PEOPLE MARIE CURIE ACTIONS

Intra-European Fellowships (IEF)

Call: FP7-PEOPLE-2010-IEF

Unravelling the Mechanism of Phosphine-Borane Dehydrocoupling for the Synthesis to Order of Valuable New Materials

DEHYDROCOUPLE

Unravelling the Mechanism of Phosphine-Borane Dehydrocoupling for the Synthesis to Order of Valuable New Materials

Dr. Miguel A. Huertos and Prof. Adrew S. Weller

INTRODUCTION

The synthesis of polymeric materials based in the skeleton of alternating phosphorous and boron atoms, poly-(phosphino-boranes), received significant attention in 1950s and 1960s as such materials seemed likely candidates for accessing valuable, high-performance such as high-temperature stability and flame retardancy.¹ However, these materials have not been developed due to the lack of reliable methods for their synthesis. Recently rhodium (I)-catalyzed dehydrocoupling has been described as a route to these materials, as well as the synthesis of dimers, oligomers and polymeric derivatives of H₃B·PPhH₂, H₃B·P^tBu₂H and H₃B·PPh₂H (Scheme 1).² However, the mechanism for this process is unknown, apart from the fact that it is homogeneous, rather than heterogeneous, process. In this project I am developing well defined catalyst for this process and determining the catalytic cycle.



Scheme1. Catalytic dehydrocoupling of phosphine-boranes.

RESULTS

Synthesis of σ -complexes of H₃B·PR₃

The research group of Weller (host) has recently reported the synthesis of $[Rh(PR_3)(\eta^2 - H_3B \cdot NMe_3)][BArF_4]$, $[RhH_2(PR_2)(\eta^2 - H_3B \cdot NMe_3)][BArF_4]$ and $[Rh(Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2)(\eta^2 - H_3B \cdot NMe_3)][BArF_4]$ (R = iBu, iPr; n = 3-5).³ We decided start this project expanding this well known reactivity to phosphino-boranes. The substrates $H_3B \cdot PR_3$ (R = Cy, Et, tBu, Ph) does not contain PH group and cannot undergo a dehydrocoupling process in the metal center. These should be relatively stable after σ -coordination to Rh(I) and Rh(III) fragments. Reaction of $H_3B \cdot PR_3$ (R = Et, Cy, tBu, Ph) with [Rh(PiBu_3)(C_6H_5F_2)][BArF_4] in 1,2-F_2C_6H_4 solution led to the

immediate change of colour from deep orange to dark blue. NMR data, ESI-MS, and solid state structure (when R = Cy) identify these new products as $[Rh(P^iBu_3)_2(\eta^2-H_3B\cdot PR_3)][BAr^F_4]$ (1a-4a) (Scheme 2). Air stable crystals of 1a were grown from 1,2-F₂C₆H₄/pentane and the molecular structure is shown in Figure 1.



Scheme2. Synthesis of compounds 1-4.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of the cationic portion of 1a: Ellipsoids depicted at 40% probably level. Minor disordered components and most H atoms omitted for clarity.

The cation in salt **1a** has a pseudo-square-planar Rh(I) center (sum of angles around Rh1 = 360.16°) with cis-phosphines and an η^2 -coordinated phosphine-borane bonding through two three-center, two-electron bonds. The Rh…B distance [2.194(3) Å] is significantly sorter than that observed in the Rh(I) chelated complex [Rh(COD)(η^2 -H₃B ·PR₂CH₂PPh₂)][BPh₄] [2.313(3) Å]⁴ but very similar to that observed in the amine-borane σ -complex of Rh(I) [Rh(PiBu₃)(η^2 -H₃B ·NMe₃)][BArF₄] [2.180(4) Å].^{3b} The two distances Rh1-H1A and Rh1-H1B are longer than found for the terminal hydride H1C, as expected.

In solution, the η^2 -BH₃ binding observed in the solid state, is not observed. The ¹H NMR for compound **1** shows a signal at -1.7 ppm with relative integral 3H. This fact indicates rapid site exchange between bond and terminal B-H. Cooling at 190 K did no affect the observed spectrum at room temperature, the same experiment on [Rh(PiBu₃)(η^2 -H₃B·NMe₃)][BAr^F₄] shows an arrest of the fluxional process.^{3b} While ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum, one environment is observing coupling constant of 180 Hz (for two ⁱBu₃P groups) is consistent with a Rh(I) centre. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum displays a broad signal at -4.1 ppm, shifted 38.3 ppm downfield from phosphine-borane free consistent with a significant Rh…B interaction. We can assume that the interaction Rh…B in phosphine-boranes is stronger than in amine-boranes. The ¹H, ³¹P{¹H} and ¹¹B NMR spectra for **2a**, **3a**, and **4a** are similar to **1a**, and are consistent with the similar structure in solution.

