents' beliefs about truancy in children

Overall, parents of truant students agreed significantly more with the opinion that “now and again it's OK for a child to take the day off school”, while they agreed significantly less with the opinions that “truants can get into trouble and commit crime” (table 18).

Table 18 Parents' beliefs about truancy in children

Agree	Parent of truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
Now and again it's OK for a child to take the day off school	764	23.4	170	41.5	<0.0001
Children take time off school because of problems at home	1,358	43	156	38.5	n. s.
Children take time off school because of problems at school	1,827	57.7	223	54.5	n. s.
Some children miss school because they feel they don't fit in	1,875	59.2	234	58.1	n. s.
Truants can get into trouble and commit crime	2,819	88.2	332	81.4	<0.01
Children sometimes find school stressful	2,739	84.6	343	84.7	n. s.
Regular attendance is equally important at all ages	3,213	98	402	96.9	n. s.

3.1.22. Parents' academic expectancy and Truancy in Children

When asked about their expectancy of their child's academic progress, parents of truant students reported significantly less confidence that their child will graduate at high school and particularly at university, as compared to parents of non-truant children (table 19).

Table 19 Parents' expectancy of their children academic progress

Child will Graduate at...	Parent of truant pupil				Chi-square p-value
	No		Yes		
	N	%	N	%	
High School	2,950	90.5	343	83.3	<0.0001
University	2,315	70.8	204	49.6	<0.0001

3.1.23. Parents' awareness of mental health in the young

Parents' opinion and knowledge

Parents of truant and non-truant students reported similar responses when asked if they know enough about mental health in the young (about 50% "yes"), if they want to learn more (about 80% "yes"), if they have ever spoken to their children about depression, suicide or mental illness (more than 50% "yes"). Nevertheless, parents of truant students significantly less thought that "a child can have an illness of emotions that can be treated by a doctor" as compared to parents of non-truant students (62% vs 71.3%; $p < 0.0001$).


What the parents' would do if their child would have mental health problems

Responses of overall parents when asked what they would do if their child would have a mental health problem are represented in figure 6.

More than 50% would speak directly with the child, turn to a psychiatric/mental health organization or consult with spouse or other family member. Nearly 40% of them would also consult the school or a teacher, whilst less than 10% would turn to a friend or another parent or do something else. Only the 0.9% would keep it to him/herself. Parents who answered not knowing what to do were 1.5% of all.

Parents of truant and non-truant students gave similar responses, though parents of truant students would turn less to school/teachers (27.9% vs 39.5%; $p = 0.001$) than parents of non-truant students.

Figure 6 What the parents would do if their child would suffer from mental problems



3.1.24. Parents' evaluation of children strengths and difficulties (SDQ - Parent version) and truancy

Parents of truant students evaluated their child as having more conduct problems, hyperactive symptoms, less prosocial attitudes and more total difficulties as compared to parents of non-truant students (**table 20**). Findings are in line with those self-reported by students, though truant students also reported slightly more emotional symptoms.

Table 20 Strengths and difficulties (SDQ - Parent version) and truancy

Measures		Parent of truant pupil				t-test p-value
		No		Yes		
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SDQ Parent Version	Emotional symptoms	1.9	1.9	2.1	2	n. s.
	Conduct problems	1.5	1.4	2.1	1.7	<0.0001
	Hyperactivity	2.4	2	3.3	2.2	<0.0001
	Peers problems	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.7	n. s.
	Prosocial	7.9	1.8	7.4	2	<0.0001
	Total Difficulties	7.3	5	9.1	5.4	<0.0001

3.1.25. Well-being, anxiety and depressive symptoms in parents and truancy in children

No differences were observed between parents of truant and non-truant children on well-being, evaluated through the WHO-5. Nevertheless, parents of truant students reported significantly more depressive symptoms at the BDI-II evaluation than parents of non-truant students.

Anxiety (mild/severe) in parents was not associated to truancy in children (**table 21**).

Table 21 Well-being, anxiety and depressive symptoms in parents of truant and non-truant students

Measures	Parent of truant pupil				t-test p-value
	No		Yes		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
WHO-5 in parents	15.9	5	15.8	5.3	n. s.
BDI-II in parents	4.7	5.6	6.3	7.2	<0.0001
	N	%	N	%	Chi-square p-value
Anxiety (mild-severe) in parents	1,257	38.6	177	42.3	n. s.

3.2. Analysis of 1-month follow-up data

3.2.1. 1-month follow-up descriptive data

Allocation to intervention arms

At baseline, pupils were allocated in each country randomly to the 4 interventions' arms with no relevant discrepancies of allocation across countries (**table 22**).

Table 22 Allocation of pupils to intervention arms stratified by countries

Country	Intervention arm									
	Truancy-Screen		Truancy-Aware		Truancy-Combined		Truancy-Mic		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Estonia	399	24.4	408	24.9	404	24.7	425	26.0	1,636	14.6
Germany	646	23.8	727	26.8	822	30.2	518	19.1	2,713	24.3
Israel	319	22.3	278	19.4	403	28.1	433	30.2	1,433	12.8
Italy	481	21.2	579	25.6	654	28.9	551	24.3	2,265	20.2
Romania	423	24.5	440	25.4	423	24.5	444	25.7	1,730	15.5
Spain	306	21.7	354	25.1	415	29.5	334	23.7	1,409	12.6
Total	2,574	23	2,786	24.9	3,121	27.9	2,705	24.2	11,186	

Pupils evaluated at 1-month follow-up

The 1-month follow-up evaluation has been completed by 9,417 pupils (84.2%). Percentages of pupils participating to the first follow-up in each country are reported in **table 23**.

Table 23 Percentages of pupils completing the 1-month follow-up in each country

Country	N	%
Estonia	1,364	83.4
Germany	2,376	87.6
Israel	1,083	75.6
Italy	1,865	82.3
Romania	1,647	95.2
Spain	1,082	76.8
Total	9,417	84.2

Pupils completing the 1-month follow-up evaluation significantly differ across countries in the intervention arm they were allocated to ($p < 0.0001$) (**table 24**).

Table 24 Percentages of pupils completing the 1-month follow-up in each intervention arm stratified by countries

Country	Intervention arm							
	Truancy-Screen		Truancy-Aware		Truancy-Combined		Truancy-Mic	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Estonia	324	23.8	354	26	336	24.6	350	25.7
Germany	572	24.1	635	26.7	720	30.3	449	18.9
Israel	216	19.9	209	19.3	325	30	333	30.7
Italy	429	23	495	26.5	420	22.5	521	27.9
Romania	343	20.8	430	26.1	385	23.4	489	29.7
Spain	243	22.5	265	24.5	294	27.2	280	25.9
Total	2,127	22.6	2,388	25.4	2,480	26.3	2,422	25.7

Nevertheless, allocation of truant and non-truant pupils to the different interventions' arms was rather homogeneous (**table 25**).

Table 25 Allocation of truant and non-truant pupils* to the different interventions' arms

	Truant at baseline			
	No		Yes	
	N	%	N	%
Truancy-Screen	2,011	22.2	525	26.7
Truancy-Aware	2,189	24.1	565	28.7
Truancy-Combined	2,601	28.7	477	24.2
Truancy-Mic	2,272	25	401	20.4
Total	9,073		1,968	

* 145 pupils didn't provide information about truancy

Pupils missed at 1-month follow-up

1,769 (15.8%) pupils did not participate to the first follow-up evaluation. Of these, 468 (27.2%) were truant at baseline. The majority was male (54.5%) and with a mean age of 15.2 ± 1.2 years.

3.2.2. Overall effects of interventions

Considering the entire sample, after one month from the intervention, pupils showed a significant decrease in school refusal drives (SRAS-R 1, 2 and 3). Furthermore, the scores on SDQ subscales emotional symptoms, conduct problems and peer problems also significantly decreased as well as the total difficulties scores. Finally a significant improvement was observed on well-being (WHO-5), prosocial subscale (SDQ) scores, active and internal coping strategies (CASQ) (**table 26**).

Table 26 Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up

Total sample		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	<0.01
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	1	1	1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.4	1.4	2.4	1.4	n. s.
WHO-5		15.3	4.8	16	4.9	<0.0001
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.8	2.2	2.5	2.1	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.3	1.6	<0.05
	Hyperactivity	3.5	2.1	3.4	2	<0.0001
	Peer problems	2.1	1.6	2	1.6	<0.0001
	Prosocial	7.3	1.9	7.4	2	<0.0001
	Total difficulties	10.7	5.1	10.2	5.3	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	11	4.7	11.7	4.6	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.2	4	12.4	3.8	<0.0001
	Withdrawal	8.7	4.4	9.2	4.5	<0.0001

3.2.3. Truancy-Screen

Significant decreases were observed in school refusal drives (SRAS-R 1 and 3). Significant lower scores for emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and total difficulties at the SDQ were also obtained. Furthermore, the scores on well-being (WHO) and active and internal coping (CASQ) became significantly higher at 1-month follow-up (**table 27**).

Table 27 Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up, Truancy-Screen sample

Truancy-Screen sample		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.2	1	1.1	1	<0.01
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	n. s.
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	1	1.1	1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.3	1.4	2.4	1.4	<0.01
WHO-5		15.4	5	16.1	5	<0.0001
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.7	2.2	2.4	2.1	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.2	1.6	<0.01
	Hyperactivity	3.6	2.1	3.3	2.1	<0.0001
	Peer problems	2.1	1.6	2.1	1.6	n. s.
	Prosocial	7.3	1.9	7.4	1.9	n. s.

Truancy-Screen sample		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
	Total difficulties	10.8	5.2	10.1	5.4	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	10.1	4.9	11.7	4.7	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12	4.2	12.4	3.8	<0.0001
	Withdrawal	8.9	4.5	9.3	4.5	<0.0001

Considering truant pupils only, significant changes were observed in school refusal drives 1 and 3 (SRAS-R), emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and total difficulties at the SDQ and in active and internal coping strategy (CASQ) (table 28).

Table 28 Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up, truant pupils in Truancy-Screen

Truant pupils in Truancy-Screen		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.5	1.2	1.4	1.2	<0.05
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	n. s.
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.5	1.1	1.3	1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	3.2	1.4	3.1	1.3	n. s.
WHO-5		15	5.1	15.7	5.2	n. s.
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.9	2.2	2.5	2.2	<0.01
	Conduct problems	3	1.8	2.7	1.7	<0.01
	Hyperactivity	4.1	2.1	3.8	2	<0.01
	Peer problems	2.2	1.6	2.1	1.6	n. s.
	Prosocial	6.8	2	7	2	n. s.
	Total difficulties	12.1	5.4	11.1	5.3	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	10.6	4.7	11.2	4.7	<0.05
	Internal coping	11.4	4.3	12	4.1	<0.05
	Withdrawal	9.7	4.6	9.9	4.7	n. s.

3.2.4. Truancy-Aware

Significant decreases were observed in school refusal drive concerning the pursuit of attention (SRAS-R). Emotional symptoms, hyperactivity and total difficulties at the SDQ significant decreased. A significant improvement in well-being (WHO), prosocial subscale (SDQ) and active and internal coping (CASQ) was also detected (table 29).

