



1. Attached Documents for Publishable Summary

On 01.04.2012 the project started officially and terminated on 31.03.2018 which includes one year of extension. Within the life cycle of project 4 calls published (2012-13-14-15) with the duration of 12 weeks minimum. 280 Applications submitted by the researchers, 48 applications found ineligible as a result of eligibility check procedure. Minimum 7 scientific panels established for evaluation of proposals per Call which adds up to 31 panels for the whole period. 284 experts took part in the evaluation processes where each proposal read at least by three experts.




Table 1.1: Overview of the Co-Circulation Calls




Table 1.2: Number of fellows recruited per call



First 3 Call of the program offered 20-24 months of research duration where the last Call of the project offered 12 months of duration as per design of the grant agreement. Roughly 66% of the proposal implemented with the period of 24 months. Proposals with the duration of 12 months accounts for 26 % the proposals where the rest of the research periods account for 8 % . Proposals with the duration of 8 months or below indicate that the project either terminated by the Steering Committee due to non-compliance such as not submitting the progress report despite all the reminders or fellow withdrew for the reasons that he or she would not be able to carry out the research further for the personal or other reasons.




Table 1.3: Distribution of fellowship durations

Rate of success for overall proposals stand roughly at 34 %, where 94 proposals selected to be funded out of 280 applications. Success rate shows variations from call to call as it is seen in below table.




Table 1.5: Overall success rate




Table 1.4: Success rate per call

Roughly 60% of fellows consisted of ER who are defined as a researcher who has less than 10 years of research experience. On the other hand very experienced researchers (VER) who has more than 10 years of research experience held 40% of total fellowship.




Table 1.6: Distribution of experience level



About 68% of researchers employed by Fixed Term Employment Contract (Fixed Amount Fellowship) where 32 % of the fellows offered a permanent position in different stages of the implementation by the host institutions.




Table 1.7: Employment type

Almost 80 % of fellows held Asst. Prof. title where 20 % of the titles accounted for Assoc. Prof., PhD candidate, Prof., Master Degree and Bachelor Degree holders.




Table 1.8: Academic title distribution



During the lifetime of the project, 248 peer reviewed articles published in variety of scientific journals. The figure indicate almost (2.6) every fellow published 3 peer reviewed articles on average. The number of conferences fellows participated in Turkey, Europe or any other part of the world add up to 297 which illustrate that on average every fellow participated in 3 conferences. 146 Workshops either organized or visited by Co-Circulation fellows while 121 abstracts are published among other activities.




Table 1.9: Research activities and/or output

Eventhough researchers came from 18 different countries and backgrounds the non- Turkish researches account for 40 % of the total fellows where 60 % of fellows consist Turkish nationals.





Table 1.10: Nationality distribution




Co-Circulation Fellow Satisfaction Questionnaire

To measure the fellows satisfaction level with the Co-Circulation, below survey questionnaire have been designed and sent to past and current Co-Circulation fellows. Questions and answers related to satisfaction level of Co-Circulation fellows are detailed below.

When fellows are asked to rate the satisfaction level for the application procedures of Co-Circulation Fellowship program, 80% of fellows viewed the application procedure as excellent or good while only 7 % of the fellows evaluated the application process as poor or very poor.




When fellows asked to rate the satisfaction level for the grant agreement signature process of Co-Circulation Fellowship program, 85% of the fellows evaluated the Co-Circulation’s grant agreement signature process as excellent or good while only 10% of the fellows viewed the process as poor.







When fellows asked to rate the satisfaction level for the payment procedures of Co-Circulation Fellowship program, 62 % of the fellows viewed the process as excellent or good, 18 % see it as fair while 20 % viewed as poor or very poor.



When fellows asked to rate the satisfaction level for the monitoring/reporting procedures of Co-Circulation Fellowship program, 60 % of the fellows viewed the process as excellent or good, 24 % as fair while only 6 % of the fellows viewed as poor or very poor.




When fellows asked to rate the program management handling the project, 82 % of the fellows viewed the management as excellent or good, while only 10 % saw it as poor or very poor.






When fellows asked to rate the attitude of their host organization towards the project/fellowship, 71 % evaluated their host organization as excellent or good, 20 % as fair while only 9 % viewed as poor or very poor.




When fellows asked to rate help of Co-Circulation Fellowship Program to their personal career development, 84 % of the fellows viewed the help the Co-Circulation as excellent or good while only 9 percent saw it as very poor or poor.







When fellows asked to rate help Co-Circulation Fellowship Program in their integration to Turkey/Turkish Research Area, 78 % of the researchers have viewed indicated excellent or good, while 14 % of the fellows indicated as very poor or poor.



When fellows asked to rate contribution of Co-Circulation Fellowship Program to European Research Area, 84 % of the researchers have viewed Co-Circulation's contribution as excellent or good while only 8 % viewed as poor or very poor.




When fellows asked "How well did Co-Circulation Fellowship Program contributed to your scientific knowledge & background", 87 % of the researchers have indicated excellent or good while only 8 % of the fellows viewed it as poor or very poor.





When researchers asked “How likely is it that you would recommend Co-Circulation Fellowship Program to a friend or colleague?” 79 % of the researchers responded as will definitely recommend, 27 % as likely to recommend while only 8 % of the fellows responded as unlikely or extremely unlikely.



When fellows asked “How would you rate the **overall quality of Co-Circulation Fellowship Program**? Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with our fellowship program?” 62 % of the fellows responded as excellent, 32 % responded as good, while only the 4 % of the fellows responded as very poor or poor.

94 % of the fellows are either extremely satisfied or satisfied well. The responses of the fellows illustrate that the Co-Circulation is successful and satisfactory. Also, the responses indicate that the Co-Circulation **has exceeded the satisfaction target which were envisioned as 80 %** .