The addition of H₂ to complexes **1a-4a** results in a immediate colour change to pale yellow in all cases. In comparison experiments previously reported with amine-boranes,³ we assume that this change of colour is consistent with the formation of the Rh(III) species [Rh(H)₂(PⁱBu₃)₂(η^{2} -H₃B·PR₃)][BArF₄] **1b-4b**. Scheme 3.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of compounds 1b-4b.

Complexes 1b-4b loss H₂ to re-form 1-4 only degassing the solutions. ¹H, ³¹P{¹H} and ¹¹B NMR spectrum for compound 1b are consistent with the structure shown in the Scheme 3. ¹H NMR spectrum shows the coordinated borane group as a broad 3H signal δ -0.27 ppm, this suggests rapid exchange of terminal and coordinated hydrides. The two hydrido ligands are observed as a 2H dt, δ -19.8 [J(RhH) 28 Hz, J(PH) 17 Hz]. The presence of a Rh(III) center is indicated by a reduced ¹⁰³Rh-³¹P coupling constant compared with 1, δ 20.5 ppm [dt, J(RhP) 108 Hz, J(HP) 14 Hz]. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum shows the signal for the phosphine-borane group at -17.1 ppm, shifted 25.3 ppm downfield from phosphine-borane free consistent with a weaker Rh…B interaction in comparison with compound **1b.** The ¹H, ³¹P{¹H} and ¹¹B NMR spectra for **2b**, **3b**, and 4b are similar to 1b ones, and are consistent with the same structure in solution.

Reaction of H₃B·PR₂H with Rh(I) compounds.

Showing after that phosphino-boranes are able to be n^2 -coordinated, bonding through two threecentre, two-electron bonds to Rh(I) centres, we decided expand this reactivity to secondary phosphino-boranes. These PB compounds would be useful for dehydrocoupling reactions. In the introduction we reported as the conditions and final results of the dehydrocoupling of H₃B PtBu₂H and H₃B PPh₂H are different.

Study of H₃B·P^tBu₂H reactions.

The addition of H₃B P^tBu₂H to [Rh(PⁱBu₃)(C₆H₅F₂)][BAr^F₄] in 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ solution led to a mixture of complexes identifies as $[Rh(P^{i}Bu_{3})_{n}(P^{t}Bu_{2}H)_{2-n}(H_{3}B \cdot PR_{3})][BAr^{F}_{4}]$ (PR₃ = PⁱBu₃, P^tBu₂H; n = 2-0). The observation of PtBu₂H coordinated to Rh centre suggests P-B bond cleavage in the phosphine-borane has ocured, thus to synthesis the analogous compound to [Rh(PⁱBu₃)(C₆H₅F₂)][BAr^F₄] with two P^tBu₂H coordinated inside of the PⁱBu₃. Reaction of H₃B P^tBu₂H with [Rh(P^tBu₂H)₂(C₆H₅F₂)][BAr^F₄] led to the formation of, in good yield, the compound $[Rh(P^{t}Bu_{2}H)(\eta^{2}-H_{3}B P^{t}Bu_{2}H)][BAr^{F}_{4}]$ (5a) (Scheme 4). NMR and ESI-MS data are in full accord in the description of this compound as σ -phosphine-borane adduct of the {Rh(P^tBu₂H)}⁺ fragment, by comparison by the analogous H₃B PR₃ compounds, reported previously. As for H₃B PR₃ analogues, the compound **5a** react with H₂ at 4 atm. to form the compound $[Rh(H)_2(P^tBu_2H)_2(\eta^2-H_3B \cdot P^tBu_2H)][BAr_4]$ (5b). (Scheme 4)



Scheme 4. Synthesis of compounds 5a and 5b.

The compound 5a could be crystallized as blue and square crystals. The solid state structure of 5a is showed in the Figure 2.