Table 29 Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up, Truancy-Aware sample

Truancy-Aware sample		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.2	1	1.1	1.1	n. s.
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8	n. s.
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	0.9	1	1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.4	1.4	2.4	1.4	n. s.
WHO-5		15.4	4.8	16	4.9	<0.0001
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.7	2.2	2.6	2.3	<0.01

Truancy-Aware sample		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.3	1.6	n. s.
	Hyperactivity	3.6	2	3.4	2.1	<0.0001
	Peer problems	2	1.6	2	1.6	n. s.
	Prosocial	7.3	1.9	7.4	2	<0.01
	Total difficulties	10.6	5	10.4	5.3	<0.01
CASQ	Active coping	10.9	4.6	11.7	4.7	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.3	4	12.5	3.9	<0.01
	Withdrawal	8.8	4.4	9.3	4.6	<0.0001

Considering truant pupils only, significantly reduced scores were observed in school refusal drives 3 (SRAS-R). Emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and total difficulties at the SDQ also significantly decreased. On the contrary, well-being (WHO-5) and active and internal coping (CASQ) significantly rose (**table 30**).

Table 30 *Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up, truant pupils in Truancy-Aware*

Truant pupils in Truancy-Aware		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.5	1.1	1.5	1.2	n. s.
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	n. s.
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.5	1.1	1.4	1.2	<0.05
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	3.2	1.3	3.1	1.4	n. s.
WHO-5		14.8	5	15.8	5.3	<0.01
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	3	2.3	3	2.4	<0.01
	Conduct problems	3	1.8	3	1.8	<0.01
	Hyperactivity	4.4	2.1	4.1	2.1	<0.01
	Peer problems	2.3	1.8	2.4	1.7	n. s.
	Prosocial	6.6	2	6.6	2.1	n. s.
	Total difficulties	12.7	5.2	12.6	5.7	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	10.6	5	11.5	5.1	<0.0001
	Internal coping	11.3	4.4	12	4.3	<0.01
	Withdrawal	9.7	4.7	10.5	5	<0.01

3.2.5. Truancy-Combined

This intervention had significant impact on school refusal drives “escape from aversive social situations” and “pursuit of attention” (SRAS-R). Emotional symptoms, hyperactivity and peer problems as well as total difficulties at the SDQ significantly decreased. An increase in well-being (WHO), prosocial subscale (SDQ) and active and internal coping (CASQ) was also detected (**table 31**).

Table 31 Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up, Truancy-Combined sample

Truancy-Combined sample		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.2	1	1.2	1.1	n. s.
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	<0.05
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	1	1	1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.3	1.4	2.4	1.4	n. s.
WHO-5		15.3	4.7	15.9	4.9	<0.0001
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.8	2.2	2.5	2.2	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.3	1.7	n. s.
	Hyperactivity	3.5	2.1	3.4	2.1	<0.0001
	Peer problems	2.2	1.7	2	1.6	<0.0001
	Prosocial	7.3	1.9	7.3	1.9	<0.05
	Total difficulties	10.8	5.2	10.2	5.3	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	10.8	4.7	11.6	4.5	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.2	4	12.4	3.9	<0.05
	Withdrawal	8.7	4.4	9.3	4.5	<0.0001

Considering truant pupils only, significantly reduced scores were observed in school refusal drive “pursuit of attention” (SRAS-R), emotional symptoms, hyperactivity, peer problems and total difficulties at the SDQ. An increase in well-being (WHO-5) and in active coping strategy (CASQ) was also observed (**table 32**).

Table 32 Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up, truant pupils in Truancy-Combined

Truant pupils in Truancy-Combined		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.2	n. s.
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.9	n. s.
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.4	1.1	1.3	1	<0.01
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	3.1	1.3	3.1	1.3	n. s.
WHO-5		14.9	5.1	16	5.4	<0.0001
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	3.1	2.3	2.6	2.1	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	3	1.8	2.9	1.7	n. s.
	Hyperactivity	4.1	2	3.8	2	<0.01
	Peer problems	2.3	1.7	2.1	1.6	<0.05
	Prosocial	6.7	2.1	6.8	2.2	n. s.
	Total difficulties	12.5	5.6	11.4	5.2	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	10.5	4.9	11.3	4.5	<0.01
	Internal coping	11.7	4.1	11.8	3.8	n. s.
	Withdrawal	9.6	4.9	10.1	4.7	<0.05

3.2.6. Truancy-Mic

The school refusal drives (SRAS-R 1, 2 and 3) showed a significant reduction. Furthermore, emotional symptoms, hyperactivity, peer problems and total difficulties at the SDQ also decreased. An increase in well-being (WHO), prosocial subscale (SDQ) and active coping strategy (CASQ) was also notice (**table 33**).

Table 33 *Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up, Truancy-Mic sample*

Truancy-Mic sample		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.1	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	<0.0001
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	1	1	0.9	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.4	1.3	2.4	1.3	n. s.
WHO-5		15.2	4.8	16.1	4.9	<0.0001
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.8	2.1	2.5	2.1	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.2	1.6	n. s.
	Hyperactivity	3.4	2.1	3.3	2.1	<0.01
	Peer problems	2	1.6	1.9	1.6	<0.01
	Prosocial	7.4	1.9	7.5	2	<0.01
	Total difficulties	10.5	5.1	10	5.2	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	11.2	4.7	11.8	4.6	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.3	3.9	12.4	3.8	n. s.
	Withdrawal	8.4	4.2	8.8	4.5	<0.0001

Considering truant pupils only, significant changes were observed in school refusal drives 1 and 3 (SRAS-R) and in well-being (WHO-5)(table 34).

Table 34 *Changes in psychological measures at 1-month follow-up, truant pupils in Truancy-Mic*

Truant pupils in Truancy-Mic		Baseline		1-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.2	<0.05
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.9	n. s.
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.1	<0.01
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	3	1.3	2.9	1.4	n. s.
WHO-5		14.9	5.2	15.7	5.7	<0.05
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	3.2	2.2	3	2.3	n. s.
	Conduct problems	3.2	1.7	3	1.8	n. s.
	Hyperactivity	4.2	2.1	4.3	2.1	n. s.
	Peer problems	2.1	1.7	2.2	1.7	n. s.
	Prosocial	7	2.1	7	2.1	n. s.
	Total difficulties	12.8	5	12.6	5.2	n. s.
CASQ	Active coping	10.3	4.9	11.1	5.2	n. s.
	Internal coping	11.6	4.3	11.7	4.5	n. s.
	Withdrawal	9.3	4.7	10.3	5.3	<0.01

3.3. Analysis of 12-month follow-up data

3.3.1. 12-month follow-up descriptive data

Pupils evaluated at 12-month follow-up

A total of 8,768 (78.4%) completed the 12-month follow-up evaluation. Percentages of pupils completing the follow-up in each country are reported in **table 35**.

Table 35 Percentages of pupils completing the 12-month follow-up in each country

Country	N	%
Estonia	1,426	87.2
Germany	2,174	80.1
Israel	920	64.2
Italy	1,798	79.4
Romania	1,540	89
Spain	910	64.6
Total	8,768	78.4

Across country, a small difference was observed in pupils completing the 12-month evaluation ($p=.001$) (**table 36**).

Table 36 Percentages of pupils completing the 12-month follow-up in each intervention arm stratified by countries

Country	Intervention arm							
	Truancy-Screen		Truancy-Aware		Truancy-Combined		Truancy-Mic	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Estonia	347	24.3	363	25.5	355	24.9	361	25.3
Germany	523	24.1	582	26.8	678	31.2	391	18
Israel	187	20.3	182	19.8	271	29.5	280	30.4
Italy	384	21.4	459	25.5	461	25.6	494	27.5
Romania	372	24.2	403	26.2	358	23.2	407	26.4
Spain	200	22	210	23.1	254	27.9	246	27
Total	2,013	23	2,199	25.1	2,377	27.1	2,179	24.9


Pupils missed at 12-month follow-up

Out of 2,418 (21.6%) pupils lost at 12-month follow-up, only 637 were truant at baseline (27%). They were mostly males (56.1%) and with a mean age of 16.3 ± 1.3 years.

3.3.2. Overall changes in truancy

Truant pupils after completing any intervention, including the Mechanistic, became non-truant in the 52.2% of cases, and did not differ from non-truant pupils. Age and gender did not have any impact on change in truancy rates (**figure 7**).

Figure 7 Changes in truancy rates at 12-month follow-up



Even considering different levels of truancy (never or once per month, 2-4 days per month, 5 or more times per month), at follow-up, more than 80% of all pupils' reported low levels of truancy, and more than 10% moderate levels of truancy, with no significant differences across groups ($p=0.14$).

3.3.3. Changes in truancy depending on intervention arm

In Truancy-Screen, 47.8% of truant pupils at baseline were no more truant at follow-up. In Truancy-Aware, 51.8% of truant pupils became non-truant after this intervention. In Truancy-Combined, 58.9% of truant pupils were no more truant at follow-up. In Truancy-Mic, 50.6% of truant pupils became non-truant. None of the baseline variables had any relevant effect on change in truancy at follow-up. In all the intervention arms, a significant reduction in truancy rates was observed (**table 37**).

Table 37 Changes in truancy rates stratified by intervention arms

	Truant at baseline		Truant at 12-month follow-up		Chi-square p-value
	N	%	N	%	
Truancy-Screen	525	20.7	388	19.8	<0.0001
Truancy-Aware	565	20.5	413	19.4	<0.0001
Truancy-Combined	477	15.5	352	15.2	<0.0001
Truancy-Mic	401	15	301	14.2	<0.0001

Comparison among interventions

Considering all pupils, the highest rates of non-truancy at follow-up was observed in the “Mechanistic” intervention. Considering only truant pupils at baseline, the highest rates of non-truancy at follow-up were obtained in the “Combined” intervention (**table 38**). Combination of interventions constituted an important help for truant pupils.

Table 38 *Changes in truancy depending on interventions*

	Truant at 12-month follow-up*			
	No		Yes	
	N	%	N	%
Truancy-Screen	160	47.8	175	52.2
Truancy-Aware	201	51.8	187	48.2
Truancy-Combined	185	58.9	129	41.1
Truancy-Mic	132	50.6	129	49.4

* Considering only Truant pupils at baseline

Considering truant pupils at baseline, significant differences were observed comparing “Truancy-Combined” with “Truancy-Mic” ($p=0.045$) and “Truancy-Screen” ($p=0.004$). Not relevant differences were observed comparing two by two the other interventions.

3.3.4. Overall effects of interventions

To be allocated to an intervention/control condition, whatever the allocation was and independently from pupils’ truancy status, significantly decreased school refusal drives (SRAS-R), peer conflict (ABAS 2), conduct disorder (CD - DISC Predictive Scale) symptoms, depression (BDI-II), suicidal ideation (PSS) and emotional symptoms, conduct problems, peer problems and total difficulties on SDQ. Moreover a significant improvement was observed on well-being (WHO-5), prosocial subscale (SDQ) scores, active and internal coping strategies (CASQ) (**table 39**).

Table 39 *Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up*

Total sample		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.2	1.1	1	1.1	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7	<0.0001
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	1	0.9	0.9	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.4	1.3	2.1	1.4	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		6	3.8	5.7	3.9	<0.0001
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		1.6	2.3	1.3	2	<0.0001
WHO-5		15.3	4.8	15.8	5.1	<0.0001
BDI-II		6.9	7.3	6	7.6	<0.0001
PSS (past year)		1.6	3.2	1.1	2.8	<0.0001
PSS (past 2 weeks)		0.8	2.5	0.7	1.4	<0.0001
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.8	2.2	2.6	2.2	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.2	1.6	<0.0001
	Hyperactivity	3.5	2.1	3.3	2.1	<0.0001
	Peer problems	2.1	1.6	2	1.6	n. s.

Psychological measures		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Total sample	Prosocial	7.3	1.9	7.4	2	0.001
	Total difficulties	10.6	5.1	10.2	5.4	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	11	4.7	11.6	4.9	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.2	4	12.5	4	<0.0001
	Withdrawal	8.6	4.3	8.6	4.6	n. s.