Figure 2. Molecular structure of the cationic portion of 5a: Ellipsoids depicted at 40% probably level. Minor disordered components and most H atoms omitted for clarity.

The solid estate structure of compound **5a** is consistent with the structure proposed in solution, but, as happened in the H₃B·PR₃ analogues, in solution the η^2 -BH₃ binding is not observed. The ¹H NMR for compound **5a** shows a signal at -1.7 ppm with one relative integral 3H. This fact indicates rapid site exchange between bond and terminal B-H.

The product in the dehydrogenated of $H_3B \cdot P^tBu_2H$ is mainly the dimer $H_3B \cdot P^tBu_2 \cdot BH_2 \cdot P^tBu_2H$. The reaction of this independently prepared dimer with the compound $[Rh(P^tBu_2H)_2(C_6H_5F_2)][BArF_4]$ led to the formation of the $Rh(I) \sigma$ -complex with the dimer η^2 -coordinated $[Rh(P^tBu_2H)(\eta^2-H_3B \cdot P^tBu_2 \cdot BH_2 \cdot P \cdot Bu_2H)][BArF_4]$ (**6a**). NMR and ESI-MS data confirm this structure. (Scheme 5)



Scheme 5. Synthesis of compound 6a.

The addition of other equivalent of $H_3B P^tBu_2H$ to **5a** results in the full conversion to **5b** (15 hours, 75 °C) (scheme 6). Using higher temperatures (110 °C) results in the formation of **6a** (Scheme 6). This fact manifest the possible deshydrogenation and oligomeration into the metallic centre.



Scheme 6. Reactivity of compound 5a.

The catalytic deshydrogenation reaction of $H_3B \cdot P^tBu_2H$ to form the dimer product $H_3B \cdot P^tBu_2 \cdot BH_2 \cdot P \cdot Bu_2H$ was made under melt condition at 140 °C in 20 hours using 10 mol % of $[Rh(P^tBu_2H)_2(C_6H_5F_2)][BArF_4]$. Interrogating of this catalyst-mixture after 5 hours by ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ and ESI-MS showed that the compounds **5a** and **6a** were present.

One of the most effective catalyse for this reaction is $[Rh(COD)_2][BArF_4]$. Monitoring this reactions in the same conditions (140 °C under melt conditions) after 5 hours showed that the same **5a** and **6a** compounds are present in the catalyst mixture. We can thus assume that thess two compound are possible intermediates in the hydrogenation catalyst reaction from H₃B·P^tBu₂H to H₃B·P^tBu₂·BH₂·P ^tBu₂H.

Addition of two equivalents of $H_3B P^tBu_2H$ to a $[Rh(COD)_2][BAr^F_4]$ at 25 °C formed the Rh(I) complex $[Rh(COD)(P^tBu_2H)(\eta^2-H_3B P^tBu_2H)][BAr^F_4]$ (7) (Scheme 7). Heating 7 with two further equivalents of $H_3B P^tBu_2H$ at 75 °C resulted in the formation of **5b** in a good yield. We suggest that this compound is formed *via* **5a**.



Scheme 7. Reactivity of compound [Rh(COD)₂][BAr^F₄] with H₃B ·P^tBu₂H.

Taken together our observations lead to an outline catalytic cycle as shown in the Scheme 8, with **5a** assigned as resting state in the cycle, although the precise details of the mechanism remain to be resolved.⁵



Scheme 8. Proposed catalytic cyclic for the dehydrocoupling of H₃B PtBu₂H.

Study of H₃B·PPh₂H reactions.⁶

As we commented in the introduction, catalytic conditions and final products in the dehydrogenation of secondary phosphino-boranes depends of the substituent in the phosphorous atom. For this we have decided to study separately H₃B P^tBu₂H and H₃B PPh₂H.