3.3.5. Truancy-Screen

Significant decreases were observed in school refusal drives (SRAS-R), conduct disorder (CD) symptoms, depressive symptoms at the BDI-II, suicidal ideation during the past year (PSS), emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and total difficulties at the SDQ. Furthermore, the scores on well-being (WHO) and active and internal coping (CASQ) became significantly higher at 12-month follow-up (**table 40**).

Table 40 Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up, Truancy-Screen sample

Psychological measures		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.2	1	1	1	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	<0.0001
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	1	1	0.9	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.3	1.4	2.1	1.4	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		6.1	3.9	5.9	3.9	n. s.
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		1.8	2.3	1.4	2	<0.0001
WHO-5		15.4	4.9	15.7	5	<0.05
BDI-II		6.9	7.1	6	7.4	<0.0001
PSS (past year)		1.7	3.2	1.2	2.9	<0.0001
PSS (past 2 weeks)		0.8	2.4	0.7	2.4	n. s.
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.7	2.1	2.5	2.2	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.2	1.7	<0.05
	Hyperactivity	3.5	2.1	3.3	2.1	<0.0001
	Peer problems	2.1	1.6	2.1	1.6	n. s.
	Prosocial	7.3	1.9	7.4	2	n. s.
	Total difficulties	10.6	5.1	10.1	5.5	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	11.1	4.9	11.7	4.9	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.1	4.1	12.6	4.1	<0.0001
	Withdrawal	8.8	4.4	8.6	4.5	n. s.

Considering truant pupils only, significant changes were observed in school refusal drives (SRAS-R), peer conflict (ABAS), conduct disorder (CD) symptoms, depressive symptoms at the BDI-II, suicidal ideation during the past year (PSS), hyperactivity at the SDQ and in internal coping strategy (CASQ) (**table 41**).

Table 41 *Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up, truant pupils in Truancy-Screen*

Truant pupils in Truancy-Screen		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.2	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	<0.0001
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.5	1.1	1.2	1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	3.2	1.4	2.8	1.4	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		7.8	4.6	7.1	4.3	<0.01
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		3.4	2.8	2.4	2.4	<0.0001
WHO-5		14.8	5.2	15.35	5	n. s.
BDI-II		9.2	7.8	7.8	8	<0.0001
PSS (past year)		2.2	3.6	1.5	3.3	<0.01
PSS (past 2 weeks)		1.1	2.9	0.9	2.4	n. s.
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.9	2.1	2.8	1.8	n. s.
	Conduct problems	2.9	1.8	2.7	1.8	n. s.
	Hyperactivity	4.1	2.1	3.8	2	<0.05
	Peer problems	2.2	1.6	2.3	1.6	n. s.
	Prosocial	7.8	2	6.9	2.1	n. s.
	Total difficulties	12	5.1	11.7	5.6	n. s.
CASQ	Active coping	10.8	4.8	11	4.6	n. s.
	Internal coping	11.3	4.3	11.9	4.1	<0.05
	Withdrawal	9.8	4.7	9.4	4.6	n. s.

3.3.6. Truancy-Aware

Significant decreases were observed in school refusal drives (SRAS-R), peer conflict (ABAS), conduct disorder (CD) symptoms, depressive symptoms at the BDI-II, suicidal ideation (PSS), conduct problems, hyperactivity and total difficulties at the SDQ. An increase in well-being (WHO) and active and internal coping (CASQ) was also detected (**table 42**).

Table 42 *Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up, Truancy-Aware sample*

Truancy-Aware sample		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.2	1	1	1	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7	<0.0001
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	1	0.9	0.9	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.4	1.4	2.1	1.4	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		6.3	3.9	6	4	<0.01
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		1.7	3.2	1.2	2.9	<0.0001
WHO-5		15.4	4.8	15.9	5	<0.0001
BDI-II		6.9	7.5	6	7.8	<0.0001
PSS (past year)		1.7	3.3	1.2	2.8	<0.0001
PSS (past 2 weeks)		0.9	2.5	0.7	2.3	<0.01
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.8	2.2	2.7	2.3	n. s.
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.1	1.6	<0.0001

Truancy-Aware sample		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
	Hyperactivity	3.5	2	3.3	2	<0.0001
	Peer problems	2	1.6	2	1.6	n. s.
	Prosocial	7.3	1.9	7.4	2	<0.01
	Total difficulties	10.6	5	10.2	5.4	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	10.9	4.6	11.5	4.8	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.2	4	12.5	4.2	<0.01
	Withdrawal	8.8	4.3	8.7	4.6	n. s.

Considering truant pupils only, significantly reduced scores were observed in school refusal drives (SRAS-R), conduct disorder (CD) symptoms, depressive symptoms at the BDI-II, suicidal ideation during the past year (PSS), conduct problems at the SDQ (**table 43**).

Table 43 *Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up, truant pupils in Truancy-Aware*

Truant pupils in Truancy-Aware		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.5	1.1	1.3	1.2	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	<0.0001
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	3.2	1.3	2.7	1.4	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		8.1	4	7.8	4.5	n. s.
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		3.4	3.8	2.7	2.9	<0.0001
WHO-5		14.9	5	15.3	5.5	n. s.
BDI-II		8.9	7.9	7.8	8.6	<0.05
PSS (past year)		2.4	3.9	1.7	3.3	<0.01
PSS (past 2 weeks)		1.3	2.9	1	2.8	n. s.
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	3	2.3	3	2.3	n. s.
	Conduct problems	3	1.8	2.7	1.8	<0.01
	Hyperactivity	4.3	2	4.2	2.1	n. s.
	Peer problems	2.3	1.8	2.4	1.7	n. s.
	Prosocial	6.6	2	6.7	2.3	n. s.
	Total difficulties	12.7	5.3	12.3	5.5	n. s.
CASQ	Active coping	10.5	5	10.9	5.2	n. s.
	Internal coping	11.3	4.4	11.5	4.6	n. s.
	Withdrawal	9.7	4.6	9.6	4.9	n. s.

3.3.7. Truancy-Combined

This intervention had significant impact on school refusal drives (SRAS-R), peer conflict (ABAS), conduct disorder (CD) symptoms, depressive symptoms at the BDI-II, suicidal ideation (PSS), emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and total difficulties at the SDQ. An increase in well-being (WHO) and active and internal coping (CASQ) was also detected (**table 44**).

Table 44 Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up, Truancy-Combined sample

Truancy-Combined sample		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.2	1	1	1.1	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7	<0.0001
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.1	1	0.9	0.9	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.3	1.4	2.1	1.4	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		6.1	3.8	5.8	3.8	<0.0001
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		1.5	2.2	1.3	2	<0.0001
WHO-5		15.3	4.7	15.7	5.1	<0.0001
BDI-II		6.8	7.3	6	7.6	<0.0001
PSS (past year)		1.6	3.2	1.1	2.7	<0.0001
PSS (past 2 weeks)		0.9	2.6	0.7	2.4	<0.01
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.8	2.2	2.6	2.2	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.2	1.6	<0.0001
	Hyperactivity	3.5	2.1	3.4	2.1	<0.01
	Peer problems	2.1	1.7	2.1	1.7	n. s.
	Prosocial	7.2	1.9	7.3	2	n. s.
	Total difficulties	10.8	5.1	10.3	5.4	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	10.8	4.7	11.4	5	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.3	4	12.5	4.2	<0.01
	Withdrawal	8.7	4.3	8.7	4.6	n. s.

Considering truant pupils only, significantly reduced scores were observed in school refusal drives (SRAS-R 1, 3 and 4), peer conflict (ABAS), conduct disorder (CD) symptoms, suicidal ideation during the past year (PSS), emotional symptoms, conduct problems and total difficulties at the SDQ. An increase in well-being (WHO-5) and internal coping strategy (CASQ) was also observed (**table 45**).

Table 45 Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up, truant pupils in Truancy-Combined

Truant pupils in Truancy-Combined		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.2	<0.05
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	n. s.
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.4	1.1	1.1	1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	3	1.4	2.6	1.4	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		8	4	7.1	4.2	<0.01
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		3.2	2.8	2.5	3.1	<0.01
WHO-5		14.9	5.1	15.7	5.5	<0.05
BDI-II		8.7	8.8	7.7	9.7	n. s.
PSS (past year)		2.3	3.9	1.5	3.1	<0.01
PSS (past 2 weeks)		1.4	3.4	1	2.8	n. s.
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.8	2.2	2.5	2.1	<0.01
	Conduct problems	2.9	1.7	2.6	1.7	<0.01
	Hyperactivity	4	2	3.8	2	n. s.
	Peer problems	2.2	1.6	2.3	1.6	n. s.

Truant pupils in Truancy-Combined		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
	Prosocial	6.6	2.1	6.8	2.1	n. s.
	Total difficulties	12	5.1	11.3	5.4	<0.05
CASQ	Active coping	10.2	5.1	10.8	5.3	n. s.
	Internal coping	11.4	4.2	12.1	4.7	<0.05
	Withdrawal	9.4	4.8	9.5	5	n. s.

3.3.8. Truancy-Mic

The Truancy-Mic sample showed a decrease in school refusal drives (SRAS-R), peer conflict (ABAS), conduct disorder (CD) symptoms, depressive symptoms at the BDI-II, suicidal ideation during the past year (PSS), emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and total difficulties at the SDQ. An increase in well-being (WHO) and in all the coping strategies (CASQ) was also notice (**table 46**).

Table 46 Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up, Truancy-Mic sample

Truancy-Mic sample		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.7	<0.0001
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.2	1	0.9	0.9	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	2.4	1.3	2.2	1.3	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		5.7	3.7	5.3	3.8	<0.0001
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		1.5	2.2	1.1	1.8	<0.0001
WHO-5		15.3	4.8	15.8	5.1	<0.0001
BDI-II		7	7.3	6.2	7.7	<0.0001
PSS (past year)		1.5	3	1.1	2.7	<0.0001
PSS (past 2 weeks)		0.7	2.4	0.7	2.4	n. s.
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	2.8	2.1	2.6	2.2	<0.0001
	Conduct problems	2.3	1.6	2.1	1.6	<0.0001
	Hyperactivity	3.4	2.1	3.2	2.1	<0.01
	Peer problems	2	1.6	2	1.6	n. s.
	Prosocial	7.3	1.9	7.4	2	n. s.
	Total difficulties	10.5	5.1	9.9	5.3	<0.0001
CASQ	Active coping	11.2	4.7	11.7	5	<0.0001
	Internal coping	12.2	3.9	12.3	4	<0.0001
	Withdrawal	8.3	4.2	8.4	4.6	<0.0001

Considering truant pupils only, significant changes were observed in school refusal drives (SRAS-R), peer conflict (ABAS), conduct disorder (CD) symptoms, suicidal ideation during the past year (PSS), conduct problems at the SDQ and active coping strategy (CASQ) (**table 47**).

Table 47 *Changes in psychological measures at 12-month follow-up, truant pupils in Truancy-Mic*

Truant pupils in Truancy-Combined		Baseline		12-month follow-up		Paired samples t-test p-value
Psychological measures		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SRAS-R	1 - Avoidance negative affectivity	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.3	<0.0001
	2 - Escape from aversive social situations	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.9	<0.05
	3 - Pursuit of attention	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.1	<0.0001
	4 - Pursuit of tangible reinforcement	3.1	1.2	2.5	1.4	<0.0001
ABAS 2 - Peer conflict		8.1	4	7.1	4.2	<0.0001
CD symptoms - DISC Predictive Scale		3.7	3.3	2.7	2.7	<0.0001
WHO-5		14.9	5	15.5	5.7	n. s.
BDI-II		9.7	9.3	9	10.4	n. s.
PSS (past year)		2.7	4.5	2	4	<0.05
PSS (past 2 weeks)		1.5	3.6	1.5	3.8	n. s.
SDQ	Emotional symptoms	3.1	2.2	2.9	2.4	n. s.
	Conduct problems	3.2	1.8	2.9	1.8	<0.01
	Hyperactivity	4.3	2.2	4.2	2.2	n. s.
	Peer problems	2.2	1.7	2.3	1.6	n. s.
	Prosocial	6.7	2	6.7	2.2	n. s.
	Total difficulties	12.7	5.2	12.3	5.6	n. s.
CASQ	Active coping	9.8	4.9	10.7	5.5	<0.05
	Internal coping	11.6	4.3	11.6	4.7	n. s.
	Withdrawal	8.9	4.7	9.4	5.7	n. s.