The reaction of one equivalent of $H_3B PPh_2H$ with $[Rh(P^iBu_3)(C_6H_5F_2)][BArF_4]$ led to the formation of a unresolved mixture of products, and analytical data suggest that P-B bond cleavage in the phosphine-borane had occured. We decided compound use Rh(I) $[Rh(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2CH_2PPh_2)(C_6H_5F_2)][BArF_4]$ to stop this and make the system simples. We thought that the chelate compound could be robust to interchanges in the phosphine ligand. The addition of H₃B·PPh₂H to [Rh(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)(C₆H₅F₂)][BArF₄] in 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ solution led 1:1 mixture of starting material and а new product to [Rh(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)H(PPh₂ BH₃)(H₃B PPh₂H][BAr^F₄] (8). As shows the Scheme 9, compound 8 has coordinated two phosphine-boranes, for this we decided do the reaction in a

ratio 2:1 (phosphino-borane:Rh(I) compound). The reaction of two equivalents of $H_3B \cdot PPh_2H$ with one of [Rh(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2CH_2PPh_2)(C_6H_5F_2)][BArF_4] results in the full conversion to **8** (Scheme 9). Compound **8** undergoes, with the time, a dimerization process and forms the compound **9** (Scheme 9). Compound **9** can be made by addition of the final dimeric product of dehydrocoupling of $H_3B \cdot PPh_2H$, $H_3B \cdot PPh_2BH_2 \cdot PPh_2H$, to [Rh(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2)(C_6H_5F_2)][BArF_4] cleanly generates a new complex in which this oligomeric phosphine–borane is now bound.



Scheme 9. Synthesis of compounds 8 and 9.

Complex **9** can also be prepared (~95% by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy) by simply leaving **8** at 25 °C (1,2–F₂C₆H₄ solution) for 16 hours, Scheme 5. Dissolved H₂ (δ 4.40) is also observed, consistent with dehydrocoupling. At 40 °C this process takes 4 hours and is essentially quantitative. With the generation of **9** from **8** established we then turned to studying this process in more detail. Complex **8** undergoes transformation to **9** by a first order process (25 °C , *k* = (4.36 ± 0.07) x 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹, t_{1/2} = 4.4 hr), Figure 3, as measured by the absolute integrals of the Rh– H signals in both **8** and **9**. This is consistent with a simple intramolecular process for the dehydrocoupling. Measurement of this process over the temperature range 20 °C to 35 °C allowed for an Eyring analysis, from which the following activation barriers were determined: Δ H[‡] = 114.5 ± 1.5 kJmol⁻¹, Δ S[‡] = +55.0 ± 5.3 Jmol⁻¹K⁻¹ and Δ G(298)[‡] = 98.0 ± 3.1 kJmol⁻¹.



Figure 3. First order plots and Eyring analysis.

Deuteration experiments have been used to probe the mechanism of this coupling process. Addition of two equivalents of D₃B PPh₂H to [Rh(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)(C₆H₅F₂)][BArF₄] resulted in incorporation of D into all the B-H/P-H and Rh-H positions of 8 as measured by ¹H and ²H NMR spectroscopy after only 10 minutes (25 °C) to give h/d-8. The H/D incorporation into both the B and P sites was equitable, i.e. 1:3 H : D, as follows from the starting borane (Scheme 7). This suggests that relatively rapid reversible B-H and P-H activation occurs in 8 with similar barriers. This exchange operates at a rate slower than the NMR timescale, as in the presence of excess H_{3B} PPh₂H signals are observed for free phosphine-borane and **8** which are essentially unchanged in line width [³¹P{¹H} NMR]. Heating isotopically enriched *h/d-8* for 8 hours at 40°C results in incorporation of D into all the B-H/P-H and Rh-H positions of the thus formed h/d-9. The kinetics of this process were followed using ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy as, due to isotopic scrambling, monitoring the hydride resonances added extra complexity to the analysis by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. To validate this approach the first order consumption of 8 to give 9 was followed by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy at 27 °C, giving a rate constant fully consistent with those measured from ¹H NMR spectroscopy, $k = (5.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$; Figure 1c. Using **h/d–8** the rate was measured as $(2.77 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ giving a KIE of 1.9 ± 0.1 for this level of deuteration. Use of the fully deuterated D₃B PPh₂D resulted in the formation of **d-9** [$k = (2.26 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ¹] and a KIE of 2.3 \pm 0.2. These data indicate that either BH or PH activation are involved in the rate determining process

Bringing our observations together allows a catalytic cycle for the dehydrocoupling of $H_3B \cdot PPh_2H$ to give $H_3B \cdot PPh_2BH_2 \cdot PPh_2H$, as catalysed by $[Rh(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2CH_2PPh_2)(C_6H_5F_2)][BAr^F_4]$, to be proposed, Scheme 10. The main features of this catalytic cycle (P–H activation followed by B–H activation) are related to those reported for Rh–catalysed homo– and heterodehydrocouplings of phosphines, although the precise details of the activation / bond forming steps differ.