4. *Potential Impact of WE-STAY main findings and recommendations*

Problems of school attendance, which may take the form of truancy or school refusal have a prominent place in public health as well as in child and adolescent psychiatry. School performance, involvement in bullying and frequent absences from school may be indicators of not only cognitive and social skills but also mental health. Mental disorders may interfere with learning and adjustment in many ways and failure in schoolwork often makes an adolescent more likely to drop-out from school. It has also been hypothesized that adolescents who are frequently absent from school present an increased risk of both internalizing and externalizing mental disorders. However, very few studies are available in the medical literature to support these hypotheses.

The Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth (WE-STAY) was developed in this precise context. Mental health promotion in school should comprise approaches that make school safe, offering interventions for pupils at risk. The main objective of WE-STAY was to identify the most effective evidence-based means to reduce truancy rates and improve mental health among adolescents. It has been performed by a consortium of ten European countries with the implementation of intervention in six countries: Estonia, Germany, Italy, Israel, Romania and Spain. Sweden was the coordinating centre of the project. France, Hungary and Slovenia had the role to perform other important tasks such as translation, cultural adaptation and dissemination.

The WE-STAY project was successful in recruiting a large sample (N=11,186) of high school pupils and evaluating a wide range of measures related to demographics, mental health, and the social context. Overall it has been identified that 17.8% of the evaluated students are to be considered truant as they skip school without a valid motivation at least 3-4 times every month. Significant country differences were found in truancy with the lowest rate reported in Germany (8.3%) and the highest rate reported in Israel (32.5%). The major implication of these findings is that truancy is a very relevant phenomenon that affects on average 1 out of 5 adolescents in Europe and needs to be addressed by the European school system.

According to our data, socio-demographic features did not significantly distinguish truant and non-truant students, with the exception of “living with both parents”, which exerted a protective effect. This may be explained by a less effective monitoring of the children in single-parent families. Indeed, inadequate parents’ monitoring on children activities outside home was strongly related to increased rates of truancy.

Truancy was explained by different motivations in students, but we found the need “to pursue tangible reinforcements outside of school” (SRAS scale, factor 4) explaining the largest variance, as reported by both the youngsters and their parents. Therefore, young more prone in seeking gratification outside the school setting are at higher risk for truancy. Truant students reported most frequently that hanging out with friends, surfing the Internet or walking around town was their preferred activity when skipping school. A further frequent activity during skipped school days was “sleeping”. This is consistent with the fact that truant students sleep less during school-days (≈ 7 hours) than non-truant ones, probably because they do late watching TV, surfing internet, playing computer games (activities importantly related to truancy), or going out at night. However, less sleep in truant students may be also explained by sleep problems induced by psychological distress.

Risk behaviours such as heavy alcohol drinking, smoking, drugs use were strongly more frequent in truant students than in non-truant ones. This data may be explained by different reasons, which may be related to each other. On one hand, often young people engage in such behaviours because of affiliation needs (Park et al., 2009). This interpretation is in line with the idea that truant students are more prone to seek gratification outside the school setting which allows for such non-ordinary behaviours. On the other hand, it is also well known that involvement in risk behaviours may be motivated by psychological and interpersonal difficulties (Lyvers et al., 2010). Furthermore, due to affiliation needs, problematic young may be more prone to cope with them through aberrant behaviours instead of more genuine and safe ways of self-expression (Kuntsche et al., 2005). Therefore, students who engage themselves repeatedly in risk

behaviours should be strictly monitored both for truancy risk, underlining potential psychological drives, as well as negative outcomes on physical and mental health.

Truant students also had more severe problems in terms of personality antisocial traits and conduct disorder symptoms, as demonstrated by the ABAS, DPS and SDQ scales. These youngsters also had problems in terms of adaptation to problematic situations, as they referred avoiding, retracting and alienating in front of problems (“withdrawal” at the CASQ), to a higher degree than non-truant students. Truancy may also be in part explained by feelings of nervousness and uneasiness, sadness and grief, displeasure in own life and death thoughts. Interestingly, more than 30% of truant students (vs. 19% non-truant) reported having performed some kind of self-harm and more than 9% (vs. 4% non-truant) reported a lifetime history of attempted suicide. Truant pupils also reported significantly higher levels of depression and anxiety. These data support the hypothesis that truancy it’s not a mere behavioural problem but it’s strongly associated with poor mental health, including suicidality. Consequently, preventive interventions for truancy that are exclusively based on control appear to be a very coarse and inadequate approach to the problem. Unfortunately, according to previous research and according to the truancy mapping effort made within this project, truancy prevention interventions, with few exceptions, do not focus on health and mental health. Mechanistic control based interventions are virtually the only ones that are implemented across the world.

Within the WE-STAY project very interesting results have been acquired through the involvement of parents in the evaluation of truancy, as a phenomenon affecting not only the pupil but the whole family. The first relevant information is related to response rates of parents that were low or very low in all intervention countries. On average, one out of three parents accepted to participate in the study and fill in the questionnaire, possibly revealing a scarce interest of many parents in this phenomenon. Another explanation could be parents’ reluctance to admit insufficient monitoring of their children school attendance. Self-report of truancy among adolescents and their parents were matched; interestingly, 25% of the parents did not know or suspect their children skipped school without a valid reason. Moreover, truancy appeared to be strongly related to parental monitoring. Knowing the whereabouts of their children, being aware of who are their friends, knowing and asking about their plans, were all factors associated with increasing truancy. Parents beliefs and attitudes toward schools and school related values were important factors as well. Parental depression was also found to be associated with truancy. Parents of truant students seemed to be more prone to delegate to the school the responsibility to control their children (“Teachers should always know the reason why a student is absent”). It is also to be noted that parents of truant students were less aware than parents of non-truant students that psychological distress in the young “may be treated by a doctor”, potentially indicating less information about mental problems in the young. These findings suggest that the role of the family is strongly related to truancy; the level of parental control of children activities’, regular attendance to school, as well as parental attitudes towards school, academic progress and truancy, plays a relevant role in truancy risk. The implementation of specific programs targeting parental behaviours, attitudes and beliefs toward school may be of help in truancy prevention.

In the WE-STAY project four different interventions were performed and evaluated to prevent truancy and improve mental-health of adolescents. The interventions were based on different approaches and empowered different subjects as actors of the preventive activities. The first intervention (TRUANCY-AWARE) was an awareness increasing intervention based on three components 1) a didactic and pedagogical booklet; 2) standardized interactive sessions with the pupils, including lectures and role play and 3) didactic posters that were placed on the walls of the classroom. The second intervention (TRUANCY-SCREEN) was a screening program with the objective to identify truant students with health-risk behaviours and mental health problems and refer them for treatment when necessary. The third intervention (TRUANCY-COMBINED) included a combination of the awareness increasing and the screening intervention. The fourth intervention (TRUANCY-MIC) was a mechanistic intervention based on simple measurement and control of truancy rates with a report that was sent back to the pupils and/or their parents. It has not been possible to follow exactly the same procedure in each country because of different rules and legislation. While many schools already had a monitoring system into place, this was used and evaluated for the purposes of this intervention. In the rare cases where there was no system, a control and report system was developed and implemented by the WE-STAY staff. Parents were sent or not sent this report if this was required/forbidden by the law.

Most pupils have welcomed and successfully completed the programs (participation rate: 88%), indicating a good feasibility of all interventions.

Nearly 60% of the students who were truant at baseline, were not truant at the 12 months follow-up after being exposed to the combination of Awareness and Screening programs. This reduction was significantly higher than the reduction induced by the truancy control intervention alone or by the screening intervention alone; it was not significantly higher than the Awareness intervention alone. The major implication of this finding is that even if mechanistic control of truancy is capable of considerably reducing truancy rates, interventions that include a mental health-based component are significantly more effective in reducing truancy.

4.1. Screening Program (TRUANCY- SCREEN)

After the baseline evaluation the students in the Screening arm were considered positive if they exceeded the cut-off values for both, truancy and the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ). These pupils have then been contacted either by the clinical interviewer (psychiatrist/psychologist) or the “facilitator” via telephone, to set up an appointment for an interview with the adolescent. The structured clinical interview has been carried out by clinical staff (registered clinical psychologists or psychiatrists). The aim of the interview was to find pupils who were truant because of an emotional problem or a conduct problem. During the interview the MINI-KID questionnaire was administered to pupils. Those who were diagnosed a psychiatric disorder were subsequently referred to the mental healthcare system.

Of the 2574 pupils who entered the initial screening at Stage 1 24.7% (n=637) were screened as being at-risk, and 480 (75.3%) participated in the Stage 2 clinical interview. 304 of the Stage 2 attendees, which means 11.8% of the entire sample, required referral to the mental healthcare system for treatment of psychological problems.

The screening program significantly decreased truancy of pupils at the 12 month follow-up. Almost half (47.8%) of the pupils who were truant at baseline and underwent the screening program were not truant at the 12 month follow-up. This decrease, however, was significantly lower than the decrease measured in other arms of WE-STAY. The screening intervention caused significant reductions at the 12 month follow-up in depression, anxiety, suicidal ideation, emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and a significant increase in well-being. Increase in well-being was already significant at the 1 month follow-up. When evaluating only truant students, the screening intervention significantly reduced depression, anxiety, suicidal ideation and hyperactivity.

The novelty of the screening program used in WE-STAY was the inclusion of truancy as a criteria to identify pupils at risk, while previous studies have primarily focused on emotional problems, substance abuse and suicidal behaviour. As many as 304 pupils (11.8%), out of the 2574 screened, presented mental health problems that required mental healthcare. Our results strongly support a high burden of mental health disease, in adolescent populations, and call for further public health attention. A previous qualitative analysis, performed in the context of the SEYLE project, revealed that an important contributing factor for adolescent help- seeking behaviours and compliance to the interview was the proximity and short waiting times for the clinical interview and positive attitudes among parents. The predictive value concerning the average travel time from pupils’ school to the study centre was examined; results indicated a significant negative correlation between travel time and attendance to the clinical interview. In the WE-STAY project, clinical interviews were administered in the schools. These allowed to have a reasonably high attendance rate of pupils to the interview (>75%). The results of the screening arm also suggest that attention to truancy in addition to psychopathology is critical in facilitating prevention and early intervention and that screening in schools can be a valuable approach in detecting students with psychological problems that require subsequent mental healthcare. However, the feasibility of large scale screening programs can be problematic. The reception of the screening intervention by pupils, parents and schools was less favourable in comparison with the Awareness intervention.

4.2. Awareness Increasing Intervention (TRUANCY-AWARE)

The Awareness increasing intervention administered in WE-STAY was significantly effective in reducing truancy rates at the 12 months follow-up. It was also significantly effective in improving mental health of pupils. A significant increase was observed in well-being already 1 month after the intervention. Significant reductions at the 12 month follow-up were measured for depression, anxiety, suicidal ideation, conduct problems and hyperactivity. Coping strategies appear to improve significantly as well. The Awareness intervention appeared to decrease depressive symptoms and improve well-being also when examining only truant students.

Upon the completion of the active WE-STAY interventions and the three waves of data collection, a qualitative sub-study was undertaken in order to gain additional information about the Awareness intervention and students' experience of the study. 32 Awareness participants across four of the sites (Estonia, Italy, Romania, Spain) were interviewed in depth about the study, helping the researchers to gain a deeper understanding of the Awareness program in the field as well students' opinions and experiences of truancy. The primary investigators of the sub-study were an anthropologist and psychologist both with extensive experience of qualitative semi-structured interviews and analysis of those. The students' memories and perceptions of the program differed and seemed to depend on individual history and interest as well to be related to how the entire class participated and thought of the program. Most of the students remembered well the questionnaire, which in fact turns out to be quite an intensive "intervention" in their eyes, seeing that they have to answer to it three times over the year and every time it takes more than one hour to fill it in. Moreover, many students brought up topics such as hurting yourself or others as being a bit shocking, or at least not connected to their lives, so they remembered the questionnaires very well. The results also show that those students who actively participated in the role-plays remember much more from the Awareness program. Moreover, those students who expressed that the topics were relevant to them personally or if they were interested in "psychology" or the humanities remembered more from the program. Most of the students, notwithstanding the country, said that they had never participated in role-plays to learn something and they seemed to enjoy it, at least more than the classes they missed when participating. It was quite obvious that many students were not aware that they were in fact learning something, as they saw the role-plays like a "game". Consequently, role-play is in fact one of the most appropriate ways to learn new life-skills. Most of the students openly spoke also about truancy and had many opinions about it. The differences on the subject were large, depending mostly on their personal histories with skipping school but also on how lenient or not the schools were. The reasons for skipping school varied from skipping a specific subject, class or teacher, or because school was boring, the subject too difficult or too easy, but in general most of the students described skipping school as a communal activity, something they did with their friends. Many of the Italian, Romanian and Spanish students described going to the local café to have coffee and smoke cigarettes when they were skipping school. The Estonian, as well as many of the Spanish students would just "hang around", meaning they were staying close to the school, but not going to class. Some students mentioned skipping school to have time to study for other subjects, practice other activities (such as music or sports) or because they had to take care of a sick family member or help their parents with something outside of school.

For most of the students that were interviewed, skipping school was not understood by them as related to mental health issues. A more smooth transition from general mental health themes and that of truancy is needed in the program. Some students pointed out that more space should be allocated to truancy in the booklet. More time allocated to the role-plays on this topic could help, as the students seem to remember mainly the role-plays and to a lesser extent the lectures and booklets. Also, the students' personal stories of skipping school need to be incorporated better. More in-depth role-plays, allowing the students to come up with their own examples could be very helpful and also very interesting from a research perspective. It is important that all the students participate in the role-play as this did not seem to be the case; those who did participate clearly remembered more from the awareness program. This can be accomplished through smaller groups, more role-play sessions and more freedom to control the content of the role-plays – which means more time and resources are needed for implementation.

4.3. Combination of Awareness and Screening Interventions (TRUANCY-COMBINED)

This arm of the study comprised both, the TRUANCY - AWARE and the TRUANCY - SCREEN interventions. Implementation of each intervention has been identical to the interventions in the other active arms. Interventions have been performed in sequence according to a structured plan where the Awareness intervention was carried out first.

The combined intervention significantly reduced the proportion of truant students. This reduction was significantly higher than the screening intervention and the truancy control intervention but was not significantly higher than the awareness increasing intervention.

The combined intervention significantly increased the well-being of pupils. The increase in well-being was visible at both, 1-month and 12-months follow-up. A significant decrease of psychiatric symptoms in terms of depression, anxiety, suicidal ideation, emotional symptoms, conduct problems and hyperactivity was also observed. When evaluating truant students, significant decreases in depression and suicidal ideation and significant increases in well-being were also observed.

Even if the combined intervention had higher effects than screening alone in reducing truancy, the positive effects, in terms of mental health improvement, of the combined intervention were not significantly higher than the effects of either intervention (awareness or screening) administered alone. On the other hand combining both interventions on the same subjects appeared to be highly demanding in terms of time and resources. This fact has been reported unanimously by each study site and regarded both, intervention staff and school staff. For this reason, on the basis of WE-STAY results and in the absence of a significant augmentation potential, it is not recommended to combine awareness increasing interventions and screening interventions on the same subjects. The same effects in terms of both, truancy reduction and mental health improvement, were obtained by the awareness intervention alone.

4.4. Mechanistic Intervention and Control of Truancy (TRUANCY-MIC)

Mechanistic control of Truancy was performed in WE-STAY in most cases through systems that were already in place at the schools. When there was no system already in place, absences of all students were monitored by the WE-STAY personnel and were reported to the pupils and/or their families when TRUANCY exceeded a certain threshold. Mechanistic control of truancy was found to be effective in reducing truancy rates. When comparing this intervention with the others, it reduced truancy rates significantly less than the Awareness intervention and than the combined intervention. No difference was observed between Mechanistic control of truancy and screening. However, when analyzing the mental health outcomes of this intervention among truant students, no effects were observed on depression, well-being, suicidal ideation, emotional symptoms, hyperactivity, peer problems, prosocial behavior and coping strategies. Some improvements were observed only on conduct problems and active coping. Mechanistic control of truancy, in contrary to other interventions, had no positive effects on the mental health of truant pupils, with the exception of an obvious decrease of conduct problems (truancy itself) and a slight increase in active coping. Some coping skills were activated by forcing pupils to attend school. WE-STAY results suggest that a mental health component should always be included in intervention programs for truancy. Otherwise, even if truancy might be reduced in a mechanistic way, mental health problems, which are common among truant pupils, are not affected in any way.

4.5. Main dissemination activities

Immediately after the project's beginning, the partner responsible for the Dissemination workpackage began to organize dissemination activities. A comprehensive plan containing indications about targets, strategies and tools of dissemination has been developed and shared with the other partners.

Three different level of dissemination have been identified:

1. *Scientific Community;*
2. *Politicians and Stakeholders;*
3. *General Public.*

A stakeholder analysis in order to identify main targets for dissemination activities has been conducted and results are presented in **table 48**.

Table 48 Stakeholder analysis

TARGET AUDIENCE	Expectation/need	Realization/ Results	Primary/ Secondary	Positive/ Negative	Comments for negative targets
Researchers in the field of mental health	-Information on effectiveness of interventions -Review of current scientific evidence concerning truancy and its psychological correlates -Information about truancy epidemiology and prevention	Both	Secondary	Positive	-
Experts in truancy prevention	-Guidelines for truancy prevention -Information on how to reach specific target groups	Both	Secondary	Positive	-
Adolescents	-Increased mental health -Resources to use when in distress -Resources to help peers	Result	Primary	Positive/ Negative	Adolescent might react negatively to the study and deny consent to participate due to concerns for stigmatization and privacy
Ethical Committees	-Ethically sound procedures -Prevention of potential harm to adolescents	Realization	Primary	Positive	-
Parents	-Improved health for their children -Prevent truancy in their children -Safe environment at school	Result	Primary	Positive/ Negative	Parents might have a negative attitude towards the recruitment of their children in the evaluation procedures
School authorities	-Cost effective programs to promote mental health and prevent truancy in the school setting -Low burden on school finances	Result	Primary	Positive	-
Teachers	-Healthy pupils -Positive and supportive environment in the classroom	Result	Primary	Positive/ Negative	Teachers might be concerned about stigmatization
European Commission	-Project that reach its objectives by delivering high quality results in the specified timeline -Successful collection of deliverables	Both	Primary	Positive	-
Local Politicians	-Healthy environment for citizens -Increase school attendance	Result	Secondary	Positive	-

For each dissemination level specific materials and tools able to increase the diffusion of the project have been identified and are presented in **table 49**.

Table 49 Dissemination Materials and Tools

MATERIALS AND TOOLS	LEVEL 1: SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY	LEVEL 2: POLITICIANS AND STAKEHOLDERS	LEVEL 3: GENERAL PUBLIC
Logo and graphical identity	XX	XX	XX
Project web-site	XX	XX	XX
Research summary document	X	X	
Scientific publications	XX	X	
Scientific presentations	XX	X	
Scientific posters	XX	X	
Slideshow/Video	X		
Master's thesis	X		X
Conferences	XX	XX	X
Seminars and workshops	XX	XX	
Reports		XX	
Informational events		X	XX
Recreational events			XX
Social networks	X		XX
Link exchange	X		X
Media coverage and press releases	X	X	XX
Letter of thanks		X	XX
Certificate of attendance			X
Leaflets and other promotional items	XX	X	XX
Gadgets			X

X=recommended; XX=highly recommended

According to a shared dissemination program, each partner:

1. Provided the logo of his own organization and all references that are useful for dissemination;
2. Used the WE-STAY logo in presentations, publications and/or other activities regarding the project;
3. Contributed to the project promotion and dissemination of results;
4. Kept track of all the activities performed and shared them with the dissemination workpackage leader;
5. Produced a final report on the dissemination activities carried out.

All partners actively took part in the dissemination process, providing translations and publications, participating in conferences and workshop, etc. The responsible of the dissemination workpackage created a standardized document to collect all the relevant information concerning the dissemination activities performed by each centre at local, national and international level. At each site, a responsible for dissemination has been identified and the document has been shared with him/her. Each time a dissemination activity took place, the local responsible for dissemination updated the shared document with the required information. Records for all the activities (e.g., slides, posters, leaflets) have also been collected.



Figure 8 WE-STAY Logo

The WE-STAY logo (**figure 8**) and a slide containing main information on the project (**figure 9**) have been designed, so conferring a unique graphical identity to all the dissemination activities. The WE-STAY logo and the slide have been developed in order to enhance the European nature of the project, also underling its funding by the EU as a cooperative action under the 7th Framework Programme.

The WE-STAY website (www.we-stay.eu) has been created and then translated into all the languages used in the project (Deliverables 2.1 and 5.5).

Two different parts have been developed inside the website, one public and one private. The private part is used as place of exchange of key information and materials between partners. The public part contains six sections:

1. *Homepage*. It includes a brief summary of the project and its objectives (**figure 10**).
2. *Objectives*. This section contains a more specific description of the project's objectives.
3. *Consortium*. The complete list of partners is showed here.
4. *Workpackages*. The 17 project workpackages are presented with the name of the leading centre.
5. *Dissemination*. This section contains a description of the plan for dissemination.
6. *Contact*. Project Coordinator and Project Manager contacts are available here.



Figure 9 WE-STAY slide




Figure 10 Homepage of the WE-STAY website

A uniform text containing a general description of WE-STAY has been developed and then translated in all the relevant languages to be used as multi-language dissemination material (Deliverable 5.6).

Within its FP7 HEALTH programme, the European Commission has funded a special project called CommHERE, Communicating European Health Research. The aim of CommHERE is to improve communication on the outcome of EU funded health research projects to the general public and the media. WE-STAY interacted with CommHERE in order to improve its dissemination strategies. A WE-STAY dedicated page has been published on HorizonHealth.eu webportal, a CommHERE initiative (<http://www.horizonhealth.eu/project/working-europe-stop-truancy-among-youth/153>).

Dissemination to the general public has been performed in this project and all sites worked hard at local and national level to promote WE-STAY and spread the following messages:

- Truancy in adolescents is a warning sign of psychological distress;
- Truancy is preventable but prevention needs an accurate analysis of associated psychological factors;
- Truancy prevention programs can be considered means for promoting mental health in adolescents
- Mental health is a key determinant of general health.