Scheme 10. Proposed catalytic cycle for the dehydrocoupling of H₃B ·PR₂H to give H₃B ·R₂BH₂ ·R₂H. [BArF₄]- anions are not shown.

We have demonstrated that [Rh(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂CPh₂)(h⁶–FC₆H₅)][BAr^F₄] is a competent catalyst for the dehydrocoupling of H₃B PPh₂H to give H₃B PPh₂BH₂ PPh₂H. The isolation of intermediates, alongside kinetic and isotopic labelling experiments, point to a mechanism in which the rate-limiting process for dehydrocoupling involves B–H (or P–H) bond cleavage. The turnover limiting process for catalysis involves the substitution of the oligomeric product by H₃B PPh₂H, as the former forms a strong chelate complex with the Rh(III) centre, in which P–H activation has also occurred. In contrast, dehydrocoupling of H₃B P^tBu₂BH₂ P^tBu₂H which does not form a chelate complex with the metal and does not undergo P–H activation. For this substrate we suggest that the turnover limiting step in catalysis is the dehydrocoupling processes. The inference from these observations is that the relatively harsh melt conditions used for dehydrocoupling are not necessarily related to the P–B bond forming step, but product–release from the metal; with the relative barriers to these two processes dependent on the identity phosphine–borane.

Extending this study to other secondary phosphine-boranes.7

The behaviour of the phosphine-boranes in the dehydrocoupling reaction is different depending if the phosphine is ${}^{18}\text{Bu}_2\text{HP} \cdot \text{BH}_3$ or Ph₂HP $\cdot \text{BH}_3$, we attribute this performance to different steric and electronic profiles. We decided then, synthesize several phosphine-boranes (**a**, **b**, **c** and **d**, Figure 4). **a** and **b** have as substituents on the phosphine two 3,5-bis(trifluorometylphenyl) and *para*trifluoromethylphenyl respectively. The presence of the fluorinated substituents (EWG) on phosphorous will make dehydrocoupling reaction more favourable. The problem of use these EWG is that thy make weaker the P–B bond. To compare different phosphines we decided synthetises the phosphine-borane with two *para*-methoxyphenyl groups as substituents on the phosphorous (**c**, Figure 4). We expected that methoxy groups (EDG, Electron donating groups) are making the dehydrocoupling reaction slower than when Ph₂HP BH₃ is used.<Huertos, 2013 #2> Steric factors could be important in the dehydrocoupling reaction, for this, we decided synthetized the phosphine-borane **d** (Figure 4), that have two adamantyl groups on the phosphorous atom.



Addition of two equivalents of **a** to $[Rh(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2CH_2PPh_2)(\eta^6-FC_6H_5)][BAr^F_4]$ in 1,2–F₂C₆H₄ at 25 °C, rapidly results the formation of $[Rh(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2CH_2PPh_2)H(\sigma,\eta-PPh_2BH_3)(\eta^1-H_3B\cdotPPh_2H)][BAr^F_4]$, **10** (Scheme 11). One of the phosphine–boranes undergo a P–H activation, while the other equivalent is bounded to the metal *via* a *sigma* B–H–Rh interaction. The reaction of $[Rh(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2PPh_2)(\eta^6-FC_6H_5)][BAr^F_4]$ with two equivalents of **b** or **c** results in the formation of the compounds **11** and **12** respectively. These compounds are analogous to **10**

(Scheme 11). We reported that the analogous compound to **10** using Ph₂HP·BH₃ (**8** in this report) react cleanly to form the stoichiometric dehydrocoupling product (**9** in this report), for this reaction was reported several rate constants at different temperatures. We decided study the effect of EWG (CF₃) or EDG (OMe) on the aromatic ring in the reaction rate. Compound **12** undergo stoichiometric dehydrocoupling to form **12a** (Scheme 3) in 8 hours at 35 °C. We decided study this process by ¹H NMR and observed that this transformation undergoes by a first order process (35 °C, $k = (1.40 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$), as measured by the absolute integrals of the Rh–H signals in both **12** and **12a**. This is consistent with that expected that methoxy groups (EDG) makes the dehydrocoupling reaction slower than when Ph₂HP BH₃ is used (35 °C, $k = (1.90 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Compounds **10** and **11** do not undergo to **10a** and **11a** cleanly, but we can measure the first order process in the consume of **10** and **11**. These experiments results of a rate constant of (3.12 ± 0.05) $\times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **9** and (2.93 ± 0.07) $\times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **8** at 25 °C. These processes are clearly quicker that when Ph₂HP BH₃ is used (25 °C , $k = (4.36 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$) (Figure 6).