Beside the project website, TVs, radios, newspapers and press releases have been used for this aim. Furthermore, conferences, workshops and print materials (e.g., brochures, leaflets) represented the main tools to communicate these messages particularly to parents, students, teachers and school staffs.

Raising the awareness about mental health produces an increase in the demand for help, so healthcare professional and the broader scientific community represented one of the main target audience of WE-STAY dissemination activities. Methods and results of the WE-STAY project have been published in local and national reports as well as presented in conferences and workshops. Wherever possible, master or doctoral level educational programmes (e.g., public health, mental health, social work) in participating countries included descriptions of the WE-STAY project. The section of adolescent mental health, already created by within the EPA (European Psychiatric Association), by partners in the WE-STAY consortium has been involved in WE-STAY activities to increase the visibility of the dissemination plan. A plan for scientific publications has been prepared and will allow for the exploitation of the foreground of the WE-STAY results also after the end of the project (see table A1). Several publications are currently in preparation and will be submitted shortly to scientific journals.

4.6. Contact details

The Project Leader and Coordinator of the WE-STAY project is:

Professor Danuta Wasserman

Head of the National Centre for Suicide Research and Prevention of Mental Ill-Health and Suicide (NASP) at Karolinska Institutet - Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail: danuta.wasserman@ki.se

Other members of the Executive Committee are:

Dr. Vladimir Carli

National Centre for Suicide Research and Prevention of Mental Ill-Health (NASP) at Karolinska Institutet - Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail: vladimir.carli@ki.se

Professor Christina Hoven

Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University - New York, USA

E-mail: hoven@nyspi.columbia.edu

Ms. Camilla Wasserman.

Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University - New York, USA

E-mail: camillaw@gmail.com

Professor Marco Sarchiapone

Department of Health Sciences, University of Molise - Campobasso, Italy

E-mail: marco.sarchiapone@me.com

The WE-STAY Consortium comprises centers in 10 European countries. Site leaders for each respective center and country are:

- Danuta Wasserman (NASP, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden, Coordinating Centre)
- Airi Varnik (Estonian-Swedish Mental Health & Suicidology Institute, Estonia)

- Jean-Pierre Kahn (University of Nancy, France)
- Romuald Brunner (University of Heidelberg, Germany)
- Judit Balazs (Vadaskert Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Hospital, Hungary)
- Alan Apter (Schneider Children's Medical Centre of Israel, Tel-Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel)
- Marco Sarchiapone (University of Molise, Italy)
- Doina Cosman (Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania)
- Dragan Marusic (University of Primorska, Slovenia)
- Julio Bobes (University of Oviedo, Spain).

The address of the WE-STAY website is <http://www.we-stay.eu>

Use and dissemination of foreground

5. Table A1: List of planned scientific publications

Cluster Theme	Cluster Lead Country	Primary Manuscript
1. Epidemiology	Italy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Prevalence of truancy among European adolescents – Prevalence of risky lifestyles among truant adolescents in Europe – Prevalence of mental ill-health among truant adolescents in Europe
2. Comparative efficacy of Interventions – TRUANCY-SCREEN – TRUANCY-AWARE – TRUANCY-COMBINED	Sweden	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – A comparative study on the effectiveness of the Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth (WE-STAY) interventions: a randomized controlled trial (RCT)
3. Methodology	Sweden	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – The Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth (WE-STAY) Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT): Methodological issues
4. Psychiatric disorders	Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Psychiatric diagnoses among acutely suicidal adolescents in Europe – School absenteeism in Europe and its association with psychiatric disorders
5. Theoretical models of truancy, Psychopathology & Suicidal behavior – Non-suicidal self-harm – Suicide ideation – Suicide attempts	Israel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Models of truancy and school refusal: <i>The Functional Model</i> (Kearney & Silverman 1993; SRAS-R) Vs. the <i>Psychopathologic Model</i> (internalizing vs. externalizing psychopathologies). – Bullying and victimization: the correlation between bullying, depression and suicide among adolescents. – Parental Involvement and Self Efficacy as protective factors for truancy and other adjustments problems. – Universality of risk factors for suicidal behavior in Israel (local level article).
6. Diagnostic – Depression – Anxiety – Substance abuse	Hungary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Subthreshold of diagnostic disorders among truant adolescents
7. Psychometrics/validation of scales	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Validation of SRAS-R – Validation of CASQ

Cluster Theme	Cluster Lead Country	Primary Manuscript
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Validation of ABAS – Validation of DPS
8. Qualitative evaluations	Slovenia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Qualitative Awareness/Truancy Study: What do the kids (actually) think and do?
9. Communication (Internet) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Values – Culture 	Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Pathological internet use and peer victimization among students in Germany – The association of pathological internet use and experiences of bullying among students in Europe
10. Quality Social Networks/Attitudes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Teachers – Parents – Family structure 	Estonia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Comparison of parents and students answers to identical questions concerning students' mental health and truancy issues. – Association of students-parents relations with student risk behaviors
11. Somatic and mental health	Spain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Somatic health among truant adolescents in Europe
12. Physical activity and nutrition	Italy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Nutrition, psychical activity and adolescent well-being
13. Stress levels in European adolescents	Romania	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Stress levels and truancy among European adolescents

6. Table A2: List of dissemination activities

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Web	KI	WE-STAY - Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth	June 2010	http://ki.se/ki/jsp/polopoly.jsp?d=39844&a=128060&l=sv	Scientific community, Politicians, General public	---	Sweden
Web	KI	WE-STAY - Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth	September 2010	http://we-stay.eu	Scientific community, Politicians, General public	---	International
Presentation	KI	Saving and Empowering Young Lives in Europe (SEYLE) and Working in Europe to Stop Truancy among Youth (WE-STAY) 13th European Symposium of Suicide and Suicidal Behaviour	September 2, 2010	Rome, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	100	International
Presentation	KI	Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth: The WE-STAY Project AACAP/CACAP Joint Annual Meeting	October 22, 2011	Toronto, Canada	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	50	International
Poster	KI	Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth (WE-STAY) 20th European Congress of Psychiatry	March 3-6, 2012	Prague, Czech Republic	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	3200	International
Presentation	KI	EU research at Karolinska Institutet - SEYLE and WE-STAY	November 26, 2012	Stockholm, Sweden	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	100	Sweden
TV Presentation	KI	Kort om forskning: Självmord bland unga	February 25, 2013	Sweden	General Public	---	Sweden
Web	KI	EU research at Karolinska Institutet - SEYLE and WE-STAY	March 7, 2013	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xV0M742yPHU	General public	---	Sweden, International
Symposium	KI	The Working in Europe to Stop Truancy among Youth (WE-STAY) Project 21st European Congress of Psychiatry, EPA	April 9, 2013	Nice, France	Psychiatrist, Psychologist	100	International
Poster	KI	Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth (WE-STAY) 21st European Congress of Psychiatry, EPA	April 8, 2013	Nice, France	Psychiatrist, Psychologist	3700	International
Web	KI	WE-STAY	June 2013	http://www.horizonhealth.eu/project/working-europe-stop-truancy-among-youth/153	Scientific community, Politicians, General public	---	International

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Web	ERSI	WE-STAY project on the ERSI web-site	May 1, 2010	http://www.suicidology.ee/index.php?page=59	Scientific community, potential partners and general public	---	Estonia International
Presentation	ERSI	ERSI projects, incl WE-STAY Annual Conference of the Estonian Centre for Behavioural and Health Sciences	May 20-22, 2010	Lepanina, Estonia	Researchers and PhD students	90	Estonia
Meeting	ERSI	ERSI projects, incl WE-STAY Meeting with the Development and Counselling Centre 'Avtus'	October 7, 2010	Tallinn, Estonia	Psychological counsellors	3	Estonia
Meeting	ERSI	ERSI projects, incl WE-STAY Meeting with the Public Health Institute of the University of Tartu	April 13, 2011	Tartu, Estonia	Public health experts	10	Estonia
Presentation	ERSI	ERSI projects, incl WE-STAY Annual Conference of the Estonian Centre for Behavioural and Health Sciences	May 13-14, 2011	Sagadi, Estonia	Researchers and PhD students	75	Estonia
Presentation	ERSI	ERSI projects, incl WE-STAY Master course in social work	May 20, 2011	Saaremaa, Estonia	Master students	17	Estonia
Presentation	ERSI	ERSI projects, incl WE-STAY VI Annual Conference on Social Sciences in Estonia	August 22, 2011	Tallinn, Estonia	Scientific community	20	Estonia
Written report	ERSI	WE-STAY project	August 24, 2011	Tallinn, Estonia	Ministry officials	---	Estonia
Presentation	ERSI	ERSI projects, incl WE-STAY PhD course in social work	October 3, 2011	Tallinn, Estonia	PhD students	8 ca	Estonia
Meeting with the Estonian Ministry of Social Affairs	ERSI	ERSI projects	October 19, 2011	Tallinn, Estonia	Ministry officials	3	Estonia
Presentation	ERSI	ERSI projects, incl WE-STAY Visit of Japanese suicidologists	March 23, 2012	Tallinn, Estonia	Scientists	5	Estonia
Presentation	ERSI	WE-STAY baseline data overview 14th European Symposium of Suicide & Suicidal Behaviour	September 4, 2012	Tel-Aviv Israel	Scientific community	1000 ca	International
Presentation	ERSI	WE-STAY project Master course in social work	September 29, 2012	Rakvere, Estonia	Master students	12 ca	Estonia

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Lecture	ERSI	How you can improve your psychological well-being (WE-STAY Awareness workshop)	May 28, 2012	Tallinn, Estonia	7th-8th grade pupils	50 ca	Estonia
Web	ERSI	WE-STAY project on the ERSI Facebook site	October 2, 2012	https://www.facebook.com/EestiRootsiVaimseTervisejaSuitsidoloogialnstituut	Scientific community, potential partners and general public	---	Estonia International
Lecture	ERSI	How you can improve your psychological well-being (WE-STAY Awareness workshop)	October 10, 2012	Tallinn, Estonia	10th grade pupils	25 ca	Estonia
Lecture	ERSI	General overview of WE-STAY project Estonian mental health & well-being coalition's founding meeting	October 25, 2012	Tallinn, Estonia	Mental health experts	40 ca	Estonia
Conference	ERSI	School's role in preventing risk behaviour and promoting mental health in the example of WE-STAY project Rapla county's XV health promotion conference "Mental health"	November 2, 2012	Rapla, Estonia	Public health experts	50 ca	Estonia
Lecture	ERSI	How you can improve your psychological well-being (WE-STAY Awareness workshop)	November 5, 2012	Rakvere, Estonia	8th-9th grade pupils	50 ca	Estonia
Lecture	ERSI	WE-STAY project and interventions Estonian mental health & well-being coalition's monthly meeting	February 11, 2013	Tallinn, Estonia	Mental health experts	40 ca	Estonia
Poster	NUMC	Design du projet européen We-Stay 7ème Journée Claude HURIET de Recherche Biomédicale du CHU & Faculté de Médecine de Nancy	March 2, 2012	Nancy, France	Scientific community	200	France
Poster	NUMC	Design du projet européen We-Stay 5èmes Entretiens Francophones de psychologie	April 19-21, 2012	Paris, France	Psychologists	500	France
Presentation	UKL-HD	Working in Europe to stop truancy among youth (WE-STAY): Eine europaweite Studie zur schulbasierten Prävention von Schulabsentismus DGKJP Kongress	March 2-5, 2011	Essen, Germany	Scientific Community	100	Germany
Interview	UKL-HD	Das WE STAY Projekt	March 28, 2011	Heidelberg, Germany	Civil society	---	Germany

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Media conference	UKL-HD	Das WE STAY Projekt	March 28, 2011	Heidelberg, Germany	Media	20	Germany
Article published in the popular press	UKL-HD	Das WE STAY Projekt	March 28, 2011	Heidelberg, Germany	Civil society	---	Germany
Meeting	UKL-HD	WE-STAY Presentation of the project to all randomized schools	April-May, 2011	Heidelberg, Germany	Civil society	300	Germany
Meeting	UKL-HD	WE- STAY Meeting with the school authority	June 2011	Mannheim, Germany	Policy makers	5	Germany
Meeting	UKL-HD	WE- STAY Presentation to the Mayor of Heidelberg: Project patronage by the Mayor	June 2011	Heidelberg, Germany	Policy makers	5	Germany
Meeting	UKL-HD	WE- STAY Presentation to the regional council	June 2011	Karlsruhe, Germany	Policy makers	5	Germany
Meeting	UKL-HD	WE- STAY Presentation to the district Administrator	June 2011	Heidelberg, Germany	Policy makers	5	Germany
Meeting	UKL-HD	WE- STAY Presentation to the public health department	June 2011	Heidelberg, Germany	Policy makers	5	Germany
Presentation	UKL-HD	Presentation of interim results to the participating schools	April 11, 2012	Heidelberg, Germany	Civil society	500	Germany
Report	UKL-HD	Interim report	April 11, 2012	Heidelberg, Germany	Participants, supporters and interested parties	100 copies	Germany
Meeting	UKL-HD	WE-STAY Advanced training course	May, 2012	Heidelberg, Germany	Teachers	50	Germany
Presentation	UKL-HD	Schoolabsenteeism and WE-STAY project Langeooger Psychotherapiewoche	May 28 - June 1, 2012	Langeoog, Germany	Scientific Community	30	Germany
Press release	UKL-HD	Interim report	June 25, 2012	Heidelberg, Germany	Civil society	---	Germany
Article published in the popular press	UKL-HD	Das WE STAY Projekt	June 30, 2012	Heidelberg, Germany	Civil society	---	Germany

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Poster	UKL-HD	Relationship between schoolabsenteeism and suicidality 14th ESSSB	September 4, 2012	Tel Aviv, Israel	Scientific Community	1000	International
Web	UKL-HD	Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth (WE-STAY)	permanent	www.klinikum.uni-heidelberg.de/We-Stay.120339.0.html	Civil society	---	Germany
Seminar	VCAH	WE-STAY Seminar	March 8, 2011	Budapest, Hungary	Politicians, Psychiatrists, Child psychiatrists, Psychologists, Researchers	50	Hungary
Seminar	VCAH	WE-STAY Seminar	May 9, 2011	Eotvos Lorand University, Hungary	Politicians, Psychiatrists, Child psychiatrists, Psychologists, Researchers	30	Hungary
Workshop	VCAH	WE-STAY Congress of the Hungarian Psychiatric Association	January 25, 2013	Győr, Hungary	Psychiatrists, Child psychiatrists, Psychologists, Researchers and PhD students	1000	Hungary
Meeting	VCAH	WE-STAY Meeting on Suicide Prevention	February 21, 2013	Ministry of Human Resources Budapest, Hungary	Politicians, Psychiatrists, Child psychiatrists, Psychologists, Researchers	30	Hungary
Presentation	VCAH	Youthful suicide and its prevention – SEYLE, WE-STAY and SUPREME projects Obligatory Continuous Medical Education	March 7, 2013	Pécs, Hungary	Psychiatrists, Child psychiatrists, Psychologists	300	Hungary
Presentation	VCAH	Suicide in childhood and adolescence – SEYLE, WE-STAY and SUPREME projects Regional postgraduate course	March 20, 2013	University of Szeged, Faculty of Medicine, Hungary	Psychiatrists, child psychiatrists, psychologists	60	Hungary
Presentation	VCAH	Suicide prevention programs in childhood and adolescence – SEYLE, WE-STAY and SUPREME projects Memorial Days of Sándor Illyés	March 18-20, 2013	Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Education and Psychology, Institute of Psychology, Budapest, Hungary	Psychologists and undergraduates	60	Hungary
Presentation	VCAH	SEYLE, WE-STAY and SUPREME projects Community Mental Health Promotion – 1st Conference	March 26, 2013	Budapest, Hungary	Psychiatrists, Child psychiatrists, Psychologists, Researchers, Social workers, Politicians, Media	300	Hungary

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Presentation	VCAH	SEYLE, We-Stay and SUPREME projects Community Mental Health Promotion – 2nd Conference	April 17, 2013	Budapest, Hungary	Psychiatrists, Child psychiatrists, Psychologists, Researchers, Social workers, Politicians, Media	200	Hungary
Radio interview	VCAH	Interview in the Kossuth Radio (one of the main state radio channels)	April 23, 2013	Budapest, Hungary	General public	---	Hungary
Portfolio for School Principals	SCMCI	Truancy in Israel	September 2010	Israel	School principals and staff	15	Israel
Conference	SCMCI	WE-STAY Israel - Opening Conference	December 2010	Raanana, Israel	EU ambassador to Israel, Politicians, educational authorities, school principals and counselors	150	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	Truancy and psychopathology	December 2010	Shnieder's Children Medical Center, Israel	Young psychologists, Psychology MA students	15	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	Truancy and WE-STAY	December 2010	Tel Aviv University, Israel	Clinical Psychology MA students	6	Israel
Meeting	SCMCI	About WE-STAY and Truancy in Israel	March 2011	Brookdale Institute, Jerusalem, Israel	Miriam Cohen-Navot, Head of Youth Dep., Brookdale Institute	1	Israel
Meeting	SCMCI	About Truancy and WE-STAY	January 2011	AmalRahat School, Rahat, Israel	School principal, psychologist, counselors and teachers	6	Israel
Meeting	SCMCI	About Truancy and WE-STAY	January 2011	Tzrifin High School, RishonLeTzion, Israel	School principal and staff	15	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	A Comprehensive Model for Understanding School Refusal	March 14, 2011	Shnieder's Children Medical Center, Israel	Young psychologists, Psychology MA students	15	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	Truancy in Israel	March 17, 2011	Ramat David School, Israel	School staff	30	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	Truancy in Israel	March 24, 2011	Aviation Industries School, Lod, Israel	School staff	30	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	Truancy and psychopathology	April 4, 2011	Shnieder's Children Medical Center, Israel	Psychology BA students, research assistants	25	Israel

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Conference	SCMCI	Truancy in Israel	May 11, 2011	Aircraft Industries School, Lod, Israel	Parents of pupils	30	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	About WE-STAY	August 2011	Interdisciplinary Center, Herzeliya, Israel	Psychology Department Staff and Students	---	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	Who's Refusing To Go to School? A Comprehensive Model for Understanding School Refusal: The Behavioral Functions and Associated Psychopathology (Dissertation Proposal)	June 2, 2011	Bar-Ilan University, Israel	Psychologists	5	Israel
Meeting	SCMCI	About WE-STAY and Truancy in Israel	August 24, 2011	BeitBerl College, Israel	Prof. DroraKfir, Head of Institutional Quality Evaluation Unit, BeitBerl College	1	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	WE-STAY Proposal for The Education System's Psychological Consultation Service	August 29, 2011	Psychological Consultation Service HQ, Jerusalem, Israel	Psychologists, Educational authorities, educational psychology service heads	30	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	Truancy: Case studies and treatment	September 7, 2011	Shnieder's Children Medical Center, Israel	Young psychologists, Psychology MA students	15	Israel
Conference	SCMCI	Truancy in Israel	October 31, 11	BeitHa'Arava School, Jerusalem, Israel	Parents of pupils	30	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	WE-STAY Israel - Report leading to final evaluation	December 9, 2011	Shnieder's Children Medical Center, Israel	Young psychologists, Psychology MA students	40	Israel
Letters of Thanks	SCMCI		December 14, 2011	Israel	School Staff	30	Israel
Conference	SCMCI	WE-STAY Israel	December 14, 2011	Schneider's Children Medical Center, Israel	Politicians, Educational authorities, School Principals and Counslors	50	Israel
Presentation	SCMCI	About WE-STAY and Truancy in Israel	December 15, 2011	Bar Ilan University, Israel	MA Experimental Psychology Students	30	Israel
Poster	SCMCI	WE-Stay - the Israeli Site Psychology Dep. Research Conference	March 4, 2012	Bar Ilan University, Israel	University Staff, BA/MA/PhD Students, Researchers and psychologists from universities	---	Israel

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Meeting	SCMCI	About WE-STAY Phase 2	March 14, 2012	Bat Yam City Hall, Israel	Department of Education members, and school principals	8	Israel
Meeting	SCMCI	WE-STAY Israel Phase I Completion	October 12, 2012	Shnieder's Children Medical Center, Israel	Young psychologists, Psychology MA students	15	Israel
Master's theses	SCMCI	School refusal behaviors: The functional model and ADHD as risk factor & parental monitoring as protective factor	December 17, 2012	Tel Aviv, Israel	Scientific community	---	Israel
Master's thesis	SCMCI	Truancy as a risk factor for suicidal behavior among immigrant, Arab, and Jewish youth				---	Israel
Master's thesis	SCMCI	Parental involvement and learning disabilities as risk factors for truancy and their relationship with internalizing and externalizing disorders				---	Israel
Master's thesis	SCMCI	Risk factors for suicidal behavior in a multi-cultural Israeli population				---	Israel
Video	UNIMOL	WE- STAY - Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth 13th European Symposium on Suicide and Suicidal Behaviour	September 1-4, 2010	Rome, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	800	International
Web	UNIMOL	Dissemination video for the project Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth (WE-STAY)	September 12, 2010	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cWNEChRMAeU	General public	---	International
Poster	UNIMOL	WE- STAY - Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth XII Congresso S.I.F.I.P., Società Italiana per la Formazione In Psichiatria	February 28, 2011	Caste di Sangro, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	100	Italy
Meeting	UNIMOL	WE-STAY project proposal	April-June 2011	Campobasso, Termoli, Isernia, Trivento, Agnone and Riccia, Italy	Politicians and educational authorities	50	Italy
Poster	UNIMOL	WE- STAY - Working in Europe to stop truancy among youth 1er Colloque International de psychiatrie de Polynésie Française	May 12, 2011	Tahiti, French Polynesia	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	300	International

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Video	UNIMOL	WE-STAY: The Awareness Program	June 2011	Campobasso, Italy	Politicians, Scientific community, General public	---	International
Presentation	UNIMOL	Intervention strategies in truancy prevention programs: awareness method in WE-STAY Project. IASP XXVI World Congress	September 13-17, 2011	Beijing, China,	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	1000	International
Poster	UNIMOL	WE-STAY: truancy prevention program and mental health promotion among adolescents 8th Congress of ISAPP	September 14 – 18, 2011	Berlin, Germany	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	100	International
Poster	UNIMOL	WE- STAY - Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth Notte dei Ricercatori	September 23, 2011	Campobasso, Italy	Politicians, Scientific community, General public	500	Italy
Presentation	UNIMOL	Rischio e devianza in adolescenza	November 21-26, 2011	Campobasso and Isernia, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists, General public	100	Italy
Presentation	UNIMOL	Rischio e devianza in adolescenza	November 26, 2011	Città Sant'Angelo, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists, General public	50	Italy
Web	UNIMOL	Rischio e devianza in adolescenza	November 26, 2011	http://www.igeacps.it/famiglia/articoli/466-rischio-e-devianza-in-adolescenza.html	General public	---	Italy
Poster	UNIMOL	Truancy prevention program and mental health promotion among European adolescents – WE-STAY PROJECT Congrès Français de Psychiatrie 3ème édition	December 7-10, 2011	Lille, France	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	100	International
Presentation	UNIMOL	School-based prevention - examples from Europe Status and perspectives of the development of social-psychological services in education system of Kazakhstan	February 10, 2012	Astana, Kazakhstan	Politicians, Psychiatrists, Psychologists	380	International
Poster	UNIMOL	WE-STAY - A truancy prevention program and mental health promotion among adolescents XVI Congresso della Società Italiana di Psicopatologia	February 17, 2012	Rome, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	300	Italy