Scheme 11. Formation of 10, 11, 12 and 10a, 11a, 12a



Figure 5. Molecular structure of the cationic portions of 10 (left) and 10a (right): Ellipsoids depicted at 40% probably level. Minor disordered components and most H atoms omitted for clarity.



Figure 6. Graphic representation of rates at different temperatures using different phosphine-boranes.

To probe the steric effects that have the phosphine-boranes in the dehydrocoupling, we decided synthetize (adamantyl)₂HP \cdot BH₃ (**d** in Figure 4). Addition of two equivalents of **d** to [Rh(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)(η^{6} -FC₆H₅)][BArF₄] in 1,2–F₂C₆H₄ solution at 25°C rapidly results in a colour change from orange to purple, and the formation of the new sigma phosphine–borane complex [Rh(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)(η^{2} -H₃B \cdot P(adamantyl)₂H)][BArF₄], **13**, which could not be isolated because of react quickly to form **13a** (Scheme 12). Compound **13a** can be synthetized in two steps, addition of one equivalent of PH(adamantyl)₂ and after 5 minutes stirring, addition of one equivalent of **d**.



Scheme 12. Formation of 13 and 13a

REMARKS

At the final of the projec the following results have been achieved, according to the planning proposed:

- Synthesis of precursor complexes and isolation of model phosphine-borane σ -complexes.
- Evaluation in dehydrocoupling reaction of known phosphino-borane precursors.
- Elucidate intermediates and models in dehydrogenation reactions.
- Kinetic and Thermodynamic data for the dehydrocoupling process.

SUMARY OF THE PROGRESS OF THE RESEARCH TRAINING

Research skills and techniques

- Experience of developing new transition-metal catalysed synthetic methodology for the synthesis of new catalyst systems and intermediate complexes relevant to the catalytic cycle.
- Advanced level training in analytical techniques. ¹H, ³¹P, ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy over a variable temperature range, associated analyses of kinetic data. ESI-MS interfaced with an inert atmosphere glove box. Run and solve my own X-ray crystal structures.

Communication skills

- Advising Ph.D. students with the day-to-day running of their projects, as well as identifying breakthrough results for further development.
- Supervising PartII student (Rosalin Faconer).
- Improving presentation and communication skill by regular presentation of research results at weekly research Weller-group meetings.
- Attendance to IME boron XIV (September 2011, Niagara Falls, Canada), presenting a poster.
- Attendance to XXV ICOMC (September 2012, Lisbon, Portugal) presenting a poster.

REFERENCES

1. a) G. W. Parshall, In *The Chemistry of Boron and its Compounds*; Muetterties, E. L., Ed.; Wiley: New York, **1967**; p 617. b) I. Haiduc, *The Chemistry of Inorganic Ring Systems*; Wiley: New York, **1970**; p 349.

2. a) H. Dorn, R. A. Singh, J. A. Massey, J. M. Nelson, C. A. Jaska, A. J. Lough and I. Manners, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 6669-6678. b) H. Dorn, E. Vejzovic, A. J. Lough and I Manners, *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 4327-4331.

3. a) T. M. Douglas, A. B. Chaplin and A. S. Weller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130, 14432-14433.
b) T. M. Douglas, A. B. Chaplin, A. S. Weller, X. Yang and M. B. Hall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 15440-15456. c) A. B. Chaplin and A. S. Weller, *Inorg. Chem.* 2010, 49, 1111-1121.

4. M. Ingleson, N. J. Pathmore, G. D. Ruggiero, C. F. Frost, M. F. Mahon, M. C. Willis and A. S. Weller, *Organometallics*, **2001**, *20*, 4434-4436.

5. M. A. Huertos and A. S. Weller, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 7185-7187.

6. M. A. Huertos and A. S. Weller, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1881-1888.

7. M. A. Huertos and A. S. Weller, manuscript in preparation.