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Poster	UNIMOL	Awareness method in truancy prevention programs: WE-STAY project 20th European Congress of Psychiatry	March 5, 2012	Prague, Czech republic	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	3200	International
Lecture	UNIMOL	WE- STAY - Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth Master course in Psychiatric Rehabilitation	May 23, 2012	Campobasso, Italy	Students	20	Italy
Presentation	UNIMOL	WE- STAY - Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth 1st European Congress for Social Psychiatry	July 4-6, 2012	Geneva, Switzerland	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	50	International
Meeting	UNIMOL	WE-STAY “Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth”	November 2011 - November 2012	University of Bologna, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	20	Italy
Meeting	UNIMOL	WE-STAY “Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth”	November 2011 - November 2012	University of Parma, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	20	Italy
Meeting	UNIMOL	WE-STAY “Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth”	November 2011 - November 2012	University of Rome, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	20	Italy
Presentation	UNIMOL	WE-STAY in La prevenzione del suicidio negli adolescenti 17° Congresso della Società Italiana di Psicopatologia	February 14, 2013	Rome, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	50	Italy
Presentation	UNIMOL	WE-STAY in La prevenzione del suicidio negli adolescenti Interventi efficaci nella prevenzione del suicidio	February 23, 2013	Biella, Italy	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	50	Italy
Presentation	UNIMOL	How to stop school truancy among the youth	March 21, 2013	Doha, Qatar	Politicians, Psychiatrists, Psychologists	100	International
Presentation	UNIMOL	The WE-STAY project: objectives and methodology 21st European Congress of Psychiatry, EPA	April 9, 2013	Nice, France	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	100	International
Poster	UNIMOL	Prevalence of risk behaviours among European young - preliminary data from an Italian sample WE-STAY project 21st European Congress of Psychiatry (EPA)	April 6-9, 2013	Nice, France	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	3700	International

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Symposium	UMF-CN	Prevention of suicide risk in adolescents 2nd National Congress dedicated to Scientific Research in Romania and The World Suicide Prevention Day	September 10, 2011	Oradea, Romania	Psychiatrists, Psychologists, Social Workers, NGO volunteers	100	Romania
Meeting	UMF-CN	About the WE-STAY project	September 2011	Cluj-Napoca, Romania	Cluj County School Authority	30	Romania
Meeting	UMF-CN	About the WE-STAY project	September 2011	Baia-Mare, Romania	Maramures County School Authority	30	Romania
Meeting	UMF-CN	About the WE-STAY project	September 2011	Zalau, Romania	Salaj County School Authority	30	Romania
Meeting	UMF-CN	About the WE-STAY project	September 2011	Cluj-Napoca, Romania	School Headmasters, class responsables, teachers, school psychologists, pupils	100	Romania
Meeting	UMF-CN	About the WE-STAY project	September 2011	Baia-Mare, Romania	Parents of pupils	50	Romania
Meeting	UMF-CN	About the WE-STAY project	September 2011	Zalau, Romania	School Headmasters, class responsables, teachers, school psychologists, pupils	100	Romania
Core Symposium	UMF-CN	Promotion of Mental Health in Romania through the European projects SEYLE and WE-STAY. From Evidence, to Policy, to Global Action in Integrating Primary Care, Mental Health and Public Health The 4th National Psychiatry Congress with international participation	October 20, 2011	Bucharest, Romania	Psychiatrists, Psychologists	100	Romania
Presentation	UMF-CN	Assessment of behavior misconduct in relationship with suicide risk in adolescents - European projects SEYLE and WE-STAY The 5th International Romanian - Hungarian Conference of Psychiatry	June 22, 2012	Miercurea Ciuc, Romania	Psychiatrists, Psychologists, Nurses, Social Workers, NGO volunteers	100	International
Symposium	UMF-CN	Prevention of suicide in young people - the European projects SEYLE and WE-STAY World Suicide Prevention Day Conference. Saving Lives in Romania	September 11, 2012	Cluj-Napoca, Romania	Psychiatrists, Psychologists, Nurses, Social Workers, NGO volunteers	100	Romania

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Presentation	UMF-CN	Suicide risk in Romanian adolescents: data from SEYLE and WE-STAY projects Conference: Management of the person with suicide risk: medication, psychotherapy, spiritual counseling	February 1, 2013	Cluj-Napoca, Romania	Psychiatrists, Psychologists, Nurses, Social Workers, NGO volunteers	100	Romania
Certificate of attendance	UMF-CN		June 2012		School authorities of the 3 participating counties	3	Romania
Certificate of attendance	UMF-CN		November 2012		Participating high schools	16	Romania
Meeting / Presentation	UPR	Programmes of promoting mental health and preventing suicidal behaviour (Programi varovanja duševnega zdravja in preprečevanja samomorov)	June 14, 2011	Koper, Slovenia	Scientific Community	60	Slovenia
Web-site page	UPR	Slovene Center for Suicide Research, SCSR (Slovenski center za raziskovanje samomora)	September 8, 2011	http://www.ivz.si/Mp.aspx?ni=0&pi=1&_I_id=1718&_I_PageIndex=0&_I_groupId=225&_I_newsCategory=&_I_action=ShowNewsFull&pl=0-1.0	Scientific Community, Civil Society, Other	---	Slovenia
Round table	UPR	Crossroads between mental health professionals working in different institutions (Stičišča med službami na področju duševnega zdravja)	November 22, 2011	Koper, Slovenia	Scientific Community, Civil Society, Other	40	Slovenia
Meeting / Presentation	UPR	Projects of research and prevention of suicide in within Slovene Center for Suicide Research UP IAM (Projekti preučevanja in preprečevanja samomorov v okviru Slovenskega centra za raziskovanje samomora UP IAM)	February 10, 2012	Ljubljana, Slovenia	Scientific Community	30	Slovenia
Presentation	UPR	Research and prevention of suicides (Preučevanje in preprečevanje samomorov)	February 29, 2012	Ljubljana, Slovenia	Scientific Community	50	Slovenia
Meeting	UPR	Internal meeting with the representatives of Ministry of Health RS	May 30, 2012	Koper, Slovenia	Policy makers	2	Slovenia
Presentation	UPR	The image of suicide in the media (Podoba samomora v medijih) Psychological sprint (Psihošprint)	October 16, 2012	Maribor, Slovenia	Scientific Community	45	Slovenia

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Presentation	UPR	Selective and indicated preventive of suicidal behavior (Selektivna in indicirana preventiva samomorilnega vedenja)	November 2012	Maribor, Slovenia	Scientific Community	45	Slovenia
Web-site page	UPR	A presentation of the WE STAY project on the web page of the Andrej Marušič Institute	December 2012	http://novwww.pint.upr.si/sl/enote/samomor/ http://www.iam.upr.si/en/units/suicide-research/	Scientific Community, Civil Society, Other	---	Slovenia
Poster	UNIOVI	Depression in adolescents: BDI-II scores in the Spanish sample of 'Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth' project (WE-STAY) V Congreso Internacional y X Nacional de Psicología Clínica	April 26 - 28, 2012	Santander, Spain	Psychologist	300	International
Poster	UNIOVI	Depresión y comportamiento suicida en adolescentes: puntuaciones en el "bdi-ii en la muestra española del proyecto "Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth" (WE-STAY) V Congreso Internacional y X Nacional de Psicología Clínica	April 26 - 28, 2012	Santander, Spain	Psychologist	300	Spain
Poster	UNIOVI	Working in Europe to Stop Truancy Among Youth (WE-STAY): diferencias de absentismo autoinformado entre los alumnos de 3º de eso y 1º de bachiller V Congreso Internacional y X Nacional de Psicología Clínica	April 26 - 28, 2012	Santander, Spain	Psychologist	300	Spain
Poster	UNIOVI	Suicide behaviour in adolescents: sdq scores in the spanish sample of "Working In Europe To Stop Truancy Among Youth" (WE-STAY) project 14th European Symposium of Suicide and Suicidal Behaviour	September 3-6, 2012	Tel Aviv, Israel	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	800	International
Exhibition	UNIOVI	World Suicide Prevention Day	September 10, 2012	Oviedo, Spain	General Public	300	Spain

Type of activities	Main leader	Title	Date/Period	Place	Type of audience	Size of audience	Countries addressed
Poster	UNIOVI	¿Qué Huella Deja El Acoso Escolar? Proyecto WE-STAY (Working In Europe To Stop Truancy Among Youth) XVI Congreso Nacional de Psiquiatría	September 25 – 28, 2012	Bilbao, Spain	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	200	Spain
Poster	UNIOVI	Acoso Escolar Y Riesgo De Autolesiones En La Adolescencia Temprana XVI Congreso Nacional de Psiquiatría	September 25 – 28, 2012	Bilbao, Spain	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	200	Spain
Poster	UNIOVI	What is the relationship between coping styles and depression in adolescents? WE-STAY project 21th European Congress of Psychiatry (EPA)	April 6 - 9, 2013	Nice, France	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	3700	International
Symposium	UNIOVI	Programas de prevencion de la conducta suicida 4º Encuentros en Psiquiatría	April 12-13, 2013	Sevilla, Spain	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	100	Spain
Poster	UNIOVI	No me funciona internet... ¡¡¡ayuda!!! 4º Encuentros en Psiquiatría	April 12-13, 2013	Sevilla, Spain	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	100	Spain
Poster	UNIOVI	The relationship between suicide attempts and stressful life events in the Spanish sample of adolescents of the WE-STAY project 166th Annual Meeting (APA)	May 18 - 22, 2013	San Francisco, US	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	4000	International
Symposium	UNIOVI	Suicide Prevention In Young People In Europe: SEYLE And WE-STAY Projects IASR 2013 World Congress on Suicide	June 10-13, 2013	Montreal, Canada	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	100	International
Poster	UNIOVI	Sleep in adolescents: association with emotional problems and suicidal ideation 26º ECNP Congress	October 5-9, 2013	Barcelona, Spain	Mental health professionals (Psychiatrics, Psychologists)	---	International

7. Table B2: Exploitable foregrounds

Type of exploitable foreground	Description of exploitable foreground	Confidential Yes/No	Foreseen embargo date	Exploitable products or measures	Sectors of application	Timetable, commercial or any other use	Patents or other IPR exploitation	Owner and other beneficiaries involved
General advancement of knowledge	Affect and improve the way you feel. Booklet/Information for the young, their parents and teachers	YES	N/A	Mental health promotion material	Education Human health and social work activities	Not established	N/A	KI
General advancement of knowledge	WE-STAY database	YES	N/A	Longitudinal database	Scientific research and development	Not established	N/A	WE-STAY Consortium
General advancement of knowledge	Standardized Intervention to Increase Awareness	YES	N/A	Mental health promotion material	Human health and social work activities	Not established	N/A	KI
General advancement of knowledge	Standardized screening Intervention	YES	N/A	Mental health promotion material	Human health and social work activities	Not established	N/A	KI – UKL-HD
General advancement of knowledge	WE-STAY Procedures Manual	NO	N/A	Research protocol	Scientific research and development	N/A	N/A	WE-STAY Consortium